Myocardin is a serum response factor (SRF) cofactor expressed in cardiac and smooth muscle (SM) cell lineages. Although myocardin lacks intrinsic DNA-binding ability, it forms a stable ternary complex with SRF to potentiate activation of muscle-specific genes through the consensus sequence CC(A/T)6GG, known as a CArG box. In addition to activating cardiac gene expression and the cardiogenesis program, myocardin is also a potent transactivator for smooth muscle cell (SMC) differentiation and SM-related gene expression. Despite the significant role of myocardin in controlling muscle gene expression, upstream signaling pathways that regulate myocardin activity remain unknown.

Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) are growth and differentiation factors of the transforming growth factor (TGF)-β superfamily. Signaling by this superfamily is mediated by Smad proteins. There are three classes of Smads: receptor-regulated Smads (R-Smads), co-Smad (Smad4), and inhibitory Smads. To date, 3 R-Smads (Smad1, -5, and -8) participate in BMP signaling. Once activated, R-Smads form a heteromeric complex with Smad4 that translocates into the nucleus to regulate expression of BMP-responsive genes. Smad proteins bind DNA relatively weakly, but are strongly recruited to specific target genes by interacting with other transcription factors.

BMPs and downstream BMP signaling effectors are essential for cardiovascular development. However, it is clear BMP signaling alone is insufficient to activate the cardiac gene program because BMP-signaling pathway components are expressed in a wide range of tissues and cell types outside of cardiac muscle. Indeed, the ability of BMP signaling to commit specific mesodermal cells to a cardiac fate requires the interpretation of BMP signaling in a cell type–specific mechanism. How BMP signaling interacts with cardiac transcriptional networks is largely unknown. In light of the role of BMP signaling and myocardin in cardiovascular development, we investigated whether BMP signaling might regulate myocardin-mediated cardiac gene expression.

In this report, we show that Smad1 synergistically activates myocardin-dependent cardiac gene expression. Interestingly, the CArG box is necessary and sufficient for such synergy, whereas no obvious Smad-binding elements (SBEs) are involved. Consistent with their functional interaction, myocardin and Smad1 proteins physically interact. Myocardin transactivity was repressed by Smad7, an inhibitory Smad, and enhanced by constitutively activated activin receptor-like kinase (ALK)-3, a type 1 BMP receptor. Furthermore, myocardin protein expression was dramatically induced by BMP-2 treatment in cardiomyocytes. These findings suggest myocardin participates in a BMP signaling–dependent cardiac gene transcriptional program.

Abstract—Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) play important roles in cardiovascular development. However, how BMP-signaling pathways regulate cardiac gene expression is less clear. We have previously identified myocardin as a cardiac and smooth muscle–specific transcriptional cofactor for serum response factor (SRF). Myocardin potently activates target gene expression by tethering with SRF bound to SRF-responsive elements, the CArG box. Here, we show that Smad1, an effector of the BMP-signaling pathway, synergistically activates myocardin-dependent cardiac gene expression. Interestingly, the CArG box is necessary and sufficient to mediate such synergy, whereas no obvious Smad-binding element appears to be involved. Consistent with their functional interaction, we find that myocardin and Smad1 proteins interact directly. Furthermore, myocardin protein levels were dramatically increased by BMP-2 treatment in cardiomyocytes. These findings suggest myocardin participates in a BMP signaling–dependent cardiac gene transcriptional program. (Circ Res. 2005;97:992-1000.)
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Materials and Methods

Plasmid Constructs

Myocardin and SRF-expression plasmids have been described. Myocardin C-terminal deletion mutants (M1, M2, and M3) were cloned into a pcDNA expression vector with a N-terminal Myc tag. Myocardin and Smad1 cDNAs were cloned into pGEX-KG vector to generate glutathione S-transferase (GST) fusion proteins. Smad1, Smad4, Smad7, and constitutively activated (Q233D) ALK3 (ALK3
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QD) expression plasmids have been described.23–26 Smad1 cDNA was subcloned into pM1 vector to make GAL4-Smad1 fusion protein.

**Cell Culture and Luciferase Reporter Assays**

α-Cardin actin (α-CA),27 myosin light chain 2V (MLC2V),28 α-miosin heavy chain (α-MHC),1 and atrial natriuretic factor (ANF)1 promoter luciferase reporters were described. The Nkx2.5 promoter and SBE mutations have been described and were cloned into pGL3.26 Truncated ANF luciferase reporters were generated by cloning the −406, −226, and −115 to +70 regions of the ANF promoter into pGL3. The −115 ANF reporter was further truncated by deleting the −5 to +70 region. The ANF SBE mutation (−5 to −2) was generated by site-directed mutagenesis. COS7 cells were cultured as described.1 Neonatal rat cardiomyocytes prepared as described.27 Reporter assays were conducted in triplicate at least 2 times in 12-well plates. Transfections were performed with either Fugene6 (Roche) or Lipofectamine (Invitrogen) reagents. Unless otherwise indicated, 100 ng of reporter and 200 ng of activator plasmids were used. A cytomegalovirus-lacZ reporter was used as an internal control to normalize for transfection efficiencies, and total amount of DNA per well was kept constant by adding the corresponding amount of empty expression vector. Statistical analysis was performed using the Student’s t test; comparisons were considered significant where P<0.05.

**GST Protein Pull-Down Assays**

GST alone, GST-Smad1, and GST-myocardin proteins were expressed and purified as described and used for in vitro binding assays.13 Smad1 and myocardin proteins were in vitro translated (Promega) and [35S] methionine labeled. Pull-downs were performed by incubating radiolabeled proteins with bead-bound GST fusion proteins in buffer (20 mmol/L Tris [pH 7.3], 150 mmol/L NaCl, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, and protease inhibitors) for 2 hours at 4°C, followed by 3 washes in the same buffer. Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and autoradiography.

**Communoprecipitation and Western Blotting Assays**

COS7 cells were transfected with Myc-myocardin and Flag-Smad1 plasmids in 10-cm plates. After 48 hours posttransfection, whole-cell extracts were prepared in 1 mL of PBS buffer containing 1 mmol/L EDTA, 0.5% Triton X-100, 1 mmol/L phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and protease inhibitors. Extracts were cleared by 10 000g centrifugation for 10 minutes, incubated with anti-Flag M2 affinity gel resin (Sigma) for 2 hours at 4°C and then washed 3 times in the same buffer, and samples were subsequently analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis using anti-Myc (1:2500; A-14, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or anti-Flag (1:2500; M2, Sigma) antibodies.

**Electrophoretic Mobility-Shift Assay**

Electrophoretic mobility-shift assays (EMSAs) were performed essentially as described using the c-fos CArG probe.31 Complementary oligonucleotides were annealed and labeled using Klenow polymerase and [α-32P]dCTP. Labeled probe was incubated with in vitro translated SRF, Myc-tagged myocardin, and/or Smad1 proteins in gel-shift buffer. Antibody supershift experiments were performed with anti-SRF (G-20, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or anti-Myc. DNA–protein complexes were separated by gel electrophoresis on a 5% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel and visualized by autoradiography.

**BMP-Response Assay**

After serum starving overnight, cardiomyocytes cultured in 10-cm plates were treated with (or without treatment in control) 20 ng/mL recombinant BMP-2 (R&D Systems) for 48 hours, then harvested in 200 μL lysis buffer composed of PBS containing 0.5% Triton X-100, 1 mmol/L EDTA, 1 mmol/L phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and protease inhibitors. Twenty microliters of lysate were loaded onto SDS-PAGE for Western blot analysis. Antibodies used were anti-myocardin (1:1000; sc-21559, Santa Cruz Biotechnology); anti-myocyte enhancer factor 2 (MEF2) (1:1000; sc-313, Santa Cruz Biotechnology); anti-SRF (1:2500; sc-335, Santa Cruz Biotechnology); anti–α-actinin (1:2500; sc-17829, Santa Cruz Biotechnology); and anti–β-tubulin (1:5000; T-4026, Sigma).

**Results**

Synergistic Activation of Cardiac Promoters by Myocardin and Smad Proteins

Smad1 is a downstream effector of BMP signaling that mediates target gene transcription; we, therefore, tested whether myocardin and Smad1 might activate cardiac gene expression in a cooperative manner. Whereas myocardin strongly activated the ANF promoter luciferase reporter (Figure 1A),1 coexpression of myocardin and Smad1 synergistically activated this reporter in COS7 cells. In contrast, Smad1 by itself did not significantly activate the ANF reporter (Figure 1A). Myocardin and Smad1 also synergistically activated the ANF reporter in cardiomyocytes (Figure 1G). Similarly, myocardin and Smad1 synergistically activated all other cardiac promoter reporters tested (Figure 1B through 1E). Because Smad1 is known to heterodimerize with Smad4, we investigated the effects of Smad4 on myocardin/Smad1 synergy and found that Smad4 further increased myocardin/Smad1 activation (Figure 1E).

To rule out the possibility that the myocardin/Smad1 synergy observed was an indirect effect mediated through SRF, we tested whether myocardin and GAL4-Smad1 fusion protein could activate a GAL4-dependent luciferase reporter (UAS-luciferase). GAL4-Smad1 and myocardin activated the UAS-luciferase reporter in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1F). Similarly, Smad1 synergized with GAL4-myocardin fusion protein to activate the UAS-luciferase reporter (data not shown). These results suggest myocardin/Smad1 synergy is directly mediated by myocardin and Smad1 interaction. Together, we conclude BMP-signaling mediator Smad1 dramatically enhances myocardin transactivation of cardiac promoters.

**CArG Box Is Necessary and Sufficient to Mediate Myocardin and Smad1 Synergy**

Deletion analysis of the ANF promoter was performed to determine the minimal region required for myocardin/Smad1 functional interaction. Coexpression of myocardin and Smad1 increased activation of the ANF promoter reporter ≈5-fold higher than myocardin alone (Figure 2A). Truncating the ANF promoter to −406 to +70 did not significantly affect the activation by myocardin alone or the synergy between myocardin and Smad1 (Figure 2A). The −226 to +70 ANF reporter, which excluded 1 of the 2 CArG boxes present within the ANF promoter (CArG-far, −397 to −77), dramatically decreased the activation of this reporter by myocardin alone (data not shown); however, the synergy between myocardin and Smad1 was unaffected (Figure 2A). Further deletion of the ANF promoter (−115 to +70) only slightly reduced reporter activation by myocardin and Smad1 (Figure 2A). Thus, the −115 to +70 region of the ANF...
promoter, containing a single CArG box, is sufficient to mediate myocardin/Smad1 synergy.

To test whether the CArG box is required for Smad1 and myocardin functional interaction, the 2 CArG boxes within the ANF promoter luciferase reporter were mutated.1 Whereas myocardin and Smad1 could synergistically activate the ANF luciferase reporter with a CArG-far mutation, such synergy was abolished by double CArG mutations (Figure 2A). These data indicate Smad1 and myocardin functional interaction is CArG-box dependent.

To determine whether the CArG box is sufficient to mediate myocardin/Smad1 synergy, we used a luciferase reporter controlled by 4 tandem copies of a consensus CArG box.1 Myocardin alone activated the 4×CArG reporter ∼200-fold, whereas Smad1 and myocardin increased activation to ∼625-fold (Figure 2B). Thus, we conclude the CArG box is necessary and sufficient to mediate myocardin and Smad1 synergy.

SBE Is Not Required for Myocardin and Smad1 Synergy

Most Smads weakly interact with DNA through a SBE sequence within the promoter of responsive genes.32 The ANF −115 to +70 promoter region does not contain a consensus SBE (AGAC GTCT) but does have 3 AGAC, a half-SBE previously shown to be sufficient for Smad MH1-domain binding (Figure 3A).33,34 However, Smad1 or Smad4 did not bind to the 3 AGAC and flanking ∼10 bp by EMSA (data not shown). Mutating the AGAC closest to the TATAA box (−5 to −2), the only AGAC identified in the promoter region within the −115 to +70 of the ANF regulatory region (the other 2 are in the 5′ untranslated region of this gene; Figure 3A), did not affect activation by myocardin and Smad1 (Figure 3B). Myocardin and Smad1 synergistically activated a truncated ANF reporter (−115 to −5) where all 3 AGAC sites were deleted (data not shown), suggesting the SBE site is not required for myocardin and Smad1 synergy. Further-
more, we found that Smad1 did not activate a luciferase reporter controlled by 6×SBE from SM22, nor could Smad1 synergize with myocardin on this reporter (data not shown). Finally, we tested whether Smad1 and myocardin could activate the \( Nkx2.5 \) promoter luciferase reporter containing a SBE mutation. As shown in Figure 3C, SBE mutation did not affect the synergy of Smad1 and myocardin. Together, these data demonstrate that Smad1 can synergistically activate cardiac target gene expression with myocardin in a SBE-independent manner.

Myocardin and Smad1 Interact Directly

We performed coimmunoprecipitation assays to test if myocardin and Smad1 interact directly. COS7 cells were cotransfected with expression plasmids encoding Flag-tagged Smad1 and Myc-tagged myocardin (or singly transfected with each

Figure 2. CArG box is necessary and sufficient to mediate myocardin and Smad1 synergy. A, COS7 cells were transfected with the indicated ANF promoter luciferase reporters and/or myocardin and Smad1 expression plasmids. Values are the fold increase of luciferase activity by myocardin and Smad1 (black bars) relative to luciferase activity by myocardin alone (gray bars), which is assigned the value of 1. B, Luciferase reporter controlled by 4 copies of a consensus CArG box was transfected into COS7 cells with myocardin and/or Smad1 expression plasmids. Values are the fold increase in luciferase activity relative to activation of the reporter alone. Error bars represent SD of at least 2 experiments. Student’s \( t \) test: \( *P<0.05 \), myocardin alone vs myocardin plus Smad1.

Figure 3. SBE is not required for myocardin and Smad1 synergy. A, DNA sequence of the \(-115\) to +70 region of the ANF promoter. Underlined are CArG-near, TATA box, the mutated half-SBE site, and 2 other half-SBE sites (AGAC) within the 5’ untranslated region. B, Luciferase reporters controlled by the ANF promoter (gray bars) or the ANF promoter with mutated half-SBE (black bars) were transfected into COS7 cells with myocardin and/or Smad1 expression plasmids. C, Luciferase reporter controlled by the \( Nkx2.5 \) promoter containing SBE mutations was transfected into COS7 cells with myocardin and/or Smad1 expression plasmids. Values are the fold increase in luciferase activity relative to activation of the reporter alone. Error bars represent SD of at least 2 experiments. Student’s \( t \) test: \( *P<0.05 \), myocardin alone vs myocardin plus Smad1.
of those constructs in controls). Anti-Flag antibodies were used to immunoprecipitate Smad1. Anti-Myc antibodies were then used to detect the presence of myocardin in the immunoprecipitates by Western blot (WB) analysis (top). One-fifteenth of cell extracts were directly immunoblotted to detect the presence of myocardin and Smad1 proteins (middle panels). One-fifteenth of supernatants (after immunoprecipitation) were immunoblotted to detect myocardin proteins (bottom). B, Myc-tagged myocardin aa 1 to 274 (M1), aa 1 to 351 (M2), and aa 1 to 421 (M3) were detected in Flag-Smad1 immunoprecipitates (top). Ten percent of cell extracts were directly immunoblotted to detect the presence of truncated myocardin proteins or Smad1 (middle panels). One-fifteenth of supernatants (after immunoprecipitation) were immunoblotted to detect truncated myocardin proteins (bottom). C, Myocardin specifically interacted with GST-Smad1 but not with GST alone. Coomassie-stained proteins corresponding to the amount of GST and GST-Smad1 protein used in the pull-down assay are shown below the autoradiograph, and 5% of the input protein is shown at left. D, Smad1 interacts with GST fused to myocardin aa 1 to 560 and aa 129 to 689 but not with aa 328 to 670, nor aa 669 to 935, nor with GST alone. Coomassie-stained proteins corresponding to the amounts of GST and GST-myocardin protein used in the pull-down assay are shown directly below the autoradiograph, and 1% of the input protein is shown at left. E, Myocardin and Smad1 interaction summary. Myocardin domains are abbreviated as follows: NTD indicates amino-terminal domain; + +, basic domain; Q, a stretch of glutamine residues; SAP, SAF A/B, Acinus, PIAS domain; TAD, transactivation domain. F, Luciferase reporter controlled by 4 copies of a consensus CARG box and expression plasmids for myocardin, myocardin ΔSAP domain, or myocardin ΔQ domain and/or Smad1 was transfected into COS7 cells. Values are the luciferase activity by myocardin or myocardin mutants and Smad1 (black bars) relative to the activation of reporter by myocardin alone or myocardin mutants (gray bars). Error bars represent SD of at least 2 experiments. Student’s t test: *P < 0.05, myocardin alone vs myocardin plus Smad1. Myc-tagged myocardin, myocardin SAP, and myocardin ΔQ protein expressions are shown by Western blot.

Figure 4. Myocardin and Smad1 interact directly. A, Myocardin coimmunoprecipitates with Smad1. COS7 cells were transfected with plasmids encoding Flag-tagged Smad1 and/or Myc-tagged myocardin, as indicated. Smad1 was immunoprecipitated by (IP) anti-Flag antibodies, and anti-Myc antibodies were used to detect the presence of myocardin in the immunoprecipitates by Western blot (WB) analysis (top). One-fifteenth of cell extracts were directly immunoblotted to detect the presence of myocardin and Smad1 proteins (middle panels). One-fifteenth of supernatants (after immunoprecipitation) were immunoblotted to detect myocardin proteins (bottom). B, Myc-tagged myocardin aa 1 to 274 (M1), aa 1 to 351 (M2), and aa 1 to 421 (M3) were detected in Flag-Smad1 immunoprecipitates (top). Ten percent of cell extracts were directly immunoblotted to detect the presence of truncated myocardin proteins or Smad1 (middle panels). One-fifteenth of supernatants (after immunoprecipitation) were immunoblotted to detect truncated myocardin proteins (bottom). C, Myocardin specifically interacted with GST-Smad1 but not with GST alone. Coomassie-stained proteins corresponding to the amount of GST and GST-Smad1 protein used in the pull-down assay are shown below the autoradiograph, and 5% of the input protein is shown at left. D, Smad1 interacts with GST fused to myocardin aa 1 to 560 and aa 129 to 689 but not with aa 328 to 670, nor aa 669 to 935, nor with GST alone. Coomassie-stained proteins corresponding to the amounts of GST and GST-myocardin protein used in the pull-down assay are shown directly below the autoradiograph, and 1% of the input protein is shown at left. E, Myocardin and Smad1 interaction summary. Myocardin domains are abbreviated as follows: NTD indicates amino-terminal domain; + +, basic domain; Q, a stretch of glutamine residues; SAP, SAF A/B, Acinus, PIAS domain; TAD, transactivation domain. F, Luciferase reporter controlled by 4 copies of a consensus CARG box and expression plasmids for myocardin, myocardin ΔSAP domain, or myocardin ΔQ domain and/or Smad1 was transfected into COS7 cells. Values are the luciferase activity by myocardin or myocardin mutants and Smad1 (black bars) relative to the activation of reporter by myocardin alone or myocardin mutants (gray bars). Error bars represent SD of at least 2 experiments. Student’s t test: *P < 0.05, myocardin alone vs myocardin plus Smad1. Myc-tagged myocardin, myocardin SAP, and myocardin ΔQ protein expressions are shown by Western blot.
but not with GST alone or with aa 382 to 670 or aa 669 to 935 of myocardin (Figure 4D). This result suggests Smad1 directly interacts with myocardin at a region between aa 129 to 560 (Figure 4E). We have previously identified several conserved domains within this region of myocardin protein, including the basic domain (aa 243 to 260), Q domain (aa 287 to 320), and SAP domain (aa 380 to 414).1,22 We, therefore, tested whether the functional interaction of myocardin and Smad1 is affected by mutations in those domains by luciferase reporter assay. Basic domain mutation completely abolished myocardin transactivation as well as its synergy with Smad1 (data not shown). Deletion of the SAP domain or Q domain dramatically decreased the synergy of myocardin and Smad1 (Figure 4F). The mutations did not alter the expression of those proteins (Figure 4F). Together, these data demonstrate a direct interaction between myocardin and Smad1 and suggest such physical interaction is important for their synergistic activation of cardiac promoters.

Smad Proteins Did Not Directly Affect Formation of the Myocardin/SRF/CArG Complex

Myocardin does not bind to DNA directly, instead it is recruited to target genes by forming a stable complex with its cofactor SRF bound to DNA element, the CArG box.1 The interaction of myocardin and Smad1 led us to examine whether Smad1 directly associates with myocardin/SRF protein complexes bound to CArG box. EMSAs were performed using a radiolabeled oligonucleotide containing a consensus CArG box. Addition of in vitro translated SRF to the labeled probe resulted in a specific band (Figure 5, lane 2). Addition of both SRF and Myc-tagged myocardin resulted in an additional specific band corresponding to the myocardin/SRF/CArG complex (Figure 5, lane 7). This myocardin/SRF/CArG complex was diminished when anti-Myc antibodies were added (Figure 5, lane 13), demonstrating it contained myocardin. Similarly, both SRF and myocardin/SRF complexes were supershifted by anti-SRF antibodies, demonstrating these complexes contained SRF (Figure 5, lane 14). However, when in vitro translated Smad1 was added to the incubation mixtures, the SRF/CArG or myocardin/SRF/CArG complexes were neither supershifted nor diminished (Figure 5, lane 8). A similar result was obtained despite a several-fold increase in the amount of Smad1 relative to the fixed amounts of SRF and myocardin (Figure 5, lanes 11 and 12). We also found Smad4 alone or Smad1 plus Smad4 could not affect the myocardin/SRF/CArG complex (Figure 5, lanes 9 and 10). These results indicate, under the experimental conditions used, Smad1 is not a stable component of the myocardin/SRF/CArG complex.

Myocardin Activity Is Modulated by BMP Signaling

Interestingly, BMP signaling can be antagonized by inhibitory Smad6 and Smad7.14,15 Smad7 repressed myocardin transactivation of α-Ca and ANF promoters in a dose-dependent fashion (Figure 6A and 6B), presumably by interfering with activation of endogenous BMP-signaling components. Constitutively activated ALKs activate BMP signaling in the absence of BMP ligands.23 To test whether upstream BMP-signaling components stimulate myocardin transactivity, we used a constitutively activated BMP receptor, ALK3 QD, in luciferase reporter assays. ALK3 QD stimulated myocardin transactivation of the α-Ca and Nkx2.5 reporters (Figure 6C and 6D). Whereas stimulation of myocardin transactivity by ALK3 QD is comparable to Smads 1 and -4, cotransfection of both ALK3 QD and Smads -1 and -4 with myocardin further increased the activation of the Nkx2.5 reporter (Figure 6D). Those results suggest myocardin transactivity is stimulated by BMP signaling originating from the cell surface.
BMP Signaling Increases Myocardin Protein Expression

BMPs induce the expression of cardiac transcription factor Nkx2.5 and other cardiac markers in treated chick embryos,\textsuperscript{18} as well as the P19CL6 cell line.\textsuperscript{19,20} We asked whether BMPs have the same effect on myocardin expression. Neonatal rat cardiomyocytes treated with BMP-2 dramatically increased myocardin and MEF2 protein expression, but not of SRF or β-actinin (Figure 7). BMP-2 did not induce global protein synthesis, as β-tubulin protein expression was unchanged in BMP-2–treated cardiomyocytes (Figure 7). This result demonstrates BMP signaling induces myocardin expression in cardiomyocytes and suggests a positive feedback mechanism for BMP signaling and myocardin to activate cardiac genes.

Discussion

In this report, we identified the molecular interaction of myocardin and the BMP-signaling pathway to synergistically activate cardiac gene expression. Along with the accompanying study demonstrating that myocardin and TGF-β-signaling pathway synergistically activate SM gene expression,\textsuperscript{35} our results clearly establish that myocardin is involved in TGF-β superfamily signaling pathways that regulate cardiac and SM-specific gene expression.

Transactivation of Cardiac Gene Expression by Myocardin and BMP Signaling

We have recently uncovered several mechanisms by which myocardin regulates cardiac and SM gene expression. GATA4 represses or activates myocardin-mediated transactivation, depending on the specificity of target genes.\textsuperscript{36} Myocardin transactivity is also positively and negatively regulated by p300 and HDAC5, suggesting an additional layer of regulation at the chromatin level.\textsuperscript{12} Interestingly, myocardin is also involved in a molecular switch controlling SRF-dependent cell differentiation versus proliferation processes, where myocardin directly competes with Elk1 for SRF association and target gene activation.\textsuperscript{13} Together, those studies indicate the transcriptional activity of myocardin is tightly controlled.

In this study, we demonstrated myocardin transactivation of cardiac gene expression is modulated by BMP signaling through a protein–protein interaction between myocardin and BMP-downstream effector Smad1, providing another novel mechanism in which myocardin is integrated into an important signaling pathway to regulate gene expression. Most importantly, we found the BMP signaling was also able to induce expression of myocardin, suggesting a potential positive-feedback mechanism. This mechanism could be used where both myocardin and BMP initiate early cardiac gene expression, whereas myocardin is later used for the maintenance of the cardiac program. Interestingly, such mechanisms exist in skeletal muscle and other biological systems.\textsuperscript{37,38}

Figure 6. Myocardin activity is modulated by BMP signaling. Myocardin and/or Smad7 (100, 150, and 200 ng) expression plasmids and α-CA (A) or ANF (B) luciferase reporter were transfected into COS7 cells. Values are the percentage of luciferase activity relative to activation of the reporter by myocardin alone. C. α-CA or Nkx2.5 luciferase reporter, Smads 1 and -4, ALK3 QD, and/or myocardin expression plasmids were transfected into COS7 cells. Values are the fold increase in luciferase activity relative to activation of the reporter alone. Error bars represent SD of at least 2 experiments. Student’s t test; *P<0.05: myocardin alone vs myocardin plus Smad7, Smad1/4, or ALK3 QD; **myocardin alone vs myocardin plus Smad1/4 and ALK3 QD.

Figure 7. Myocardin protein level is increased in BMP-2–treated cardiomyocytes. Rat neonatal cardiomyocytes were treated with 20 ng/mL BMP-2 (or without in negative control) for 48 hours before harvesting and lysate production for SDS-PAGE and Western Blot analysis with indicated antibodies, as described in Materials and Methods.
SBE Dependency or SBE Independency?
The synergy between Smad1 and myocardin in activating the ANF promoter appears to be SBE independent. Those results were distinct from the response of Smad3 and myocardin, which synergistically activate a SBE-controlled reporter.\(^5\) In contrast, myocardin and Smad1 synergistically activated a luciferase reporter driven by 4 CArG box copies, where absolutely no SBE is involved. These data suggest Smad1 can activate cardiac gene expression independently of inherent DNA binding. Similar SBE-independent mechanisms have been recently reported for a variety of target genes regulated by BMP/Smads.\(^{39-41}\)

Then how does Smad1 activate target gene expression independent of DNA binding? Several mechanisms may apply: Smad1 could be recruited by myocardin and SRF to the CArG boxes in the ANF promoter. However, whereas we detected protein–protein interaction between myocardin and Smad1 in vitro and in vivo, we were unable to obtain evidence for the formation of a potential ternary complex among those proteins bound to DNA under our experimental conditions. Interestingly, some SRF cofactors are suggested to enhance the affinity of SRF/DNA association, despite not forming a stable ternary complex with SRF bound to DNA.\(^3,42\) Our data suggest that Smad1 enhances the activity of the myocardin/SRF transcriptional complex through alternative mechanisms. Those include the recruitment of coactivators, such as p300, or by repelling transcriptional repressors from this transcriptional complex. It will be important to investigate how the physical and functional interaction of endogenous Smad1 and myocardin proteins is influenced by BMP signaling, as we cannot rule out the possibility that Smad1 might affect myocardin/SRF/CArG–complex formation in a BMP–dependent manner.

Cardiac or Smooth Muscle?
Myocardin is a cardiac and SM-specific transcriptional co-factor for SRF and activates target gene expression in a CArG-dependent manner.\(^1,13\) It is currently unclear how myocardin discriminates between cardiac and SM target genes, although the SAP domain has been suggested to be involved.\(^1\) In this study, we showed myocardin and Smad1 interact directly to synergistically activate cardiac reporter gene expression. Such activation requires the CArG box and appears to be SBE independent. Additionally, the functional interaction between myocardin and Smad1 was completely abolished in SRF-null embryonic stem cells (T.E.C. and D.-Z.W., unpublished data, 2005), further supporting the notion of CArG box/SRF dependency. We suggest the target specificity (cardiac versus SM) for myocardin is determined, at least in part, by which upstream signals, TGF-\(\beta\) or BMP, and their downstream effectors, Smad3 or Smad1, are used. This hypothesis is consistent with the notion that BMPs are key regulators for cardiac gene expression, whereas TGF-\(\beta\) appears to play a significant role in controlling CSM gene expression.\(^16,43,44\) Interestingly, the SAP domain of myocardin appears involved in mediating the functional interaction between myocardin and Smad1 because SAP domain mutation dramatically decreased the synergy of myocardin and Smad1 on a CArG-box–dependent reporter gene (Figure 4E).

Together, our data suggest the SAP domain of myocardin may serve as a nodal point to integrate BMP-signaling pathway in activating myocardin-mediated cardiac gene expression. Future investigation, in particular in vivo studies, will be needed to further clarify this issue. Nevertheless, our studies establish a direct molecular and functional interaction between myocardin and BMP signaling and suggest a molecular mechanism for the transcriptional regulation of the cardiac gene program. Given the importance of myocardin and BMPs, it is intriguing to speculate that mutations in either molecule or disruption of their functional interaction may contribute to human cardiovascular diseases.
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