A Specific Pattern of Phosphodiesterases Controls the cAMP Signals Generated by Different G$_s$-Coupled Receptors in Adult Rat Ventricular Myocytes
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Abstract—Compartmentation of cAMP is thought to generate the specificity of G$_s$-coupled receptor action in cardiac myocytes, with phosphodiesterases (PDEs) playing a major role in this process by preventing cAMP diffusion. We tested this hypothesis in adult rat ventricular myocytes by characterizing PDEs involved in the regulation of cAMP signals and L-type Ca$^{2+}$ current ($I_{Ca,L}$) on stimulation with β$_1$-adrenergic receptors (β$_1$-ARs), β$_2$-ARs, glucagon receptors (Glu-Rs) and prostaglandin E$_1$ receptors (PGE$_1$-Rs). All receptors but PGE$_1$-R increased total cAMP, and inhibition of PDEs with 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine strongly potentiated these responses. When monitored in single cells by high-affinity cyclic nucleotide–gated (CNG) channels, stimulation of β$_1$-AR and Glu-R increased cAMP, whereas β$_2$-AR and PGE$_1$-R had no detectable effect. Selective inhibition of PDE3 by cilostamide and PDE4 by Ro 20-1724 potentiated β$_1$-AR cAMP signals, whereas Glu-R cAMP was augmented only by PDE4 inhibition. PGE$_1$-R and β$_2$-AR generated substantial cAMP increases only when PDE3 and PDE4 were blocked. For all receptors except PGE$_1$-R, the measurements of $I_{Ca,L}$ closely matched the ones obtained with CNG channels. Indeed, PDE3 and PDE4 controlled β$_1$-AR and β$_2$-AR regulation of $I_{Ca,L}$, whereas only PDE4 controlled Glu-R regulation of $I_{Ca,L}$, thus demonstrating that receptor–PDE coupling has functional implications downstream of cAMP. PGE$_1$ had no effect on $I_{Ca,L}$ even after blockade of PDE3 or PDE4, suggesting that other mechanisms prevent cAMP produced by PGE$_1$ to diffuse to L-type Ca$^{2+}$ channels. These results identify specific functional coupling of individual PDE families to G$_s$-coupled receptors as a major mechanism enabling cardiac cells to generate heterogeneous cAMP signals in response to different hormones.

(Circ Res. 2006;98:1081-1088.)
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Cardiac myocytes express a number of G$_s$-coupled receptors (GsPCRs) that raise intracellular cAMP levels and activate cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA) but exert different downstream effects. For instance, β$_1$-adrenergic receptor (β$_1$-AR) stimulation produces a major and sustained increase in force of contraction, accelerates relaxation, and stimulates glycogen phosphorylase.1 β$_2$-AR stimulation also increases contractile force but does not activate glycogen phosphorylase2 and does not accelerate relaxation1,3 (see also ref. 2); glucagon receptor (Glu-R) stimulation activates phosphorylase and exerts positive inotropic and lusitropic effects, but the contractile effects fade with time.4 Finally, prostaglandin E$_1$ (PGE$_1$) has no effect on contractile activity or glycogen metabolism.5,6

Such observations led to the proposal that activation of different G$_s$PCRs results in the accumulation of cAMP and phosphorylation of hormone target proteins in distinct compartments.7 The discovery of A-kinase anchoring proteins, responsible for the subcellular distribution of particulate PKA,8 and the development of new methodologies allowing to track local cAMP in living cells provided additional support for this concept.9–15

Because cAMP is a small and readily diffusible molecule, cAMP compartments can only exist under a restrictive set of conditions for the localization and activity of the different components of the cAMP signaling cascade, namely G$_s$PCRs, adenylyl cyclases, PKA, and the cAMP phosphodiesterases (PDEs). Cardiac PDEs fall into four families: PDE1, which is activated by Ca$^{2+}$/calmodulin; PDE2, which is stimulated by cGMP; PDE3, which is inhibited by cGMP; and PDE4. Whereas PDE1 and PDE2 can hydrolyze both cAMP and cGMP, PDE3 preferentially hydrolyzes cAMP, and PDE4 is specific for cAMP. Until now, only a limited number of studies have addressed directly the participation of PDEs to
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cAMP compartmentation and hormonal specificity in cardiac cells. In a recent study, we used recombinant cyclic nucleotide-gated (CNG) channels as cAMP biosensors in adult rat ventricular myocytes (ARVMs) to show that β-adrenergic cAMP signals are localized by PKA-mediated activation of PDE3 or PDE4. However, PDE regulation of other hormonal cAMP signals is presently unknown. In the following, we address this point by comparing the cAMP signals generated by β1-AR, β2-AR, Glu-R, and PGE2-R, their regulation by individual PDE families, and the functional consequences on L-type Ca2+ channels.

Materials and Methods
Detailed methods are included in the online-only data supplement to this article, available at http://circres.ahajournals.org.

Total cAMP Determination
CAMP was measured by radioimmunossay after acetylation of the samples.

PDE Assay
Activity was measured according to a modification of the two-step assay procedure method described by Thompson and Appleman with 1 µmol/L cAMP and 106 cpm [3H]-cAMP, as detailed previously.

Electrophysiological Experiments
The whole-cell configuration of the patch-clamp technique was used to record the L-type calcium current (ICa,L) and the CNG current (ICNG). The extracellular Cs+-Ringer solution contained 1.8 mmol/L [Ca2+] and 1.8 mmol/L [Mg2+] for ICa,L recordings and normal [Ca2+] and [Mg2+] plus 1 µmol/L nifedipine for ICNG recordings. Patch pipettes were filled with a Cs+ solution.

Data Analysis
ICa,L amplitude was measured as the difference between the peak inward current and the current at the end of the 400-ms duration pulse. ICa,L amplitude was measured at the end of the 200-ms pulse. Capacitance and leak currents were not compensated. In 141 ARVMs, mean capacitance was 160.5 ± 3.9 pF.

Results
PDE Activities in ARVMs
Figure 1 summarizes experiments in which the relative activity of PDE2, PDE3, and PDE4 in quiescent ARVMs was determined. Total cAMP hydrolytic activity was on average 109.8 ± 16.6 pmol/min per mg protein (n = 4) and was mainly attributable to PDE3 and PDE4, which represented 31% and 38% of the total, respectively. Under these assay conditions, PDE2 activity was minimal (Figure 1). Immunoprecipitation experiments showed that PDE4 activity is contributed by PDE4A, PDE4B, and PDE4D variants, whereas the PDE3A form is the predominant PDE3 expressed (data not shown).

The relative activity of individual PDE families did not vary significantly after 24 and 48 hours of culture (data not shown). Moreover, the ratio of PDE3/PDE4 was similar to that measured in extracts of adult rat ventricle (data not shown). Thus, cultured ARVMs closely resemble the in vivo pattern of PDE expression.

Figure 1. Relative PDE activities in ARVMs. PDE2 activity was determined as the fraction of total hydrolytic activity inhibited by 10 µmol/L EHNA; PDE3 as the fraction inhibited by 1 µmol/L 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (IBMX); and PDE4 activity as the fraction inhibited by 1 µmol/L rolipram. In some instances, rolipram was replaced by RS25344 (1 µmol/L) or piclamilast (1 µmol/L), with identical results. The bars represent the mean ± SEM of the number of independent experiments indicated.

Figure 2. Effect of various stimuli linked to adenylyl cyclase activation on total cAMP content in freshly isolated ARVMs. Where necessary, the β2-AR antagonist CGP (1 µmol/L), the β2-AR agonist ICI (1 µmol/L), and IBMX (100 µmol/L) were added 15 minutes before the 3-minute stimulation with ISO (5 µmol/L), Glu (1 µmol/L), or PGE2 (1 µmol/L). The bars show the means ± SEM of the number of experiments indicated near the bars. Statistically significant difference in cAMP content between basal and agonists alone or between IBMX and agonists with IBMX are indicated as **P < 0.001.
methylxanthine (IBMX; 100 μmol/L) increased the total cAMP content by ~2-fold and dramatically potentiated the response to all agonists except PGE₁. The effect of ISO + ICI and Glu were multiplied by 8 and 7, respectively, whereas that of ISO + CGP was increased 3-fold. cAMP content after PGE₁ + IBMX was slightly increased when compared with IBMX alone, although it did not reach statistical significance.

### Real-Time Monitoring of Subsarcolemmal cAMP Signals Elicted by Different GPCRs in ARVMs

To further compare cAMP signals elicited by the different receptors, we used CNG channels that allow direct monitoring of cAMP variations beneath the plasma membrane in intact ARVMs. Figure 3 summarizes the results obtained with two CNGA2 mutants, which differ in their sensitivity to cAMP. E583M (EC₅₀ for cAMP ~10 μmol/L), and C460W/E583M (EC₅₀ for cAMP ~1 μmol/L). As depicted in Figure 3A, activation of β₁-AR by a combination of ISO (5 μmol/L) and ICI (1 μmol/L) increased basal IₖCNG density ~3-fold, whereas specific activation of β₂-AR by ISO (5 μmol/L) plus CGP (1 μmol/L) had no effect. Similarly, activation of Glu-R by Glu (1 μmol/L) or PGE₁-R by PGE₁ (1 μmol/L) failed to activate IₖCNG (Figure 3A). These results indicate that CAMP concentration was below the activation threshold of E583M CNGA2 on stimulation of β₂-AR, Glu-R, and PGE₁-R. When using the C460W/E583M mutant (Figure 3B), activation of β₁-AR increased basal IₖCNG density 6-fold. This effect was significantly higher (P<0.01) than that obtained in cells expressing E583M CNGA2, as expected from the relative affinities of the two channels toward cAMP. Interestingly, application of Glu now activated IₖCNG by ~5-fold, but specific activation of β₁-AR or PGE₁-R still had no effect. Thus, the increased sensitivity of C460W/E583M CNGA2 allowed the detection of subsarcolemmal cAMP generated by activation of Glu-R but not by activation of β₁-AR or PGE₁-R.

### Regulation of Subsarcolemmal cAMP Signals by PDEs

The above results suggest that cAMP signals elicited by the four different GPCRs at the plasma membrane are not identical. We next investigated the contribution of PDEs to such specificity. Both CNGA2 mutants were used with essentially similar results, therefore the low-affinity E583M channel data are presented as supplemental Figures I and II. Preliminary experiments showed that IBMX (100 μmol/L) had no significant effect on IₖCNG from myocytes expressing E583M per C460W (n=0; data not shown). Figure 4A shows a typical experiment in which the amplitude of IₖCNG is depicted as a function of time, thus providing an instant readout of subsarcolemmal cAMP level in a single cardiac myocyte. β₁-AR stimulation with ISO (5 μmol/L) + ICI (1 μmol/L) induced a substantial increase of IₖCNG, which was strongly potentiated by selective PDE3 inhibition with cilostamide (Cil; 1 μmol/L) or selective PDE4 inhibition with Ro 20-1724 (Ro; 10 μmol/L). Concomitant inhibition of all PDEs with IBMX (100 μmol/L) resulted in a similar potentiation of β₁-AR stimulation to that obtained with selective PDE4 inhibition. At the end of each experiment, the cell was challenged with a saturating concentration (100 μmol/L) of the forskolin analog L-858051 to determine the maximal IₖCNG response. In Figure 4C and 4D, we investigated the role of PDEs in shaping the β₂-AR cAMP response. As seen previously, application of ISO (5 μmol/L) + CGP (1 μmol/L) had no effect on IₖCNG. Selective inhibition of PDE3 during β₁-AR stimulation with ISO + CGP induced a small and transient increase of IₖCNG. A somewhat stronger effect was observed on PDE4 inhibition, although it was also transient (Figure 4C). In contrast, concomitant inhibition of PDE3 and PDE4 unmasked a robust and sustained cAMP increase during β₁-AR stimulation. These results indicate that PDE3 and PDE4 control cAMP elicited by β₁-AR and β₂-AR. Both PDEs are necessary to circumvent the cAMP rise elicited by β₁-AR, whereas either PDE3 or PDE4 individually is sufficient to prevent cAMP to rise beneath membrane on β₂-AR activation.

Figure 5A shows that inhibition of PDE3 had little effect on IₖCNG augmented by 1 μmol/L Glu, whereas a selective inhibition of PDE4 by Ro produced a strong stimulation of the current. Concomitant inhibition of both PDE3 and PDE4 by Cil + Ro, or of all PDEs by IBMX, resulted in a comparable effect as inhibition of PDE4 alone (Figure 5A and 5B). These results indicate that Glu-R CAMP signals at the membrane are controlled exclusively by PDE4. Although no increase in cAMP with PGE₁ could be detected previously, we tested whether PDE inhibition could reveal a PGE₁ effect on IₖCNG. As shown in Figure 5C, application of 1 μmol/L PGE₁ did not affect IₖCNG. However, in the continuous presence of PGE₁, simultaneous inhibition of PDE3 and PDE4 (by Cil...
+ Ro) clearly activated the \( I_{\text{CNG}} \). IBMX was more efficient than Cil + Ro, indicating that other PDEs besides PDE3 and PDE4 might play a role under these conditions (Figure 5C). Thus, similar to \( \beta_2\)-AR, cAMP mobilization by PGE1-R needs concomitant inhibition of PDE3 and PDE4 to be detected at the membrane. However, in contrast to \( \beta_2\)-AR, other PDEs besides PDE3 and PDE4 regulate PGE1-R cAMP signals in cardiac cells.

**Regulation of the L-Type Ca\(^{2+}\) Current by GPCRs and PDEs**

Collectively, the above results show that different PDEs are involved in the regulation of cAMP generated by distinct GPCRs in cardiac myocytes. To evaluate the functional implication of these findings, we examined the regulation of a major sarcolemmal cAMP target, the L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) current (\( I_{\text{Ca,L}} \)), by GPCRs and PDEs. The experiments were performed at 24 hours, as with CNG channel experiments. Selective inhibition of PDE3 by Cil (1 \( \mu \text{mol/L} \)) or PDE4 by Ro (10 \( \mu \text{mol/L} \)) had no effect on basal \( I_{\text{Ca,L}} \) (data not shown).

Because the concentration of ISO (5 \( \mu \text{mol/L} \)) used in the \( \beta_1\)-AR stimulation of \( I_{\text{CNG}} \) produced a maximal stimulation of \( I_{\text{Ca,L}} \) (121.3 \( \pm \) 22.2% over control; \( n = 9 \); data not shown), a lower dose of ISO (1 \( \text{nmol/L} \), with 1 \( \mu \text{mol/L} \) ICI) was used in subsequent experiments to assess the contribution of PDE isoforms to the \( \beta_1\)-AR regulation of \( I_{\text{Ca,L}} \). In these conditions, selective inhibition of PDE3 by Cil or PDE4 by Ro resulted in a marked potentiation of the prestimulated \( I_{\text{Ca,L}} \) (Figure 6A and 6B). As shown in Figure 6C and 6D, \( \beta_2\)-AR stimulation with ISO (5 \( \mu \text{mol/L} \)) and CGP (1 \( \mu \text{mol/L} \)) produced a small but significant stimulation of \( I_{\text{Ca,L}} \). This effect could be further potentiated by PDE3 inhibition with Cil and more markedly by PDE4 blockade with Ro. These results indicate that PDE3, and more prominently PDE4, are integral components of \( I_{\text{Ca,L}} \).
regulation by β₁-AR and β₂-AR. In another series of experiments, the regulation of I_{Ca,L} by Glu was investigated. At high (1 μmol/L) concentration, Glu maximally stimulated I_{Ca,L}, and addition of PDE inhibitors had no effect (data not shown). At the concentration of 10 nmol/L, Glu slightly decreased I_{Ca,L}, and PDE3 inhibition by Cil had no effect. However, application of Ro to block PDE4 clearly increased I_{Ca,L} (Figure 7A and 7B). Finally, as shown in Figure 7C and 7D, PGE₁ had no effect on I_{Ca,L}, even when either PDE3 or PDE4 was blocked. However, concomitant inhibition of PDE3 and PDE4 increased I_{Ca,L} in the presence of PGE₁, but this effect was not different from the effect of the inhibitors on the basal I_{Ca,L} (Figure 7D). These results confirm the specific and functional coupling of Glu-R to PDE4 and show that the cAMP compartment generated by activation of PGE₁-R is not in contact with L-type Ca^{2+} channels.

**Discussion**

Although a number of recent studies have underscored the role of PDEs in tailoring hormonal cAMP signals,9–13,21–23 none have addressed directly whether distinct hormonal cAMP signals are controlled by different PDEs. To gain some insight into this question, we used complementary biochemical and electrophysiological techniques to resolve cAMP at the cellular, subsarcolemmal, and local levels. We show that four canonical GPCRs expressed in ARVMs generate different cAMP signals because of a specific contribution of individual PDE families. For all receptors but PGE₁-R, the nature of this functional coupling determines the regulation of a major downstream cAMP target, the L-type Ca^{2+} channels.

In agreement with previous studies, we found that PDE3 and PDE4 represent the major cAMP hydrolytic activities in rodent cardiomyocytes.12,13,24 Although there is a general agreement that β₁-AR increases cAMP in adult rat cardiac preparations,3,25–27 the ability of β₂-AR to do so is a matter of debate.3,25,26 Although Glu increases cAMP in various cardiac preparations,4 recent studies failed to detect it.27,28 Thus, we checked whether stimulation of the different receptors led to detectable increases in total cAMP in ARVMs. We found that...
stimulation of β1-AR, Glu-R, and to a lesser extent β2-AR, increased total cAMP content, and that IBMX greatly accentuated these effects. In contrast, PGE1 alone did not increase cAMP, although a small effect could be observed in the presence of IBMX. This result is at variance with those from Buxton and Brunton in rabbit cardiomyocytes, in which ISO and PGE1 similarly increased cAMP. However, in addition to species differences, the experimental conditions used here are different because PGE1 was used at a 10-fold lower concentration (1 versus 10 μmol/L) and application lasted 5-fold less time (3 versus 15 minutes).

We next used CNG channels to monitor cAMP triggered by distinct routes near the plasma membrane.11,13 We found that activation of β1-AR and Glu-R elicited readily detectable cAMP at the membrane, whereas β2-AR and PGE1-R do not. In heterologous expression systems and in rat olfactory epithelium, CNG channels associate preferentially with non-caveolar lipid rafts.30 If this holds true in ARVMs, failure to detect cAMP elicited by β1-AR and PGE1-R could be attributable to localization of these receptors in caveolae.31–34 However, we would not compromise the role of PDEs in preventing cAMP diffusion out of such membrane compartments. Indeed, we found that IBMX, at a 100 μmol/L concentration, increased the response of I_{CNG} not only to β1-AR and Glu-R stimulation, but also revealed cAMP generated by β2-AR and PGE1-R stimulation. Given the K_{n} of IBMX for the different PDEs,35 at 100 μmol/L, this inhibitor should block PDE1, PDE2, PDE3, and PDE4 activities by, respectively, ~98%, ~93%, ~97%, and ~87%. To delineate the specific contribution of PDE4, we used Ro, which, at 10 μmol/L, should inhibit ~71% of its activity without affecting the other PDEs.35 We found that PDE4 hydrolyzes cAMP produced by all the receptors tested, thus limiting PKA activation and Ca^{2+} channel phosphorylation on β1-AR, β2-AR, and Glu-R activation. These results are consistent with previous studies showing that PDE4 is critically involved in the regulation of I_{CNG} and contractility by catecholamines and Glu.21,28,36 PDE4 isoforms are likely to play this ubiquitous role because of their molecular diversity and specific subcellular localization.7,33 However, whether specific PDE4 isoforms are associated with different receptors remains unclear. Recent data in neonatal cardiomyocytes reported an important role for PDE4B2 in controlling norepinephrine-induced cAMP increase.12 However, in the same model, other studies suggest that PDE4D isoforms are preferentially associated with the β2-AR. For instance, PDE4D5 and, to a lesser extent, PDE4D3 are recruited to the β2-AR by β-arrestin on ISO challenge.22,40 Moreover, Xiang et al16 showed that PDE4D selectively affects β2-AR versus β1-AR positive chronotropy. Whether these findings apply to ARVMs remains to be established.

Nearly complete (~95%) and selective PDE3 inhibition should be achieved by 1 μmol/L Cil.35 Using this inhibitor, we found that PDE3 controls the cAMP signals generated by β1-AR, β2-AR, and PGE1-R but not Glu-R. However, on I_{cA,L} response, PDE3 controlled the effects of β1-AR and β2-AR but had no impact on Glu-R or PGE1-R stimulation. We showed previously that PDE3 controlled cAMP diffusion and consequently I_{cA,L} activation in cardiac myocytes subjected to β-adrenergic stimulation.13,21,36,41,42 Our findings contrast with results obtained in neonatal rat ventricular myocytes in which PDE3 was found to have a moderate effect on β1-AR (with norepinephrine)–induced cAMP.12 Moreover, in right ventricular strips from adult rat, PDE3 inhibition had no effect on the contractile response to the β1-AR agonist dobutamine but potentiated that of Glu.27 In parallel cAMP assays, these authors found that PDE3 inhibition also had no effect on the response to dobutamine but revealed a substantial cAMP increase caused by Glu. Although obvious differences in developmental stage, cardiac territory, or rat strains might account for some of the discrepancies, one should emphasize that CNG channels provide a readout of subsarcolemmal cAMP, whereas other methods measure cytosolic or total cAMP. Thus, one could speculate that on β1-AR stimulation, PDE3 controls the membrane cAMP pool but not a cytosolic one possibly involved in contractility (sarcoplasmic reticulum-associated PDE3 for instance43). In the case of Glu-R, the opposite would be true: PDE3 would not control subsarcolemmal cAMP generated by Glu-R but would prevent the access of the second messenger to other, nonsubsarcolemmal inotropic targets. For such a hypothesis to be valid, one must further speculate either that totally segregated cAMP pathways are triggered by the different receptors (including PKA targets), or that differential regulation of PDE3 is triggered by receptor activation. The latter mechanism was found in frog heart but not in rat.44

Although inhibition of either PDE3 or PDE4 potentiated the β1-AR response, and selective inhibition of PDE4 only potentiated the response to Glu, concomitant inhibition of both low K_{n} cAMP PDEs was necessary to observe a substantial cAMP accumulation on β2-AR and PGE1-R stimulations. This indicates that both PDE3 and PDE4 are functionally associated with β1-AR and PGE1-R, but that one is sufficient to lower cAMP below the detection threshold of CNG channels. When examining the regulation of I_{cA,L} by β2-AR, single inhibition of PDE3 or PDE4 potentiated the effect of ISO+CGP. This may reflect the ~3- to 10-fold higher sensitivity of PKA versus CNG channels to cAMP. In contrast, single inhibition of PDE3 or PDE4 failed to reveal any effect of PGE1 on I_{cA,L}. Although concomitant inhibition of both PDEs readily stimulate I_{cA,L} in these cells and may mask a PGE1 effect (Figure 7D), the data suggest that PGE1-R lie in a distinct compartment from L-type Ca^{2+} channels.

On stimulation of β1-AR, β2-AR, and Glu-R, inhibition of PDE1–4 by IBMX had a similar effect on I_{cA,L} as did concomitant PDE3 and PDE4 inhibition. This suggests that no other PDE, besides PDE3 and PDE4, played a significant role under our experimental conditions. However, a very different situation occurred with PGE1. In this case, inhibition of PDE1 through PDE4 by IBMX had a greater effect than concomitant inhibition of PDE3 and PDE4. Thus, other PDEs, most likely PDE1 or PDE2, may also regulate PGE1-R–mediated intracellular cAMP signals.

Although this study was performed on healthy cardiac myocytes, it is tempting to speculate that changes in the organization of the cAMP compartments might take place in pathological conditions, such as heart failure. Cardiac hypertrophy and failure are associated with a profound remodeling
of the cAMP signaling pathway in cardiomyocytes. As for changes in PDE expression or activity, the results published so far are limited and contradictory. In rapid pacing-induced heart failure in dog, PDE3 mRNA and activity were decreased, whereas PDE4D was unchanged. In the same model, a modest reduction in total PDE activity was found in the left ventricular subendocardium but not in the epicardium, suggesting regional differences. In human, sarcoplasmic reticulum–associated PDE3 activity was shown to be unchanged in heart failure, although total PDE3 activity appears reduced and PDE3A protein expression downregulated in end-stage failing human heart. In rat, the expression and activity of PDE3 and PDE4 are strongly augmented in hypertension-induced hypertrophy. Finally, a recent study shows that PDE4D deficiency favors ryanodine receptor aberrant phosphorylation and promotes heart failure in mice. Although more work is needed to obtain a clear picture of the PDE rearrangement occurring in hypertrophy and heart failure, this study suggests that PDE alteration may affect cAMP compartmentation, leading to untargeted hormonal cAMP signals, aberrant phosphorylation of targets proteins and, in fine, contribute to cardiac dysfunction.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by an INSERM fellowship (M.C.), Fondation de France (G.V.), French Ministry of Education and Research (F.R. and A.A.-G.), Association Francaise contre les Myopathies (F.R.), National Institutes of Health (RO1 HD 20788 to M.C. and K.H.), and by European Union Contract n°LSHM-CT-2005-018833 (F.R.). We thank Patrick Lechène (INSERM U769) for skilful technical assistance and Dr Frank Lezoualc'h for critical reading of this manuscript.

References


A Specific Pattern of Phosphodiesterases Controls the cAMP Signals Generated by Different Gs-Coupled Receptors in Adult Rat Ventricular Myocytes
Francesca Rochais, Aniella Abi-Gerges, Kathleen Horner, Florence Lefebvre, Dermot M.F. Cooper, Marco Conti, Rodolphe Fischmeister and Grégoire Vandecasteele

Circ Res. 2006;98:1081-1088; originally published online March 23, 2006; doi: 10.1161/01.RES.0000218493.09370.8e

Circulation Research is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Copyright © 2006 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.
Print ISSN: 0009-7330. Online ISSN: 1524-4571

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:
http://circres.ahajournals.org/content/98/8/1081

Data Supplement (unedited) at:
http://circres.ahajournals.org/content/suppl/2006/03/23/01.RES.0000218493.09370.8e.DC1

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in Circulation Research can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the Permissions and Rights Question and Answer document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
http://www.lww.com/reprints

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to Circulation Research is online at:
http://circres.ahajournals.org//subscriptions/
Material and Methods

Isolation, Culture and Infection of ARVM - The investigation conforms with the European Community guiding principles in the care and use of animals (86/609/CEE, CE Off J n°L358, 18 December 1986), the local ethics committee (CREEA Ile-de-France Sud) guidelines and the French decree n°87/748 of October 19, 1987 (J Off République Française, 20 October 1987, pp. 12245-12248). Authorizations to perform animal experiments according to this decree were obtained from the French Ministère de l'Agriculture et de la Forêt (n°7475, May 27, 1997). Male Wistar rats (160-180 g) were subjected to anesthesia by intraperitoneal injection of pentothal (0.1 mg/g) and hearts were excised rapidly. Individual ARVMs were obtained by retrograde perfusion of the heart as previously described.¹ Freshly isolated cells were suspended in minimal essential medium (MEM: M 4780; Sigma, St. Louis, USA) containing 1.2 mmol/L Ca²⁺, 2.5% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Invitrogen, Cergy-Pontoise, France), 1% penicillin-streptomycin and 2% HEPES (pH 7.6) and plated on laminin coated culture dishes (10 µg/mL laminin, 2h) at a density of 10⁴ cells per dish. The cells were left to adhere for 1 hour in a 95% O₂, 5% CO₂ incubator at 37°C, before the medium was replaced by 400 µL of FBS-free MEM. For experiments with CNG channels, the E583M or the C460W/E583M CNGA2-encoding adenovirus were added to 200 µL of MEM at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 3000 pfu/cell. After 2 hours, the same volume of FBS-free medium without adenovirus was added and the cells were placed overnight in incubator. The medium was changed the next morning for adenovirus- and FBS-free MEM. Patch-clamp experiments were performed the same day.

Electrophysiological Experiments - The whole-cell configuration of the patch-clamp technique was used to record the L-type calcium current (I_{Ca,L}) and the CNG current (I_{CNG}), as previously described.² For I_{Ca,L} measurement, the cells were depolarized every 8 seconds to 0
mV during 400 ms. Fast sodium current was inactivated by holding potential (-50 mV) and potassium currents were blocked by replacing all K⁺ ions with external and internal Cs⁺. For $I_{\text{CNG}}$ measurement, the cells were maintained at 0 mV holding potential and routinely hyperpolarized every 8 seconds to -50 mV test potential during 200 ms. The 0 mV holding potential was chosen because it corresponds to the reversal potential of $I_{\text{CNG}}$ under our experimental conditions. $I_{\text{CNG}}$ was recorded in the absence of divalent cations in the extracellular solution allowing monovalent cations to flow through the channels in an unspecific manner. All the experiments were done at room temperature (21-27°C), and the temperature did not vary by more than 1°C in a given experiment.

**Solutions and Drugs for Patch-clamp Recording** - Control zero-Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺ extracellular Cs⁺ Ringer solution contained (in mmol/L): NaCl 107.1, CsCl 20, NaHCO₃ 4, NaH₂PO₄ 0.8, D-Glucose 5, sodium pyruvate 5, HEPES 10, adjusted to pH 7.4 with NaOH. For $I_{\text{CNG}}$ recording, this solution was supplemented with nifedipine (1 µmole/L) to block nonspecific cation current through L-type Ca²⁺ channels. For $I_{\text{Ca,L}}$ recording, nifedipine was omitted and 1.8 mM CaCl₂ and 1.8 mM MgCl₂ were added to the external solution. Control and drug-containing solutions were applied to the exterior of the cell by placing the cell at the opening of a 250 µm inner diameter capillary tubing. Patch electrodes (0.8-1.2 MΩ) were filled with control internal solution containing (in mmol/L): CsCl 118, EGTA 5, MgCl₂ 4, sodium phosphocreatine 5, Na₂ATP 3.1, Na₂GTP 0.42, CaCl₂ 0.062 (pCa 8.5), HEPES 10, adjusted to pH 7.3 with CsOH. Isoprenaline (ISO), prostaglandin E₁ (PGE₁), glucagon, ICI 118551 (ICI), CGP 20712A (CGP), rolipram, erythro-9-(2-hydroxy-3-nonyl)adenine (EHNA) and 3-isobutyl-1-methyl-xanthine (IBMX) were purchased from Sigma. L-858051 (L-85, a hydrosoluble analogue of forskolin) and cilostamide were purchased from France Biochem (Meudon, France). Ro 20-1724 (Ro) was kindly provided by Hoffman-La-Roche (Basel,
Switzerland), piclamilast by Sanofi-Aventis (Paris, France) and RS25344 by Roche (Palo Alto, CA, USA).

**PDE Assay** - Freshly isolated ARVMs were seeded on 35 mm Petri dishes at a density of $10^5$ cells/dish in FBS-free medium. After 1h, cells were washed with PBS and homogenized in ice-cold buffer containing (in mmol/L) NaCl 150, sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) 10, EDTA 2, β-mercaptoethanol 5, sodium pyrophosphate 30, sodium fluoride 50, benzamidine 3, AEBSF 2, NP40 0.1%, leupeptin 5 µg/ml, pepstatin 20 µg/ml, microcystin 1 µM. PDE activity was measured according to a modification of the two-step assay procedure method described by Thompson & Appleman in a total volume of 200 µL including (in mmol/L) Tris-HCl 40, pH 8.0, MgCl$_2$ 10, β-mercaptoethanol 1.25 supplemented with 1 µM cAMP and $10^5$ cpm [$^3$H]-cAMP, as detailed previously. PDE family-specific activities were determined as the difference between PDE activity in the absence of inhibitor and the residual hydrolytic activity observed in the presence of the selective inhibitor. Protein concentration was determined by bicinchoninic acid assay after addition of deoxycholate and precipitation by trichloroacetic acid (0.1%).

**cAMP Level Determination** - Freshly isolated ARVMs suspended in FBS-free MEM were plated in laminin-coated 12-well plates at a density of $5\times10^4$ cells/well. After 1h, the medium was replaced with control zero Ca$^{2+}$/Mg$^{2+}$ extracellular Cs$^+$ Ringer solution in the presence or absence of β-adrenergic antagonists ICI (1µmol/L) or CGP (1 µmol/L), or IBMX (100 µmol/L). After 15 min., the same Ringer solution with or without receptor agonists (ISO, 1 µmol/L; glucagon, 1 µmol/L; or PGE$_1$, 1 µmol/L) was applied for 3 min at room temperature. The stimulation was stopped by ice-cold trichloroacetic acid (0.1%) in 95% EtOH. After 30 min incubation on ice, samples were scraped and centrifuged for 30 min at 3,000 rpm at 4°C. The pellet was dissolved in 5% SDS in 0.1 N NaOH for protein determination by bicinchoninic acid assay. EtOH in the supernatant was evaporated and the material was
reconstituted with PBS, pH 7.4, then cAMP was measured by RIA after acetylation of the samples and appropriate dilution.\textsuperscript{5,6}

**Results**

For each receptor, identical experiments were performed in myocytes expressing the low affinity cAMP sensor E583M CNGA2, and gave essentially similar results. As shown in supplementary Fig IA and IB, individual inhibition of PDE3 and PDE4 potentiated the $\beta_1$-AR response, and these effects were not different from the effect of IBMX. In contrast, simultaneous inhibition of PDE3 and PDE4 was necessary to unmask a substantial and sustained sarcolemmal cAMP accumulation in response to $\beta_2$-AR stimulation (supplementary Fig IC and ID), showing that either PDE3 or PDE4 activity is enough to dampen $\beta_2$-AR cAMP at the membrane. As noted above, $I_{CNG}$ was not augmented by cell stimulation with glucagon when using the low affinity CNG channel (Fig. IIA and IIB). However, similarly to what observed with the C460W/E583M mutant, selective blockade of PDE3 failed to increase $I_{CNG}$ while PDE4 inhibition induced a major rise in $I_{CNG}$. Supplementary Fig. IIC and IID present the results obtained when PGE\textsubscript{1} was used to trigger cAMP in cardiac myocytes. While the hormone alone failed to increase $I_{CNG}$, the additional and concomitant inhibition of PDE3 and PDE4 provoked a slow accumulation of cAMP at the membrane. This accumulation was enhanced when all PDEs were inhibited with IBMX (supplementary Fig. IIC and IID).

**Legends to Supplementary Figures**

**Supplementary Figure I.** PDE regulation of cAMP signals from $\beta_1$-AR and $\beta_2$-AR. A and C, Time course of $I_{CNG}$ in adult rat ventricular myocytes expressing E583M CNGA2. The cells were superfused for a few minutes with a control solution and then challenged with a drug
during the periods indicated with the solid lines. B and D, Summary of the results obtained in a series of experiments as in A and C, respectively. Specific activation of $\beta_1$-AR and $\beta_2$-AR as in Fig. 1. Cilostamide (Cil, 1 µmol/L) was used for specific inhibition of PDE3 and Ro 20-1724 (Ro, 10 µmol/L) for specific inhibition of PDE4. IBMX (100 µmol/L) was used for non specific inhibition of PDEs. At the end of the experiment, the cell was challenged with a saturating concentration of the forskolin analog L-858051 (L-85, 100 µmol/L) as an internal control for CNG channels expression. The bars show the means±s.e.m. of the number of cells indicated. Statistically significant differences are indicated as **, p<0.01 and ***, p<0.001.

**Supplementary Figure II.** PDE regulation of cAMP signals from Glu-R and PGE$_1$-R. A and C, Adult rat ventricular myocytes infected with E583M Ad-CNG for 24h were superfused for a few minutes with a control Ringer solution and then challenged with a drug during the periods indicated with the solid lines. B and D, Summary of the results obtained in a series of experiments as in A and C, respectively. Glu-R activation was achieved by application of glucagon (Glu, 1 µmol/L). Pharmacological inhibition of PDEs as in Fig.2. Experiment was terminated with application of 100 µmol/L L-85. The bars show the means±s.e.m. of the number of cells indicated. Statistically significant differences are indicated as *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01 and ***, p<0.001. NS, non significant.
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