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ABSTRACT

Rationale: Hemodynamic disturbed flow is associated with susceptibility to atherosclerosis. Endothelial KLF4 is an important anti-inflammatory atheroprotective transcription factor that is suppressed in regions of disturbed flow.

Objective: The plasticity of epigenomic KLF4 transcriptional regulation by flow-mediated DNA methylation was investigated in vitro and in arterial tissue.

Methods and Results: To recapitulate dominant flow characteristics of atheroprotected and atherosusceptible arteries, human aortic endothelial cells (HAEC) were subjected to pulsatile undisturbed flow (UF) or oscillatory disturbed flow (DF) containing a flow-reversing phase. Differential CpG site methylation was measured by methylation specific PCR, bisulfite pyrosequencing and restriction enzyme-PCR. The methylation profiles of endothelium from disturbed and undisturbed flow sites of adult swine aortas were also investigated. In vitro, DF increased DNA methylation of CpG islands within the KLF4 promoter that significantly contributed to suppression of KLF4 transcription; the effects were mitigated by DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) inhibitors and knock-down of DNMT3A. Contributory mechanisms included DF-induced increase of DNMT3A protein (1.7 fold), DNMT3A enrichment (11-fold) on the KLF4 promoter, and competitive blocking of a MEF2 binding site in the KLF4 promoter near the TSS. DF also induced DNMT-sensitive pro-pathological expression of downstream KLF4 transcription targets NOS3, thrombomodulin (THBD) and MCP-1. In support of the in vitro findings, swine aortic endothelium isolated from DF regions expressed significantly lower KLF4 and NOS3, and bisulfite sequencing of KLF4 promoter identified a hypermethylated MEF2 binding site.

Conclusions: Hemodynamics influence endothelial KLF4 expression through DNMT enrichment/MEF2 inhibition mechanisms of KLF4 promoter CpG methylation with regional consequences for atherosusceptibility.
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DNMT  DNA methyltransferase
DT  descending thoracic aorta
HAEC  human aortic endothelial cell
KLF4  Kruppel-like factor 4
MEF2  myocyte enhancer factor-2
NOS3  nitric oxide synthase 3
TET  Tet methylcytosine dioxygenase
UF  undisturbed flow
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INTRODUCTION

Although associated with systemic environmental risk factors, genetic defects and aging, atherosclerotic lesions typically originate locally, developing preferentially at predictable sites of curvature, branching, and bifurcation in large arteries where disturbed blood flow (DF) is a prominent characteristic. In contrast, regions dominated by pulsatile unidirectional laminar undisturbed flow (UF) are relatively atheroresistant. Hemodynamic DF, although usually also laminar, is characterized by flow separation, transient flow reversals, and average low shear forces that define the atherosusceptible regional environment.

The endothelium plays a central role in the initiation and development of inflammatory atherosclerosis. Endothelial phenotypes in prelesional atherosusceptible regions are subtly different from those located at nearby athero-resistant sites where UF is prevalent. In prelesional DF regions of mouse and swine, differential transcriptional profiling has identified endothelia that are sensitized for pro-inflammatory pathways, coagulation, and redox, and the chronic low level activation of endoplasmic reticulum stress and the unfolded protein response. When the principal characteristics of UF and DF are recapitulated in vitro, important protective pathways are suppressed by DF including the expression and activity of Kruppel-like factor 4 (KLF4) and nitric oxide synthase 3 (NOS3). The expression of NOS3, essential for regulation of vascular tone and maintenance of the quiescent state of endothelium, is regulated by hemodynamic shear stress linked to a series of upstream transcription factors that includes KLF4, KLF2 and RelA/p65. KLF4 and KLF2 are zinc-finger regulatory transcription factors for gene networks that confer atheroresistant anti-inflammatory and anti-thrombotic properties to the endothelium; localized dysfunction or suppression of KLF4 is therefore pro-pathological. Dysregulation of KLF4 and NOS3 by genetic manipulation of the endothelium of mice significantly contributes to the development and progression of atherosclerosis.

The mechanisms linking hemodynamics characteristics such as UF and DF to endothelial phenotype, function and pathosusceptibility are under intensive study at multiple levels of regulation, most recently epigenetic. Flow-induced histone modification and miRNAs have been shown to shape endothelial phenotype identities but differential DNA methylation responses to different flow profiles encountered in vivo and their recapitulation in vitro have not been addressed.

DNA methylation is one of the critical epigenetic mechanisms controlling gene expression. In vertebrates, DNA methylation occurs at carbon 5 of cytosine in CpG dinucleotides (5mC). When occurring within the promoter regions of genes, it dramatically suppresses transcription by direct inhibition of transcription factor binding and recruitment of methyl-CpG-binding proteins, which further hinder access to the recognition site of transcription factors or modulate chromatin structure by the recruitment of histone-modifying proteins. The DNA methylation landscape of the genome is established by methylation and demethylation enzymes. DNA (cytosine-5-)-methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1) maintains tissue-specific DNA methylation patterns via methylation of a hemi-methylated nascent DNA strand during cell proliferation. DNMT3A and 3B are required for genome-wide de novo methylation and play crucial roles in the establishment of DNA methylation patterns during development. Methylation by DNMTs is counterbalanced by passive and/or active DNA demethylation in which the TET (ten-eleven-translocation) genes pathway has been suggested to play a central role in oxidizing 5mC to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC).

An appreciation of DNA methylation dynamics in physiological and pathological gene regulation is emerging. Although the post-development DNA methylation status associated with many genes tends to remain stable and is often linked to the maintenance of cell identity, epigenetic plasticity including DNA methylation/demethylation dynamics may be important for cellular adaptation responses including endothelial phenotype identity in different arterial hemodynamic environments. Here we demonstrate the
plasticity of endothelial DNA methylation within the promoter of the important atheroprotective transcription factor KLF4. We show that DF-induced hypermethylation significantly suppresses KLF4 transcription and regulates its downstream targets NOS3, thrombomodulin (THBD) and MCP-1. As far as we are aware these data are the first demonstrated changes in DNA methylation induced by physiological characteristics of flow and are supported by steady state measurements in endothelial cells isolated from in vivo regions of hemodynamic DF and UF in swine aorta.

METHODS

Reagents and detailed molecular biology procedures are described in detail in Online Data Supplement.

Cell culture and flow experiments.
Human aortic endothelial cells (HAEC; passage 4-6; Lonza, Allendale NJ) were cultured in complete EGM-2 medium to confluence on 0.1% gelatin coated glass slides (75×38 mm). The flow experiments were conducted as previously described. Post-confluent HAEC were subjected to pulsatile UF or DF in a parallel-plate flow chamber for 2 days. UF waveform is characterized by a higher mean wall shear stress (WSS) and fully antegrade flow (Figure 1A). In contrast, the DF waveform exposes cells to lower mean WSS and a retrograde flow for one third of each cycle. The flow waveforms capture the dominant characteristics of human arterial hemodynamics flow behavior in UF and DF arterial sites. All flow in large arteries is unsteady (pulsatile). The defining feature of DF regions is that there is flow reversal during the cardiac cycle whereas in UF, the flow is always unidirectional. Waveforms were generated digitally and converted to analog signals by a data acquisition card (USB-629, National Instruments, Austin, TX) that controlled a 520U Watson-Marlow peristaltic pump (Cornwall, England). Flow was measured with an ultrasonic flow meter (Transonic Systems, Inc., Ithaca, NY) to ensure experimental repeatability. Both waveforms were sinusoidal while differing in amplitude, mean WSS and oscillatory shear index (OSI) values. WSS values for the UF waveform ranged from 9.6 to 1.5 dyne/cm² (mean 5.1 dyne/cm²) and for DF from 2 to -1.2 dyne/cm² (mean of 0.4 dyne/cm²). The OSI for UF equaled 0, while for DF it was 0.32. An OSI value of 0 corresponds to fully antegrade flow and 1 to fully retrograde flow.

Animal studies.
Endothelia were obtained from adult pigs (6-month-old; ~250 lb) immediately after euthanasia at a local slaughterhouse (Clemens Foods, Hatfield, PA). Aortas were harvested, and the vessel lumen was rinsed with ice-cold PBS. Endothelial cells were freshly harvested by gentle scraping of 1 cm² regions located at the inner curvature of the aortic arch (AA) and nearby descending thoracic aorta (DT) representing DF and UF respectively. Cells were transferred directly to lysis buffer for DNA or RNA extraction. Endothelial purity was routinely assessed with antibodies against platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule (PECAM-1) and alpha smooth muscle actin (ACTA2). Endothelial purity was also monitored by examining ACTA2 promoter hypermethylation (Online Figure VIII).

Statistical analysis.
Results are expressed as mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis was performed by using an independent Student t test for two groups of data and ANOVA. If a normality test failed, data were compared by Mann-Whitney Rank Sum test. P value < 0.05 was considered significant.
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RESULTS

Induction of endothelial KLF4 promoter methylation by disturbed flow in vitro.

UF and DF characteristics were monitored in real-time. The pulsatile flow was always antegrade in UF whereas a brief reverse flow phase (negative shear stress, retrograde flow) lasting one third of the cycle provided an oscillatory shear index in DF (Figure 1A).

Gene expression.
Figure 1B (upper panel) demonstrates that, when referenced to UF, DF significantly inhibited the expression of both KLF4 pre-mature mRNA (pre-mRNA) and mature mRNA by 65% and 75% respectively. The introns are spliced out in mature mRNA which is composed of exons only. DF also inhibited NOS3 pre-mRNA and mRNA by 41% and 61% respectively (Figure 1B lower panels). These data agree with previous reports of DF suppression of endothelial KLF4 and NOS35,8.

DNA methylation.
A CpG island (CGI) exists at the transcription start site (TSS) of human KLF4 but not at the TSS of NOS3 (Figure 1C). The KLF4 promoter CGI is 2000 bp in length and the CpG observed/expected (CpGo/e) = 0.74. Promoter methylation is usually associated with gene regulation22; therefore, its association with the suppression of transcription was interrogated. Methylation status was determined by methylation specific PCR (MSP) using specific primers targeting the KLF4 promoter. With reference to UF, DF significantly enhanced the KLF4 promoter methylation to 2.0 fold in CpG-rich regions after 2 days (Figure 1D left panel). NOS3, an athero-protective and KLF4 down-stream target gene, was also evaluated. In contrast to KLF4, the human NOS3 promoter has poor CpG content (CpGo/e < 0.4). Importantly, methylation of NOS3 promoter was unchanged by DF (Figure 1D right panel) despite suppression of NOS3 transcript expression. Thus, DF-suppressed KLF4 gene transcription was directly associated with hypermethylation of the KLF4 promoter, a status lacking in the NOS3 promoter.

Minimal contribution by hydroxymethylation.
Hydroxymethylation at CpG sites is suggested to be associated with a DNA demethylation pathway that influences gene transcription regulation24,28. MSP does not discriminate between methylation and hydroxymethylation of CpG29. Therefore we quantified hydroxymethylation and methylation by using restriction enzyme-PCR. Two CCGG sites in the KLF4 promoter (804 bp and 9 bp upstream of TSS) were interrogated for DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation after exposure to UF or DF for 2 days (Figure 1E and Online Figure IA). At both sites, T4-BGT and MspI enzyme treatment followed by qPCR demonstrated that hydroxymethylation at the KLF4 promoter was very low and remained unchanged by either flow treatment. In contrast, HpaII treatment followed by qPCR confirmed that DF significantly enhanced KLF4 promoter methylation in the same experiments (Figure 1E and Online Figure IA). Three CCGG sites (-745, -194 and -137) in the NOS3 promoter were also tested (Figure 1E and Online Figure I). T4-BGT and MspI enzyme treatment followed by qPCR demonstrated that hydroxymethylation of NOS3 promoter was almost undetectable, while methylation remained very low and not significantly different between UF and DF. We conclude that DNA methylation of the KLF4 - but not NOS3 - promoter region is influenced by flow characteristics and that the contribution by hydroxymethylation is not significant.

DNA methylation inhibits the transcriptional activity of myocyte enhancer factor-2 (MEF2).

It has been suggested that tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα) and/or resveratrol induction of the KLF4 gene can be regulated by myocyte enhancer factor 2 (MEF2) transcription factors13,14,19,20. In silico analysis suggested only one MEF2 binding site TATTTAAAGTA (-64/-55) in the human KLF4 promoter. To test if MEF2 can bind to KLF4 promoter in cells, the MEF2 enrichment of chromatin was tested by ChIP-PCR.
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assay using 4 primers targeting the promoter region of KLF4. MEF2 was dramatically enriched in the region from -161 to -25 (MEF2 binding site), but not in other regions of the KLF4 promoter (Online Figure II).

To confirm the ability of MEF2 to bind to the KLF4 promoter, nuclear protein extract from flow-acclimated HAEC was incubated with fluorescent labeled oligonucleotide containing MEF2 binding site. Gel mobility assay showed one shifted band which was abolished by anti-MEF2 antibody directed to the C-terminus of MEF2, suggesting that C-terminus of MEF2 is required in the formation of MEF2 complex and its association with the KLF4 promoter (Online Figure III). This was not a test of differential flow; protein extracts from DF and UF cells induced similar binding capacity of MEF2 to the unmethylated oligonucleotide.

To test if hemodynamic forces could regulate the methylation status of CpG near the MEF2 binding sequence, bisulfite pyrosequencing was used to quantify the methylation levels at individual CpG sites (-135, -133, -66, -31 and -19bp from KLF4 TSS). Consistent with MSP analysis (Figure 1D), DF further enhanced CpG methylation close to the MEF2 binding sequence. Methylation of CpG site -66 was enhanced from 34% to 73% and methylation of CpG site -31 was enhanced from 16% to 26% (Figure 2A). Consistent with robust DF-enhanced methylation near the MEF2 binding site, DF reduced the chromatin loading of MEF2 protein to KLF4 promoter by 80% (Figure 2B), confirming that MEF2-enhanced KLF4 gene transcription is impeded by methylation of KLF4 promoter.

To further test if methylation of KLF4 promoter can impede KLF4 transcription, an in vitro luciferase reporter assay was carried out. After successfully methylating a KLF4 promoter construct that contains MEF2 binding sequence (Online Figure IV), HAEC were transiently transfected with methylated and mock-methylated constructs. Methylation of KLF4 promoter construct significantly decreased its promoter activity by 64%, compared with the unmethylated construct (Figure 2C), further demonstrating inhibition of MEF2-enhanced KLF4 gene transcription by methylation of KLF4 promoter.

Endothelia in prelesional DF regions of artery are sensitized for pro-inflammatory pathways. To test if pro-inflammatory cytokine TNFα can induce similar interactions of MEF2 with KLF4 promoter, oligonucleotide containing MEF2 binding sequence was incubated with nuclear protein extract from HAEC treated with or without TNFα. Gel mobility assay showed a prominent shifted band after TNFα treatment that was completely abolished by MEF2 antibody (Figure 2D left panel), while no shifted band was observed in cells without TNFα treatment. The specificity of MEF2 site in the KLF4 promoter to bind endogenous MEF2 factors was then confirmed by progressive abolition of MEF2 protein binding by wild-type competitor (5 - 50 fold molar excess) as the molar concentration increased (Figure 2D right panel). The importance of CpG in mediating MEF2 binding to KLF4 promoter was tested by mutation of two CpG dinucleotides (-66 and -33) flanking the MEF2 binding sequence. These mutations resulted in a mild effect on competing MEF2 binding, suggesting that the CpG sites flanking the core binding sequence are critical in mediating MEF2 binding. Taken together, these data suggest that DF-induced hypermethylation and/or mutation of CpG sites flanking MEF2 binding sequence (Figure 2A) can suppress the chromatin loading of MEF2 to KLF4 promoter (Figure 2B and 2D) and inhibit transcriptional activity (Figure 2C).

DF-induced DNMT3A enrichment in the KLF4 promoter.

DNA methylation at CpG sites is the net balance of methylation and demethylation dynamics. To test if DF can change the net methylation equilibrium, mRNA of the enzymes involved in methylation and demethylation processes were examined by qPCR (Figure 3A). The relative transcript expressions of methylation and demethylation enzymes were not significantly different between UF and DF; the mRNA of DNMT3L was not detectable by qPCR.
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DNMT3A protein was 1.7 fold enhanced in DF (p<0.05, Figure 3B). An increase in DNMT3A protein without changing the mRNA levels may suggest a potential post-transcriptional and/or post-translational mechanism (eg. sumoylation) in regulating DNMT3A by flow. While DNMT1 and TET1 protein levels were comparable between UF and DF, DNMT3B protein was not detectable in HAEC by Western blot using two different antibodies from two vendors.

Chromatin loading of DNMT3A enzyme at the KLF4 promoter and NOS3 promoter was examined by ChIP-PCR assay (Figure 3C left panel). DF significantly enhanced (11-fold; p<0.00002) the enrichment of DNMT3A protein at the KLF4 promoter (-161/-25) but not at the NOS3 promoter (-167/-15) where DNMT3A was undetectable. The chromatin loading of TET1 enzyme at the KLF4 promoter remained unchanged between UF and DF, while TET1 at the NOS3 promoter was undetectable (Figure 3C right panel). Pre-treatment with RG108, a specific DNMT inhibitor, significantly suppressed (by 55%; p<0.05) DF-induced chromatin loading of DNMT3A to the KLF4 promoter (Figure 3D). Specific knock-down of DNMT3A significantly inhibited the DF-induced methylation of CpG sites near the MEF2 binding sequence (Online Figure V and Figure 3E). These data demonstrate that DF induced the chromatin enrichment of DNMT3A, leading to the hypermethylation of KLF4 promoter. They also suggest that methylation/demethylation enzymes DNMT3A and TET1 do not regulate NOS3 promoter methylation and hydroxymethylation in HAEC (Figure 1D right panel and Online Figure I) under hemodynamic forces.

**DF-enhanced, DNMT-mediated KLF4 promoter methylation and gene silencing.**

To determine if DF-enhanced methylation of KLF4 promoter is mediated by the activation of DNMTs, 5-azacytidine (5-Aza) and RG108 were used to block DNMT activity. 5-Aza can incorporate into DNA and covalently trap and inhibit DNMTs. RG108 has been shown to specifically bind to DNMTs and inhibit the enzyme activity with long half-life (20 days) and without significantly inducing apoptosis, cytotoxicity and genotoxicity. In HAEC cultured under static conditions (no flow), dose-response curves showed that RG108 up to 100 µM and 5-azacytidine up to 1 µM did not significantly inhibit the methylation of the KLF4 promoter (Figure 4A).

DF-mediated methylation was then measured following treatment with DNMT inhibitors. RG108 (20 µM) and/or 5-Aza (1 µM) completely prevented DF-specific (vs UF) methylation of the KLF4 promoter (-152/+9, Figure 4A), consistent with DF-induced KLF4 promoter hypermethylation mediated by DNMT. We also tested if DF-induced hypermethylation in other regions of the KLF4 promoter could be blocked by DNMT inhibitors. Consistent with the findings in -152/+9, DF-induced hypermethylation in regions -1520/-1328, 1339/-1141 and -808/-648 was completely blocked by RG108 (Online Figure VIB) presumably through (non-MEF2) DNMT global inhibition.

The effects of RG108 and 5-Aza on gene transcription were then examined. KLF4 pre-mRNA was completely restored to UF levels by RG108 and to 90% UF levels by 5-Aza (Figure 4B), consistent with the release of DF-suppressed KLF4 transcription by inhibition of DNMT. Mature KLF4 mRNA was rescued from 10% to 50% and 30% of UF levels by RG108 and 5-Aza respectively (Figure 4C) indicating a post-transcriptional partial inhibition of KLF4 mRNA by DF. Our recent finding that intronic miRNA-92a could decrease KLF4 (and KLF2) mRNA stability may be related to this finding.

**Implications for the regulation of KLF4 target genes.**

KLF4 protein expression was inhibited by 38% (p<0.05) in DF (Figure 5A), consistent with in vivo data that endothelial KLF4 protein is down-regulated in the DF region of aortic arch. DF-suppressed KLF4 protein levels in HAEC were rescued by the DNMT inhibitor RG108 which selectively increased KLF4 protein levels in DF without affecting UF levels (Figure 5A). As an atheroprotective transcription factor, KLF4 up-regulates anti-inflammatory and anti-thrombotic factors such as NOS3 and thrombomodulin.
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(THBD), whereas it inhibits the expression of pro-inflammatory factor, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1)\textsuperscript{10,21}. To show the effects of DF on the transcript expressions of these molecules and to test if blocking DNA methylation pathway could potentially restore the atheroprotective phenotypes of these KLF4 gene targets, the expression of NOS3, THBD and MCP-1 were evaluated by qPCR in the absence and presence of DNMT inhibitor RG108 (Figure 5B). In controls (DMSO vehicle) DF significantly inhibited NOS3 and THBD gene expression, and enhanced MCP-1 gene expression (Figure 5B). This atherosusceptible profile was partially rescued by RG108. Suppression of THBD by DF was completely reversed by RG108 while inhibition of NOS3 and enhancement of MCP-1 by DF were both two-thirds reversed by RG108. The suppression and restoration of these KLF4 target genes by RG108 was not associated with changes in DNA methylation of low CpG promoter of NOS3 or high CpG promoter of THBD (Online Figure VII). These results demonstrate that DF-induced pro-inflammatory and pro-thrombotic profiles in HAEC can be rescued by blocking upstream DNA methylation pathways in KLF4.

**KLF4 and NOS3 in the swine genome.**

The hemodynamic environment, transcriptome and atherosclerosis susceptibility in the arterial tree are similar between humans and swine\textsuperscript{4}. To explore parallel in vivo/in vitro epigenomic regulatory mechanisms, endothelial cells were isolated from distinct hemodynamic sites of DF (aortic arch; atherosusceptible) and UF (descending thoracic aorta; atheroresistant) in adult swine (Figure 6A). Consistent with the in vitro data, KLF4 and NOS3 mRNA and pre-mRNA in vivo were elevated in the UF region and suppressed in the DF region (Figure 6B).

Alignment of human and swine protein sequences showed homologies of 94.9\% for KLF4 protein and 96.0\% for NOS3 protein, and 89.3\% similarity for KLF4 mRNA and 88.5\% for NOS3 mRNA. Analysis of swine KLF4 promoter indicated two CGIs with CpGo/e 0.80 and 1.0 (Figure 6C), which are higher than that in human (0.74). In contrast to human NOS3 promoter which does not contain CGIs (Figure 1C, \(-500/+101\text{ CpGo/e} = 0.23;\ -200/+101\text{ CpGo/e} = 0.36\)), swine NOS3 promoter contains a CpG island at the TSS (400 bp, \(-226/+174\text{ CpGo/e} = 0.61\)).

DNA methylation in swine endothelium isolated from UF and DF aortic regions was therefore measured in CpG rich regions of KLF4 and in NOS3. As shown in Figure 6D (left panel), while DNA methylation remained unchanged in NOS3 promoter, in the KLF4 promoter there was significantly increased methylation in DF (3-fold; \(p<0.05\)). Multiple sequence alignment of human, mouse, cow and pig revealed one highly conserved region of MEF2 binding sequence in the KLF4 gene promoter (Figure 6E) for swine this site was \(-741/-686\). Bisulfite pyrosequencing demonstrated hypermethylation of CpG sites inside and flanking the MEF2 binding sequence \((-710, -666, -653, -621\)) in swine arterial endothelium isolated from DF sites (Figure 6F). These 4 CpG sites were hypomethylated (about 20\%) in UF; while the methylation level was increased 1.5-2.7 fold in DF, consistent with the MSP analysis (Figure 6D). Thus the swine KLF4 promoter methylation findings in vivo were in agreement with the in vitro HAEC profile of CGIs. Furthermore, the DNA methylation of NOS3 promoter remained unchanged between UF and DF (Figure 6D right panel) in agreement with our in vitro HAEC data, despite the presence of a CGI near the TSS of swine NOS3.

A suggested mechanism of enhanced DNA methylation that is part of the dynamic regulation of KLF4 transcription is outlined in Figure 7. Disturbed flow, a recapitulation of the in vivo hemodynamic regions susceptible to atherosclerosis, induced KLF4 promoter hypermethylation of cytosine. The broad-acting DNMT inhibitors RG108 and 5-Aza suggested that DNMT balance is changed by DF. Our data show that the promoter becomes enriched in methylation enzyme DNMT3A while the demethylation side of the equilibrium, reflected by TET1, remains unchanged. The resulting hypermethylation of KLF4 promoter induced gene silencing by preventing the chromatin binding of MEF2 to the KLF4 promoter.
DISCUSSION

As noted in a recent review, DNA methylation can be evaluated in different genomic contexts that result in functional purposes that range from cell identity to splicing to dynamic - as well as fixed - transcriptional regulation. Here we have demonstrated that KLF4 promoter CpG methylation is responsive to different physiological flow profiles of pathological importance to human arterial endothelial function and we present a plausible DNMT3A/MEF2 mechanism for CpG methylation of promoter sequence near the TSS. In vivo patterns of steady state KLF4 promoter methylation in aortic endothelium from UF and DF regions in swine supported the in vitro interpretation. The characteristics of DF may therefore contribute to the atherosusceptibility of regions associated with branches, curvatures and bifurcations via inducible methylation of the KLF4 promoter that results in its transcriptional suppression with downstream effects upon expression of its targets.

KLF4 has been characterized as an essential transcription factor in the regulation of inflammation and maintenance of a quiescent endothelium. The consequences of enhanced DNA methylation by hemodynamic DF include inhibition of KLF4 expression that removes a degree of protection against the pro-inflammatory pathways that lead to atherogenesis. Among its targets is NOS3 which is also suppressed in regions of DF.

Although histone modification has been reported to be involved in shear stress-induced NOS3 transcription, DF did not affect methylation, hydroxymethylation and chromatin-enrichment of DNMT3A and TET1 in promoter regions of human NOS3. However, we noted that DF also failed to methylate a CpG island proximal to the TSS of swine NOS3 promoter suggesting resistance of NOS3 to hypermethylation. This is broadly consistent with the report by Chan et al (2004) who noted the resilience of the human NOS3 gene to hypermethylation, attributing it to a critical determinant of endothelial cell identity established during development. The same study established the principle of role for DNA methylation in NOS3 transcription by demonstrating reduced NOS3 promoter activity following in vitro transfection of methylated promoter/reporter constructs. However, as demonstrated in this study, neither human nor swine NOS3 promoter methylation was directly affected by DF and it appears that at least part of the NOS3 downregulation may be secondary to DNMT-sensitive DNA methylation effects on upstream transcription factors including, but not necessarily exclusive to, KLF4.

DF-induced KLF4 hypermethylation in HAEC also inhibited the expression of THBD and upregulated MCP-1 (which is normally inhibited by KLF4). All 3 genes are KLF4-targets that contain or lack CpG islands in their promoters; their responses reflect a pro-inflammatory pattern we previously observed in HAEC when siRNA was used to knock-down KLF4.

The observation that hemodynamic forces are capable of changing the methylation of KLF4, an important transcription factor for flow-sensitive genes, sheds new light on how physical factors such as blood flow influence gene expression and disease susceptibility. By translating and integrating the effects of biochemical and biomechanical stimuli, KLF4 coordinates atheroprotective and atheroprone gene expression. Together with other epigenetic mechanisms that relate to endothelial flow responses, e.g. chromatin and miRNA regulation, we show that DNA methylation is a potent contributor to the mechanistic link between the genome and environment that is important in the spatial distribution of atherogenesis. Indeed our earlier study on the regulation of KLF4 gene expression by miRNA-92a suggests that KLF4 is tightly regulated by multiple flow-related mechanisms. The 50% rescue of KLF4 spliced mature mRNA by DNMT inhibitors whereas the recovery of pre-mRNA expression was complete (Figure 4) is consistent with KLF4 regulation by intronic miRNA mechanisms as well as by promoter methylation contributions.

Many experimental studies have reported the effect of undisturbed laminar flow on endothelial responses when referenced to no-flow. However, extrapolation of no-flow comparisons to physiological
arterial flow is problematic because of the constantly changing blood velocity/shear stress throughout each cardiac cycle. In the present study pulsatile UF and DF, recapitulating dominant dynamic characteristics of in vivo arterial flow, were directly compared. DF and UF results were normalized to no-flow controls in each set of experiments only to control for unknown criteria unrelated to flow. The epigenomic measurements were conducted after 48h of in vitro flow in order to establish a degree of cell adaptation to the flow to better match the steady state in vivo environment. This time window avoided the first 24h of flow (UF or DF) when transient changes in gene expression occur caused by the shift from no-flow. At 48h much of this activity has subsided. In support of this choice is the correlative evidence for a similar pathway in vivo in site-specific swine aortic endothelial cells (Figure 6); these cells are in an adapted ‘steady-state’ at the time of harvest.

The association of hypermethylation of KLF4 promoter and down-regulation of KLF4 have been reported in lymphoma and epithelial tumors where KLF4 typically functions as a tumor suppressor\textsuperscript{33,34}. In the cardiovascular system the association of genomic DNA methylation with cardiovascular diseases has been noted in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of hyperhomocysteinemia patients and in the whole aorta tissue of apoE-null mice\textsuperscript{35,36}. In mammals, DNMTs use S-adenosyl methionine (SAM) as a methyl group donor for DNA methylation. Hyperhomocysteinemia and the subsequent decreased production or bioavailability of SAM is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease\textsuperscript{35}. Furthermore, atheroprone apoE-null mice show changes in DNA methylation patterns in the whole aorta before the appearance of histologically detectable vascular lesion\textsuperscript{36}. In contrast, our work reveals DNA methylation plasticity in response to hemodynamics representative of atherosusceptible and protected locations in arteries. It demonstrates the first in vitro hypermethylation by spatially differential hemodynamic force characteristics in endothelial cells with strong corroborative evidence in vivo.

In endothelium exposed to DF (atherosusceptible), the kinetics of signaling pathways (KLFs, pro-inflammatory molecules; ER-stress/UPR etc) are set differently resulting in differential phenotype expression\textsuperscript{18,21,41}. Indeed we consider DF itself as a risk factor in sensitizing the cell to pathological change and the basis of atherosusceptibility. Yet while these may be biomarkers of susceptibility they are also adaptive responses and no overt pathology is evident. We suggest that atherogenesis is a 2-hit initiation process where the addition of a second risk factor such as hypercholesterolemia stress may be required to initiate inflammatory pathological change.

The dynamic nature of DNA methylation and demethylation may offer opportunities for therapeutic intervention. Unlike DNA mutations, DNA methylation abnormalities are reversible by drugs in a laboratory setting and this reversal allows cancer cells to reactivate the silenced genes and produce normal proteins. In the present study the specific DNMT inhibitors 5-azacytidine (5-Aza) and RG108 could both rescue DF-suppressed atheroprotective gene expression and negatively regulate DF-induced atherosusceptible genes. 5-Aza has been approved (as Vidaza\textsuperscript{TM}) by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of myelodysplastic syndrome, a pre-leukemic bone marrow disorder, by inhibiting DNA methylation and cell proliferation.

The physico-chemical mechanism(s) by which the endothelium distinguishes between UF and DF is unclear and may reside in subcellular spatio-temporal mechanotransduction criteria\textsuperscript{3,39}. Transmission of flow-related deformation forces throughout the cytoskeleton is a plausible mechanical link to the nuclear membrane, the mechanics of which may influence gene regulation\textsuperscript{40}. Furthermore, the local redox environment near the cell surface may influence DNA methylation via the presence of reactive oxygen species (ROS) that are significantly elevated in endothelium at atherosusceptible (DF) sites in normal swine\textsuperscript{41}. In response to ROS the CpG-rich KLF4 promoter may recruit the silencing complex (DNMTs, SIRT1 and polycomb members)\textsuperscript{42}. Since NFkB pathway is also more active in DF\textsuperscript{18} and Rel/p65 could recruit DNMTs to specific genome loci\textsuperscript{43}, these pathways may influence DNMT3A enrichment. As
reflected in Figure 7, mechanotransduction experiments aimed at the induction of DNMT3A may be a fruitful avenue of investigation.

The present studies, in which flow characteristics are the principal experimental variable leading to phenotype adaptation and increased susceptibility to atherosclerosis, were conducted in normal human cells and normocholesterolemic swine. The added introduction of hypercholesterolemia to initiate atherogenesis and associated cytokine-stimulated inflammatory responses will facilitate further evaluation of the epigenetic and epigenomic regulation of endothelial phenotype adaptation during early pathological change.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1. UF and DF regulation of KLF4 and NOS3 promoter methylation and gene transcription.**
(A) Schematic illustration of the parallel plate flow apparatus and the arterial flow waveforms. Confluent HAEC were subjected to UF or DF for 2 days. Flow characteristics were confirmed by ultrasonic flow meter. (B) DF-induced suppression of KLF4 transcription. mRNA and pre-mRNA of KLF4 and NOS3 were determined by qPCR. The relative expression of DF to UF is computed following normalization of UF or DF to no-flow cells. All qPCR are normalized to ubiquitin B (UBB) and expressed as mean ± SEM fold of UF. (C) Schematic illustration of CpG island and CpG sites in human KLF4 and NOS3 promoters. (D) DF differentially regulates KLF4 and NOS3 promoter methylation. DNA methylation was determined by MSP using primers targeting KLF4 (-152/+9) and NOS3 (-369/-196) promoter region. Data are normalized to UBB promoter and expressed as mean ± SEM fold of UF. (E) Methylation and hydroxymethylation of KLF4 and NOS3 promoters. After glucosylation by T4 β-glucosyltransferase (BGT) and restriction enzyme MspI and HpaII digestion, the digested genomic DNA were used for qPCR with gene specific primers targeting CCGG-9 of the KLF4 promoter and CCGG-137 of the NOS3 promoter. ① input, ② 5hmC, ③ 5mC and 5hmC, ④ input, ⑤ background, ⑥ 5mC and 5hmC. Data are normalized to ① uncut DNA and expressed as mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05. n= 4.

**Figure 2. KLF4 promoter methylation blocks MEF2-mediated transcriptional activation of KLF4.**
(A) Genomic DNA were isolated from confluent HAEC which were subjected to UF or DF for 2 days. Bisulfite pyrosequencing quantified the methylation levels of individual CpG sites near the MEF2 binding sequence in the KLF4 promoter. (B) Two-day UF and DF induction of MEF2 loading at the KLF4 promoter (-161/-25) was analyzed by ChIP-qPCR. Data are normalized to chromatin enrichment of the ACTA2 promoter and are expressed as mean ± SEM fold of UF. (C) Methylated and unmethylated KLF4 promoter construct containing MEF2 binding sequence was transfected into HAEC. KLF4 promoter transcription activity was measured by luciferase enzyme activity. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM fold of unmethylation. (D) TNFα induction of MEF2 binding to KLF4 promoter sequence. Confluent HAEC were treated with TNFα for 6 hours. 10 µg of nuclear protein was incubated with FAM labeled oligonucleotides with MEF2 binding sequence (TATTTAAAGTA) of the KLF4 promoter for 30 min. Anti-MEF2 antibody was pre-incubated with protein before adding the oligonucleotides (left panel). Competition experiments were carried out by pre-incubations of the protein extract with anti-MEF2 antibody, competitor or mutant oligonucleotides (right panel). Two CpG sites (-66 and -31bp from KLF4 TSS) and the corresponding mutants flanking the core MEF2 binding sequence are underlined. *P < 0.05. n= 4-6.

**Figure 3. UF and DF regulation of methylation and demethylation enzymes.**
Confluent HAEC were subjected to UF or DF for 2 days. (A) mRNA of methylation enzymes (DNMT1, 3A, 3B, 3L) and enzymes involved in demethylation pathways24 (TET1, 2, 3; TDG1; GADD45B; MBD4; SMUG1) were determined by qPCR. Data are normalized to UBB and expressed as mean ± SEM fold of UF. (B) Proteins of DNMT1, DNMT3A and TET1 were analyzed by Western blot. Optical density of DNMT3A normalized to β-actin is expressed as mean ± SEM fold of UF. (C) UF- and DF-induced DNMT3A and TET1 enrichment in KLF4 (-161/-25) and NOS3 (-167/-15) promoter were analyzed by ChIP-qPCR. Data are normalized to the chromatin loading to ACTA2 promoter and are expressed as mean ± SEM. (D) Confluent HAEC were subjected to DF with or without RG108 for 2 days. Effect of RG108 on DF-induced DNMT3A loading to KLF4 promoter (-161/-25) was analyzed by ChIP-qPCR. Data are normalized to chromatin loading of DNMT3A to ACTA2 promoter and expressed as mean ± SEM fold of UF. (E) Confluent HAEC on glass slide post-transfected with DNMT3A-specific shRNA or scramble shRNA were subjected to DF for 2 days. Bisulfite pyrosequencing was used to quantify the methylation level of individual CpG sites at the KLF4 promoter. *P < 0.05. n= 4.
**Figure 4. Effects of RG108 and 5-azacytidine on UF- and DF-induced KLF4 promoter methylation and gene expression.**

Confluent HAEC were subjected to UF and DF with RG108 (20 µM), 5-azacytidine (5-Aza, 1 µM) or vehicle (DMSO) for 2 days. (A) KLF4 promoter methylation was analyzed by MSP. Data are normalized to UBB promoter and expressed as mean ± SEM fold of UF. (B) pre-mRNA and (C) mRNA of KLF4 were determined by qPCR. Data are normalized to UBB and expressed as mean ± SEM fold of UF. *,# P < 0.05. n= 4-6. *Different from UF. #Different from vehicle control.

**Figure 5. Effect of RG108 on KLF4 protein and KLF4-downstream genes transcription.**

Confluent HAEC were subjected to UF and DF with RG108 (20 µM) or vehicle control (DMSO) for 2 days. (A) KLF4 proteins in cytoplasmic extract (CE) and nuclear extract (NE) were determined by Western blot. Data normalized to β-actin are expressed as fold of UF. (B) thrombomodulin (THBD), MCP-1 and NOS3 mRNA were determined by qPCR. Data are normalized to UBB and expressed as mean ± SEM fold of UF *,# P < 0.05. n= 4.*Different from UF. #Different from vehicle control.

**Figure 6. Differential gene expression and promoter methylation of swine arterial endothelial KLF4 and NOS3 in vivo.**

(A) Schematic illustration of targeted UF and DF regions in swine aorta. Endothelial cells were scraped gently from the descending thoracic aorta (DT) where undisturbed flow (UF) is dominant, and from the inner curvature of aortic arch (AA) where disturbed flow (DF) is dominant. (B) mRNA and pre-mRNA of swine KLF4 and NOS3 were determined by qPCR. Data are normalized to the geometric mean of GAPDH and PECAM1 and are expressed as mean ± SEM fold of UF. (C) Schematic illustration of the CpG island and CpG sites in swine KLF4 and NOS3 promoters. (D) Methylation of KLF4 promoter and NOS3 promoter in UF and DF region of swine aorta was determined by using MSP targeting CpG-rich region. Data are normalized to UBB promoter without CpG sites and expressed as mean ± SEM fold of UF. (E) Alignment of KLF4 promoter region in multiple mammalian species showing a highly conserved region of MEF2 binding sequence. (F) Methylation level of individual CpG sites in swine KLF4 promoter determined by bisulfite-pyrosequencing. *Different from UF. P < 0.05. n= 6.

**Figure 7. Summary schematic of KLF4 promoter methylation mechanisms contributing to suppression of transcription.**

DF-induced DNMT3A enrichment of endothelial KLF4 promoter near the TSS increased CpG methylation. Hypermethylation prevented MEF2-complex binding resulting in inhibition of KLF4 transcription. Decreased KLF4 expression can lower the interaction of KLF4 with its transcription targets independently of their methylation status leading to a pro-inflammatory, pro-atherosclerosis phenotype. Intervention by DNMT inhibitors (RG108; 5-Aza) can rescue this pathway.
Novelty and Significance

What Is Known?

- Atherosclerotic plaques develop preferentially in regions of disturbed flow.
- Irreversible epigenetic DNA methylation patterns are established during development and cell differentiation.
- Suppression of endothelial expression of the key athero-protective transcription factor Kruppel-like factor 4 (KLF4) predisposes to atherogenesis. DNA hypermethylation is proposed as a potent inhibitor of KLF4 transcription.

What new information does this article contribute?

- Disturbed flow induces DNA methylation of CpG islands in the KLF4 promoter.
- Hypermethylation of KLF4 promoter is due to an imbalance in methylation/demethylation activities involving competition for myocyte enhancer factor-2 (MEF-2) binding sites.
- Change in the biophysical environment can influence pre-transcriptional endothelial gene expression, a mechanism that may represent epigenomic adaptive physiological regulation.

The distribution of atherosclerotic lesions has been linked to arterial branches, bifurcations and curvatures where the blood vessel geometry causes the flow to create vortices and eddies, referred to as disturbed flow. In the absence of disease at these sites the endothelium nevertheless expresses pro-inflammatory genes that collectively sensitize it to the initiation of atherosclerosis. These cells are therefore considered to be ‘athero-susceptible’, a pre-pathological state of stress adaptation to the local hemodynamic environment. Regulatory mechanisms that link disturbed flow to the susceptible endothelial phenotype include inhibition of KLF4 transcription and translation by disturbed flow. KLF4 is suppressed in endothelium of regions with disturbed flow in vivo where we found DNA hypermethylation of its promoter, the fingerprint of a potent epigenetic suppression mechanism. Using human aortic endothelial cells and controlled flow environments in vitro we show that disturbed flow -induced KLF4 hypermethylation to be a dynamic epigenomic response with pro-atherogenic consequences. This analysis of flow-related epigenomic plasticity of an atheroprotective gene may be representative of a broader adaptive epigenomic response to environmental conditions, some of which may be truly epigenetic (inheritable).
Figure 1
**Figure 2**

A. Methylation levels of cytosine at different positions from the TSS of KLF4. The graph shows the percentage of methylated cytosine at positions -135 to -19 relative to the TSS. Asterisks indicate significant differences between UF and DF conditions.

B. Relative MEF2 fold enrichment. The graph compares the enrichment of MEF2 at the promoter region of KLF4 under different conditions.

C. Relative Luciferase Activity. The graph indicates the activity of the luciferase reporter gene under unmethylated and methylated conditions.

D. Gel shift assay for MEF2 complex formation. The assay includes different concentrations of TNFα, anti-MEF2, and CG mutant competitor. The gel shows the formation of MEF2 complexes and free probes under various conditions.
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Supplemental Material
Detailed Materials & Methods

Antibodies and Reagents

Antibodies: - against MEF2 (#sc313, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), KLF4 (#sc20691, Santa Cruz), DNMT1 (#39905, Active Motif, Carlsbad, CA), DNMT3A (#sc20703, Santa Cruz), DNMT3B (#39207, Active Motif; #MA5-16165, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) TET1 (#MA5-16312, Thermo Scientific) and β-actin (#ab6276, Abcam). RG108 purchased from EMD Millipore (Billerica, Ma) and 5-azacitidine (5-aza) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology were dissolved in DMSO. DMSO influences DNA hydroxymethylation / methylation at high but not low concentration (<0.5%)\textsuperscript{1}. The final DMSO concentration used was < 0.1%.

CpG island prediction and sequence alignment

The sequence of genes, including information on 5' UTR, transcription start site, exons and introns was obtained from Ensembl. Human gene sequence data were obtained from genome assembly GRCh37; Swine gene sequence was obtained from Sscrofa10.2. CpG islands were predicted by Methyl Primer Express software (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) using the following parameters: - CGI size 300-2000bp, C+Gs/ total bases >50%, CpGo/e> 60%.

To calculate the local alignment of sequences, the promoter sequence (3000bp upstream and 500bp downstream of TSS), mRNA (NM_004235.4, NM_001031782.2, NM_000603.4, NM_214295.1) and protein (NP_004226.3, NP_001026952.2, NP_000594.2, NP_999460.1) of human and swine KLF4 and NOS3 were compared by using EMBOSS (European Molecular Biology Open Software Suite) Water Smith-Waterman algorithm and ClustalW2 (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalw2/).

Real-time RT-PCR Analysis of pre-mature mRNA (pre-mRNA) and mRNA

Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcribing 1 µg total RNA with 50 ng random hexamers and SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Life Technologies). Quantitative-PCR (qPCR) was carried out in Light Cycler 480 with SYBR Green I Master (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) with 2 µl of first strand cDNA and 500 nM primers in a final volume of 20 µl in the presence and absence of reverse transcriptase to exclude contaminating genomic DNA. Reactions ran with an initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min. Thirty five to 45 cycles ran at: 95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 10 s, 72°C for 10 s. A melting curve was run to detect the desired amplicon. Amplicon size was verified by a TapeStation 2200 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA).

Transcriptional activity was monitored by measurement of nascent pre-mature mRNA (pre-mRNA) the PCR primers for which were designed to target at least one exon and one
intron. The primers for mature mRNA were designed to target the exons. qPCR primers are listed in Online Table I. The housekeeping gene ubiquitin B (UBB), GAPDH, or PECAM1 was used for normalization.

**Methylation specific PCR and Pyrosequencing**

Methylation specific PCR (MSP) and pyrosequencing was used to determine DNA methylation at specific loci. In brief, the genomic DNA was isolated by using DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen). All unmethylated cytosines in genomic DNA were converted to uracil by sodium bisulfite conversion (EpiTect kit, Qiagen), while the methylated cytosines were protected. Methylation-specific primers which target the methylated DNA (Online Table II) were designed by Methyl Primer Express software (Life Technologies). The promoter region of human KLF4 (-152/+9), human NOS3 (-369/-196), swine KLF4 (-1065/-900) and swine NOS3 (-13/+93) were tested. The methylated DNA was amplified during PCR by methylation-specific primers while the unmethylated DNA was not amplified. To normalize the input DNA, we used primers that target the UBB promoter sequence that does not contain any CpG sites. The methylation ratio was calculated as $E_{ct\ (region\ of\ interest)} / E_{ct\ (UBB)}$, where $E$ is the specific amplification efficiency and $Ct$ is the crossing point for sequence region and UBB respectively.

After bisulfite PCR, pyrosequencing was performed on a Pyromark Q96 ID platform (Qiagen) using the PyroMark Gold Q96 Reagents (Qiagen) following manufacturer's instructions. The resulting sequences and percentage of methylated cytosine were generated and calculated by Pyro Q-CpG software (version 1.0.9). The bisulfite PCR primers targeting human KLF4 promoter were F. AGGGTTTAGAGATGGTTGGTTGAAAAT (-168/-142bp), R. biotin-ATATAAAAAAAACATACCCCAACACTATA (+69/+38). The sequencing primer targeting CpG sites of human KLF4 was GTTGGTTGGAAATATTGT (-155/-139). The bisulfite PCR primers targeting swine KLF4 promoter were F. GTTTTGAAGTTTTGGTTGAAGGTATT (-830/-804), R. biotin-ACCCCACTCTAATCCCTAAATACCATT (-509/-535). The sequencing primer targeting CpG sites of swine KLF4 was TTATTTATTAAGTTGAATTTTGAGA (-775/-751).

**Hydroxymethylation detection**

Hydroxymethylation was quantified by restriction enzyme based qPCR (EpiMark 5-hmC and 5-mC Analysis Kit, New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA). In brief, 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5-hmC) in genomic DNA was first glucosylated by T4 β-glucosyltransferase (T4-BGT) to glucosylated 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5-ghmC). The genomic DNA was then digested by restriction endonuclease (HpaII and MspI). HpaII cleaves only unmodified CCGG site; any modification (5-mC, 5-hmC or 5-ghmC) blocks the cleavage of CCGG by HpaII. MspI cleaves unmodified cytosine, 5-mC and 5-hmC, but not 5-ghmC. If the CpG site contains 5-hmC, PCR
product will be detected after glucosylation and MspI digestion, but not in the non-glucosylated control reaction. If the CpG site contains 5-mC and/or 5-hmC, PCR product will be detected after HpaII digestion. The glucosylated and digested DNA was quantified by qPCR with primers flanking only one CCGG site (Online Table III). Data were normalized to uncut DNA.

Western blot

Total cell lysates were prepared in a buffer containing 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, and a protease inhibitor mixture (PMSF, aprotinin, and sodium orthovanadate). Cytoplasmic and nuclear extract were prepared by using NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction kit (Thermo Scientific) as described previously18. 15-20 µg of protein was separated by 4-12% SDS/PAGE gradient gel and analyzed by Western blot using the designated antibodies.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-PCR Assay

ChIP-PCR assays were performed in accordance with protocols from Abcam. Following flow exposure, cells were fixed with 0.75% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. Glycine was added to a final concentration of 125 mM for 5 min to neutralize formaldehyde. Cells collected by scraping were sonicated to an average fragment size 200-700bp using a Covaris E200 sonicator (Woburn, MA). Immunoprecipitation analysis was carried out using anti-DNMT3A, anti-TET1 or anti-MEF2 antibody. Immunoprecipitated DNA fragments were used as templates for qPCR. The ChIP-PCR primers targeting KLF4 promoter were listed in Online Table IV.

The transcription factor binding sites were predicted by Transcription Element Search System (TESS, www.cbil.upenn.edu/cgi-bin/tess). The primers flanking MEF2, DNMT3A and TET1 binding sites in the KLF4 promoter (-161/-25bp) were F.CGAGATGGCTGGTTGAAAAACTG, R.AGGCACGAATGGGGAGTTATG. The primers targeting NOS3 (-167/-15bp) were F. CGTGGAGCTGAGGCTTTAGA, R.AGCCCTGGCCTTTTCCTTAG. The primers targeting ACTA2 were F.CAGGCCAAGGCTGACTTCCATTATAG, R.CATGAACCCAGCCAAATCC. Because ACTA2 promoter was heavily methylated and comparable in endothelia in different hemodynamic environments (Online Figure VIII), the ChIP-qPCR data were normalized to ACTA2.

Gel shift assay

Single-stranded oligonucleotides containing MEF2 binding sites (5’FAM-CCCTTTCTCGCTATTAAAGTATCAAACCATAACTCCCATTCCGTGCT) were 5’ labeled with FAM (Fluorescein amidite), PAGE purified and used as probe. A 10 µg nuclear protein extract from HAEC were incubated with 500 fmol oligonucleotide probe, 1 µg sonicated salmon
sperm DNA and 10X loading buffer dye (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA) for 30 min at room temperature. 15 µl protein and DNA mixture were separated in a 6% DNA retardation gel (Life Technologies). The fluorescent signal was captured by a Fujifilm LAS-3000 Imager.

0.4 µg anti-MEF2 antibody (C-21, Santa Cruz) was pre-incubated with nuclear protein for 10 min in the competition study. Wild type oligonucleotides containing MEF2 binding sites CCCTTTCTCGCTATTTAAAGATATCAAAACCATAACTCCCCATTGCTGCT were used as specific competitor. In mutant oligonucleotides CCCTTTCTTACTATTTAAAGATATCAAAACCATAACTCCCCATTATGCCT, two CG dinucleotides were changed to TA. Five, 20, and 50-fold molar excess of competitor and mutant oligonucleotides were used in competition binding.

**Methylation of promoter constructs and luciferase reporter assay**

Human KLF4 promoter sequence (-69/+1250), which contains MEF2 binding site (-64/-55), was inserted upstream of the luciferase reporter gene in pEZX-PG04 vector (GeneCopoeia, Rockville, MD). The CpG sites in the construct were in vitro methylated by methylase M.SssI (NEB). Methylated and mock-methylated constructs were purified by DNA clean kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA). The methylation status and concentration were verified by using methylation-sensitive HpaII enzyme digestion, followed by TapeStation analysis. HAEC were plated and grown on 24-well plates 48 hr prior to transfection. Cells in 24-well plate at 60-80% confluence were transfected with 100 ng plasmid by Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Luciferase activities were measured by using luminescence assay Kit (GeneCopoeia) 72 hr after transfection. The luminescent signal was measured by an EnVision 2103 multilabel plate reader (PerkinElmer).

**Transfection of DNMT3A-specific shRNA**

Ten µg DNMT3A-specific shRNA expression plasmids (Origene, Rockville, MD) were transfected into 60-80% confluent HAEC in 100mm culture dishes by using Lipofectamine 2000. Scramble shRNA plasmids were used as control. After 2 days of transfection, the mRNA of DNMT1, 3A and 3B were examined by RT-PCR. After successful knock-down of DNMT3A, the confluent HAEC on glass slide were subjected to flow for 2 days.

**Reference**

### Online Table I. Primers for qPCR analysis

**Online Table I A. Primers for qPCR analysis of human mRNA and pre-mRNA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human Genes</th>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Amplicon Size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 KLF4, pre-mRNA | F. TCCCATCTTTTTCACGTTTCG  
R. ACCACACCCACGAAAAACC | 131 |
| 2 NOS3, pre-mRNA | F. CCAGCGCCAGAACACAGGTAAG  
R. GCCCTCCCAGACTCATACAA | 119 |
| 3 KLF4, mRNA | F. TCTCAATTAGCTGACTACCACT  
R. ATCGGATAGGTAAGCTGCAAG | 136 |
| 4 NOS3, mRNA | F. CTCTAGGGCCACGGTTGAG  
R. ACCACGTCATACTCATCCACAC | 152 |
| 5 DNMT1, mRNA | F. AGCACAATTAGCTGTCTTTTTC  
R. ATGGGGAAGAGATTTTTTGGC | 172 |
| 6 DNMT3A, mRNA | F. TCTTCTTGGAGGAATGTGCAG  
R. AAAAGACCTCGAGCGAGTGG | 153 |
| 7 DNMT3B, mRNA | F. AACTTATTGGAGGAATGTGCAG  
R. ATGGGGAAGAGATTTTTTGGC | 162 |
| 8 DNMT3L, mRNA | F. TCCCTCTGATGTCTGAGAAACC  
R. AAAAAACCTATCCATGCG | 104 |
| 9 TET1, mRNA | F. CAACAGTAAACCTTCTGCTACTG  
R. CGATGAGCATGCCATACAA | 137 |
| 10 TET2, mRNA | F. ACAAGGCGCATCTAATGCCC  
R. TGGTTTTTCTGCACCGAATAG | 133 |
| 11 TET3, mRNA | F. TCAACCGGTGAGATGCTGTCATG  
R. AGTGGAGGTGAGGCCCTTGTCACAG | 150 |
| 12 TDG, mRNA | F. ACAACTGATGGCTGAAGCTC  
R. TTTTGGAGCCTCTTGCACTG | 109 |
| 13 THBD, mRNA | F. ACAGGTCAGCTGAGTGGTTC  
R. TTCTCTTCCTGGCAGTGC | 136 |
| 14 MCP-1, mRNA | F. CCAGCGCGAAGGTGCTCCAAAG  
R. TGCTGTTCAGGTGTCCTGTCAG | 115 |
| 15 GADD45B, mRNA | F. GAGTCGGCCAAGTGGATGAAATG  
R. TGTCCTTGTCGACAGCAGAAG | 136 |
| 16 MBD4, mRNA | F. GCCAAAGACGTACAGCTACAAG  
R. CTGGTTTGGTAGAGCGACTGGT | 154 |
| 17 SMUG1, mRNA | F. TTGGCCAGCAGATGACAAC  
R. AGTGTCTAAAACGATGCCAGCC | 129 |
Online Table I B. Primers for qPCR analysis of porcine mRNA and pre-mRNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Swine Genes</th>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Amplicon Size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 KLF4, pre-mRNA</td>
<td>F. CACGTTCAGGGCTGCT</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R. TGTTCATGTGAGGGAGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 KLF4, mRNA</td>
<td>F. AGCAGGCACTCTTCTGGTT</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R. AGGACAAGAGATAACCGCTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 NOS3, pre-mRNA</td>
<td>F. AGGCCAGGGACTTCATCAAC</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R. ACCATTTCTAGTGTCTCGAAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 NOS3, mRNA</td>
<td>F. AGGCCAGGGACTTCATCAAC</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R. ACACCAGCTCTATTCTCTCGAAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 GAPDH, mRNA</td>
<td>F. CCGCTGACTTAACTCGACCTG</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R. CCGCTGACTTAACTCGACCTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 PECAM1, mRNA</td>
<td>F. CGCACGCCATTTCCTTCCAATT</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R. CAGACTCCACCTCTCTCTCGAAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 UBB, mRNA</td>
<td>F. GGGAGGGTTGGGGAGCATTGAT</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R. AGCAGCTTAATTCCTCGGAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Online Table II. Primers for MSP analysis.

Online Table II A. Primers for MSP analysis of human gene promoter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human Genes</th>
<th>Methylation Primers</th>
<th>Genomic DNA Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 KLF4, (-1520/-1328)</td>
<td>F. GGTGGGTTGTAATTTTTC</td>
<td>GGTTGGGTTGTAATTTTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R. TAAATTTAATCGACCATC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 KLF4, (-1339/-1141)</td>
<td>F. CCGAGTTAATTTATTCGGGGC</td>
<td>CGAGTTAATTTATTCGGGGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R. CCGAGTTAATTTATTCGGGGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 KLF4, (-808/-648)</td>
<td>F. TTTTTCGGTGGGTAAGGAC,</td>
<td>TTTTTCGGTGGGTAAGGAC,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R. AACAATCTGTTTCTCTGAGCT</td>
<td>AACAATCTGTTTCTCTGAGCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 KLF4, (-152/+9)</td>
<td>F. TGGTTGAAAATTGTTTTCGC,</td>
<td>TGGTTGAAAATTGTTTTCGC,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R. CAAAAAAATATTCCGCCGA</td>
<td>CAAAAAAATATTCCGCCGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 NOS3, (-369/-196)</td>
<td>F. GAGGTCGAAAGGTTTTC,</td>
<td>GAGGTCGAAAGGTTTTC,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R. ACGCCCTAATATCCCGCTAT</td>
<td>ACGCCCTAATATCCCGCTAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 THBD, (-802/-681)</td>
<td>F. CGAATTGCTTAGTGGTTGATCC</td>
<td>CGAATTGCTTAGTGGTTGATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R. AAATCGCGAAAACACTATCA</td>
<td>AAATCGCGAAAACACTATCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 UBB, (+538/+718)</td>
<td>F. ATAGTGGGTTTGGTTGATTTGA</td>
<td>ATAGTGGGTTTGGTTGATTTGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R. CCTTCTACACTAAAATTCCA</td>
<td>CCTTCTACACTAAAATTCCA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Online Table II B. Primers for MSP analysis of porcine gene promoter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Swine Genes</th>
<th>Methylation Primers</th>
<th>Genomic DNA Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 KLF4, (-1065/-900)</td>
<td>F. GGTGGGTAGTGTAGTCTGTC, R. ACAGAAATAAAAATCAACCCGA</td>
<td>GGTTGGGCAGTGACAGCCGCCGCGGGCTCCGTGTGACCTTACAGGAACCGGGGTTCCAATGCGTCGCTCCACTTTCTGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 NOS3, (-13/+93)</td>
<td>F. AGCGGCTGAAAGGTGATACT, R. ATTCTTCACGCGAAAAAACT</td>
<td>AGCGGCCGAAGGTGACACGCTTCTCTCTACTCTAAGACCCAGCCGGCTCCCAACAGCCCCCCGCTCACCCGGCCCCAGAGGGGCCCAAGTTCCCTCGCGTGAAGAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 UBB, (-2358/-2255)</td>
<td>F. GTTGTGGTGTTAGGAGGAATTT, R. TCACAAAAAAACTATACCCC</td>
<td>GCTGCTGTGTTAGGAGGAATTTACTTAAATCTGTTAACAGTGACCACAGCAGCAACGTGAACAGAGACGCTGATTGGAACTGGGGGCATAGTTTCTCTTTGTGA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Online Table III. Primers for restriction enzyme qPCR analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human Genes</th>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Amplicon Size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 KLF4, (CCGG-9)</td>
<td>F. TGAGCCCCTTTCACCTCCTTTC, R. AACTTGGGAGGACCTCAAGAGAAG</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 KLF4, (CCGG-804)</td>
<td>F. AGATCGCCGTTTCCTATTCCC, R. AGTGACCATGTGCCAGGAAG</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 NOS3, (CCGG-137)</td>
<td>F. CTGAGGAGCTGAGGCTTTAGA, R. AGCGCCCTGCGCTCTCTTCTATTAG</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 NOS3, (CCGG-194)</td>
<td>F. TTATCACGCTCAGTCTCCTAGCAC, R. GCTCTAAAGCGCTCAGCTCAGCCACCTCC</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 NOS3, (CCGG-745)</td>
<td>F. TCACGCTCAGTCTCCTCTGCTG, R. TCAGGTGGGGACACAAAAG</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Online Table IV. Primers for ChIP-PCR analysis of human KLF4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human Genes</th>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Amplicon Size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 KLF4, (-2594/-2484)</td>
<td>F. TCTATGTGTCCAGACCCCATAC, R. AGGGGAATTTATGGGATGACCATG</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 KLF4, (-1471/-1352)</td>
<td>F. TGCCAAAACACTCTCCTAGCCCTCAG, R. AAAGGCAGGAAATCCTCCTAAG</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 KLF4, (-778/-643)</td>
<td>F. CTGAGGTGTTCACCTTCTTCTTATG, R. CAAAGGACAACATCGTTCCCT</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 KLF4, (+215/+285)</td>
<td>F. TGAGTGAGTTCACCGGGATAATC, R. CTGCTAGCATACGGCGCTT</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Online Figures I - VIII

Figures Legends

**Online Figure I.** DF regulation of KLF4 and NOS3 promoter methylation and hydroxymethylation.

Confluent HAEC were subjected to UF or DF for 2 days. Methylation and hydroxymethylation of promoter was determined by restriction enzyme qPCR targeting (A) KLF4 (CCGG-804) and (B) NOS3 (CCGG -745 and -194). ① input, ② 5hmC, ③ 5mC and 5hmC, ④ input, ⑤ background, ⑥ 5mC and 5hmC. Data are normalized to ① uncut DNA and expressed as mean ± SEM. *Different from UF, P < 0.05, n= 4.

**Online Figure II.** MEF2 chromatin enrichment in KLF4.

The chromatin of HAEC were cross-linked and sonicated. MEF2 chromatin loading was mapped in KLF4 promoter by ChIP-qPCR using 4 pairs of primer. Data are normalized to input DNA and are expressed as mean ± SEM fold of UF.

**Online Figure III.** Induction of MEF2 binding to KLF4 promoter sequence by hemodynamic forces.

Confluent HAEC were subjected to UF or DF for 2 days. 10 µg of nuclear protein was incubated with FAM labeled oligonucleotides with sequence of the MEF2 binding site from the KLF4 promoter (-74/-25) for 30 min. The oligonucleotides are not methylated. Anti-MEF2 antibody was pre-incubated with nuclear protein before adding the oligonucleotides. The oligonucleotides and proteins were separate in 6% DNA retardation gel. The fluorescent signal was captured by a Fujifilm LAS-3000 Imager. Representative image is shown. The arrow head indicates a shifted band.

**Online Figure IV.** Methylation of KLF4 promoter reporter construct.

The human KLF4 promoter sequence (-69/+1250), which contains MEF2 binding sequence, was inserted upstream of the luciferase reporter gene in pEZX-PG04 vector. The construct were in vitro methylated by methylase M.SssI. Methylated and unmethylated construct were examined by methylation-sensitive restriction enzyme HpaII. The digested DNA was analyzed in TapeStation 2200. Representative image were shown.

**Online Figure V.** Effects of knock-down DNMT3A.

DNMT3A-specific shRNA expression plasmids were transfected into 60-80% confluent HAEC by Lipofectamine 2000. Scrambled shRNA plasmids were used as control. After 2 days of transfection, the mRNA of DNMT1, 3A and 3B were examined by RT-PCR. Data are normalized
to UBB and expressed as mean ± SEM fold of scrambled control. *Different from scramble shRNA control $P < 0.05$. n= 4.

**Online Figure VI. Effects of RG108 and 5-azacytidine on KLF4 promoter methylation.**

(A) Confluent HAEC under static condition (no flow) were treated with vehicle (DMSO), 4-100 µM RG108 or 0.2-5 µM 5-azacytidine (5-Aza) for 2 days. KLF4 promoter methylation (1339/-1141 and -152/+9) were analyzed by MSP. Data are normalized to UBB promoter without CpG sites and expressed as mean ± SEM fold of control. (B) Confluent HAEC were subjected to flow (UF and DF) with RG108 (20 µM) or vehicle (DMSO) for 2 days. KLF4 promoter methylation (-1520/-1328, 1339/-1141 and -808/-648) were analyzed by MSP. Data are normalized to UBB promoter and expressed as mean ± SEM fold of UF. *Different from UF. **Different from vehicle control. *# $P < 0.05$. n= 4.

**Online Figure VII. Effects of RG108 on NOS3 and THBD promoter methylation.**

Confluent HAEC were subjected to UF and DF with RG108 (20 µM), or vehicle (DMSO) for 2 days. NOS3 promoter with low CpG density (CpGo/e <0.4) and THBD promoter with high CpG density (CpGo/e >0.6) were analyzed by MSP. Data are normalized to UBB promoter and expressed as mean ± SEM fold of UF. n= 4.

**Online Figure VIII. ACTA2 promoter methylation.**

The endothelia were isolated from a UF region of swine descending thoracic aorta and DF region of swine aorta arch. Swine ACTA2 promoter methylation was examined by bisulfite Sanger sequencing with the primer (F. GTGGAATGTAGTGGAAGAGATT, R. AAAACTAATTCCACAATCCAC) on an ABI 3730 DNA Analyzer (Invitrogen). Data were analyzed by Sequencher version 5.1 sequence analysis software (Gene Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, MI). n=4.
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