Protective Effects of Long-term Bradycardial Pacing Against Catecholamine-Induced Myocardial Damage in Rabbit Hearts
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A high intravenous dose of norepinephrine (4 μg/kg/min for 60 minutes) to New Zealand Red rabbits produced patchy subendocardial damage (estimated stereologically in frozen sections) of about 5% of the heart volume 2 days after application. The damaged areas showed loss of staining for alkaline phosphatase, an enzyme present in normal capillary endothelium. Heart performance (cardiac output index, cardiac work [i.e., cardiac output × mean blood pressure], and dP/dtmax) were significantly lower than in control hearts. Capillary density distribution estimated in nondamaged areas of the left ventricular free wall was inhomogeneous favoring subepicardial regions, while homogeneous transmural distribution was found in control hearts. Bradycardial pacing (reduction of heart rate to 50% of normal) performed for 3–4 weeks prior to norepinephrine administration showed a protective effect against catecholamine damage manifested in a smaller extent of necrosis, in the maintenance of homogeneous transmural capillary distribution in nondamaged areas, and, most importantly, in the maintenance of normal cardiac pump performance at rest and during maximal work in response to acutely administered norepinephrine. (Circulation Research 1988;62:965–974)

Epidemiological studies have linked exercise with a low risk of ischemic heart disease.1 Experimentally, training has a beneficial effect on heart performance when the myocardium is subsequently exposed to ischemia and hypoxia.2,3 This effect may be exerted partly through improved vascularization since after coronary artery occlusion, more capillaries and smaller infarcts are found in trained than untrained rats4 and capillary-to-fiber ratio is increased,5 although not all exercise regimes result in increased capillary supply.5,6

Exercise is a complex intervention, and it is difficult to assess whether its beneficial effect on the improvement of heart performance is due solely to its effect on the heart or on the other cardiovascular parameters, such as total peripheral resistance, or even the hormonal changes involved in training. It results frequently in bradycardia,8 improved heart performance,9,10 and increased capillary density,8,11 explained by capillary proliferation.12 A significant increase in capillary density and improved maximal cardiac work were described in rabbit hearts paced to approximately 50% of normal heart rate.13 This procedure affects the heart directly. It, too, may offer protection to the myocardium at risk from a compromised vascular supply through its known effects on performance and capillary supply. Indeed, in hearts made hypertrophic by aortic valve lesion, subsequent bradycardial pacing for 4 weeks increased capillary density and improved cardiac performance.14

The purpose of this study was to examine whether bradycardial pacing can protect against the impairment of myocardial structure and function that follows infusion of a high dose of catecholamine. The cardioxic effects of the catecholamines isoprenaline and norepinephrine have been extensively described15,16 in terms of the myocardial lesions produced, which appear to be similar despite the diverse hemodynamic effects of these two drugs.16,17 The underlying causes of catecholamine-induced necrosis are thought to include relative ischemia and a direct toxic effect.16,17 Trained rats have been shown to be more resistant than untrained animals to the cardiac damaging effects of isoprenaline.18,19 Since we found in preliminary experiments in rabbits that isoprenaline either did not produce damage or killed the animals, we used a modification of the protocol of Downing and Lee20 in which norepinephrine infusion resulted in reproducible quantifiable myocardial damage in the rabbit, together with impairment of function.21

Materials and Methods

New Zealand Red rabbits of either sex, weighing between 2.0 and 3.6 kg, were used in four experimental groups: 1) control animals, 2) unpaced animals infused with norepinephrine (NE) 2 days prior to the final experiments, 3) bradycardially paced animals, and 4) bradycardially paced animals infused with NE as in Group 2.

Bradycardial Pacing Technique

The bradycardial pacing technique used in the present study has been described by Wright and Hudlická.13 Briefly, a portable stimulator22 delivered stimuli via a bipolar stainless steel electrode implanted in the right atrium at a frequency such that premature depolarization of the atrium was induced within the
mechanical refractory period of the myocardium and every second endogenous depolarization and its associated contraction were blocked. Heart rate was reduced to around 50% of normal resting rate and correct pacing was checked by regular ECG recordings from electrodes implanted subcutaneously in the chest.

Of 12 rabbits paced in this way 24 hours/day for 3–4 weeks, six were taken into acute experiment within 2 days of the cessation of pacing and six were infused with NE as soon as pacing ceased and taken into acute experiment 2 days later.

Infusion of Norepinephrine

Animals were anesthetized with halothane. Norepinephrine bitartrate (Levophed) in sterile saline solution was infused for 60 minutes at a dose of 4 µg/kg/min via a cannula attached to a 21-gauge needle inserted into the marginal ear vein. The total volume of infusate was 12 ml containing, for a 3.0-kg rabbit, 720 µg NE. This volume represents under 6% of circulating blood volume administered over 1 hour. ECG recordings were made periodically either from needle electrodes inserted under the skin or from the implanted electrodes. During some infusions and under aseptic conditions, a cannula was placed in a superficial branch of the femoral artery of one leg with its tip positioned so as to record femoral artery blood pressure. The cannula was removed at the end of the infusion, the branch tied off, the wound closed, and the animal allowed to recover from anesthesia. Seven unpaced and six paced rabbits received NE infusions.

Hemodynamic Measurements

Acute experiments were performed either 2 days after NE infusion, 2 days after the cessation of pacing, or on unpaced control animals.

Rabbits were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (35 mg/kg i.v.) supplemented as necessary through a cannula in the femoral vein. A tracheostomy was performed and animals respired spontaneously. Body temperature was maintained between 36° and 38° C. Animals were anesthetized with halothane. Norepinephrine was used to increase cardiac work (at increasing rates of 1.25–12.5 µg/kg/min) since it offers the dual challenge of increased peripheral resistance and positive inotropic effect. Thermodilution (i.e., cardiac output) measurements were made as soon as the rise in blood pressure occurring with each infusion rate had reached a plateau (after about 2 minutes). The total amount of NE received over a range of doses did not exceed one tenth of that used in the initial infusion to produce myocardial damage.

At the end of each experiment, the animal was killed by an overdose of pentobarbital, and the heart was excised, rinsed in saline, and blotted. Fat and large vessels were trimmed away, and the heart was weighed and left in cold isotonic saline for 1–2 hours to allow equal postmortem contraction of myocytes. This procedure is routinely adopted prior to measurements of capillary density so that fiber areas would be comparable in all experiments.

Histochemistry

Blocks were cut with a razor blade through the thickness of the left ventricular free wall from midway between the base and apex, oriented on cork pieces, and frozen in isopentane cooled in liquid nitrogen in such a way that sections could be obtained showing subepicardial and subendocardial layers in cross-section (Figure 1). Twelve-micron transmural sections were taken at 240-µm depths through each block, providing 4–6 sections/block. At each depth, one section was stained with hematoxylin and eosin to identify myo-

![Diagram of anterior view of heart showing sites for sampling from the left ventricular wall for histology. The surface parallel to the vertical heart axis of the block from site A provides sections with subendocardial myocytes in good cross-section, while the similar surface of the block from site B provides sections with subepicardial myocytes in good cross-section.](http://circres.ahajournals.org/)

**FIGURE 1.** Diagram of anterior view of heart showing sites for sampling from the left ventricular wall for histology. The surface parallel to the vertical heart axis of the block from site A provides sections with subendocardial myocytes in good cross-section, while the similar surface of the block from site B provides sections with subepicardial myocytes in good cross-section.
cardiac damage. Two serial sections were stained, one for alkaline phosphatase (ALP) by an indoxyl tetrazolium method to visualize capillaries and one for succinic dehydrogenase (SDH) to identify any areas of infarction.

**Measurement of Capillary Density**

The indoxyl tetrazolium method stains all capillaries along their entire length (as visualized in longitudinal sections in skeletal or cardiac muscle). The staining was quite homogeneous in normal and paced hearts. However, in paced and unpaced hearts damaged by NE, there were scattered areas of myocardial tissue that lacked any staining for ALP, and hence, capillaries could not be visualized in these areas. Therefore, numerical counts of capillary density were made only in those regions of myocardium that did stain.

The number of capillaries in both subepicardial and subendocardial layers was counted at a magnification of x400 using an eyepiece with a grid in seven square fields of 0.143 mm² each. These fields were randomly selected from at least four different sections to give myocytes sectioned in good cross-section, and covered a total observed area of 1 mm². Capillary density/mm² was calculated from the mean of the seven individual capillary counts and thus was derived from direct observation of between 2,000 and 4,000 capillaries. The distribution of capillaries between subepicardial and subendocardial layers was shown by the ratio of subendocardial/subepicardial capillary density. A ratio of 1 indicated that capillaries were distributed homogeneously across the left ventricular wall.

In five unpaced and five paced hearts treated with NE, 150 myocytes (30 in each of five fields sampled at random) in undamaged myocardium were outlined in cross-section at a magnification of x400 using a microscope with a drawing arm in both subepicardial and subendocardial regions. The outlines were then digitized using a Summagraphics pad (Reflex Ltd., Reading, United Kingdom), and fiber cross-sectional areas were calculated using a program devised for a PDP11 computer.

**Quantitative Estimation of Myocardial Damage**

Sections stained for ALP were used to estimate the extent of damage caused by NE in both paced and unpaced hearts (see “Results” for reasons). For each heart, five subepicardial and five subendocardial fields, 2.60 mm², were randomly selected from at least four sections from different blocks. A total area of myocardium of 67.6 mm² was thus sampled for each region. Within each field, areas of myocardium that lacked ALP stain were outlined at low magnification (×40) using an Olympus microscope with a drawing arm. Drawings were then covered with a grid, and points overlying unstained myocardial tissue were counted relative to total myocardial tissue counts, excluding extracellular space, large vessels, and non-myocardial tissue.

Volume fraction (Vv) of unstained tissue was given by Vv = Pu/Pt, where Pu is the number of points counted over unstained tissue and Pt is total number of tissue points counted. Replicate counts were made of each field to yield Pt > 400 so that minimal resolution, defined as (1/Pt × 100), was < 0.25%.

**Statistics**

Statistical comparisons were made by Student’s t-test (paired or unpaired as appropriate), and comparisons of treatment effects were made by analysis of variance according to Sokal and Rohlf. Values quoted in “Results” are mean ± SEM unless otherwise stated.

**Results**

**Acute Effects of 60-Minute Norepinephrine Infusion**

Mean arterial blood pressure rose approximately 50% within 5 minutes and declined gradually during the course of the infusion (Figure 2A). All animals exhibited cardiac arrhythmias shown by ECG recordings during the infusion. Disturbances of rhythm included periods of tachycardia lasting several minutes interspersed with bradycardia with or without elevation of the ST segment (Figure 2B). Immediately after the infusion ended, cardiac arrhythmias ceased and blood pressure dropped 10–15% below resting levels, returning to normal after 15–20 minutes.

**Figure 2. a) Record of arterial blood pressure recorded during intravenous infusion under halothane anesthesia of norepinephrine (4 µg/kg/min) in a 3.0 kg rabbit. b) Examples of typical changes in ECG observed at intervals during norepinephrine infusion as above.**
TABLE 1. Body Weights, Heart Weights, and Heart-to-Body Weight Ratios for Control, Paced, Unpaced Norepinephrine-Treated and Paced Norepinephrine-Treated Animals at Final Experiment (mean ± SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Body weight (kg)</th>
<th>Heart weight (g)</th>
<th>Heart-to-body weight ratio (g/100 V body g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (n = 6)</td>
<td>2.58 ± 0.08</td>
<td>6.22 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.241 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paced (n = 6)</td>
<td>2.86 ± 0.12</td>
<td>6.81 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.239 ± 0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE-treated, unpaced (n = 7)</td>
<td>2.96 ± 0.13</td>
<td>6.57 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.213 ± 0.007*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE-treated, paced (n = 6)</td>
<td>2.77 ± 0.06</td>
<td>6.32 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.228 ± 0.004*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NE, norepinephrine.
*p < 0.05 vs. control.

Heart and Body Weights

At the final experiments, mean heart weights and body weights did not differ among the four groups (Table 1), but heart-to-body weight ratios for both NE-treated groups were significantly lower than those of controls. Also, the significant correlation between heart and body weight in control and paced animals (r = 0.94 and r = 0.89, respectively, p < 0.01 for both in agreement with the findings of Wright and Hudlicka13) was absent in both NE-treated groups (unpaced r = 0.265, paced r = 0.323, NS), indicating a disturbance in the normal heart-to-body weight relation.

Cardiac Performance

Resting cardiac pump function was impaired in both paced and unpaced hearts by NE treatment (Table 2). Mean arterial blood pressures were similar for the four groups, but NE treatment significantly lowered the resting COI, minute work per gram of heart weight, and heart rates (p < 0.05 by analysis of variance for treatment effect). Resting dp/dtmax was significantly lower in unpaced hearts after NE treatment while paced hearts had dp/dtmax values similar to those in control hearts.

During acute norepinephrine infusion used as a stimulus to increase cardiac work, the mean arterial pressure increase was similar in all groups (p < 0.001 vs. resting) over the range of doses used (Figure 3), indicating that peripheral responses to the drug had not been affected either by pacing or by the initial NE treatment. By contrast, NE treatment resulted in the depression of maximal cardiac output compared with untreated groups; however, maximal increases in COI were significantly greater in paced than in unpaced NE-treated hearts (Table 2).

As blood pressure increased during acute NE administration, heart rates fell significantly in all groups (p < 0.05 vs. resting) through baroceptor activation (the animals were vagally intact) and stroke volume increased in all groups. The decrease in heart rate was similar in all four groups but the absolute levels were

| Table 2. Resting and maximum hemodynamic parameters¹ in four experimental groups |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Cardiac output index (ml/min/kg)              | Control (n = 6) | Paced (n = 6)   | NE-treated (n = 7) | Paced NE-treated (n = 6) |
| Resting                                      | 192.9 ± 18.7    | 204.0 ± 17.3    | 152.9 ± 15.2*    | 152.0 ± 9.8*     |
| Maximum                                      | 244.7 ± 10.5    | 296.8 ± 17.5    | 169.5 ± 20.9*    | 220.2 ± 16.1*    |
|                                               | + 52.0 ± 10.5   | + 92.1 ± 16.1   | + 21.8 ± 16.3†‡  | + 67.1 ± 16.3†   |
| Cardiac minute work index (J/g heart wt x 10⁻⁴) | Resting        | 0.970 ± 0.085   | 0.979 ± 0.045    | 0.851 ± 0.111*   |
|                                               | Maximum         | 1.854 ± 0.154   | 2.464 ± 0.206§   | 1.308 ± 0.232    |
|                                               |                 | + 0.884 ± 0.119 | + 1.473 ± 0.249  | + 0.447 ± 0.116†‡|
|                                               |                 |                 |                 | + 1.005 ± 0.280  |
| Blood pressure (mm Hg)                        |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Resting                                      | 91.3 ± 2.9      | 85.9 ± 8.3      | 97.9 ± 7.2       | 93.9 ± 5.6       |
| At maximum COI                               | 136.5 ± 5.1     | 134.5 ± 4.5     | 129.9 ± 13.4     | 134.7 ± 8.7      |
| At maximum MWI                               | 138.1 ± 5.4     | 139.9 ± 4.9     | 159.1 ± 12.6     | 142.0 ± 9.6      |
| Heart rate (beats/min)                        |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Resting                                      | 316.5 ± 12.1    | 318.6 ± 7.2     | 303.6 ± 8.3*     | 286.0 ± 9.8*     |
| At maximum COI                               | 273.0 ± 6.9     | 295.0 ± 9.2     | 266.0 ± 9.4*     | 254.0 ± 20.7*    |
| At maximum MWI                               | 290.0 ± 15.3    | 290.5 ± 6.8     | 257.0 ± 9.5*     | 263.0 ± 14.7*    |
| dp/dtmax (mm Hg/sec x 10⁻⁴)                   |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Resting                                      | 4.06 ± 0.43     | 3.60 ± 0.74     | 2.80 ± 0.40†     | 4.68 ± 0.62      |
| Maximum                                      | 7.43 ± 1.16     | 7.43 ± 1.06     | 5.70 ± 0.48      | 7.41 ± 0.77      |

¹Cardiac minute work index (MWI) is calculated from cardiac output and mean blood pressure but related to heart weight. Maximum minute work index did not always correspond with maximal cardiac output index (COI) due to different increases in blood pressure. NE, norepinephrine. Values are mean ± SEM.
²Both NE-treated groups significant vs. both untreated groups, p < 0.05 by ANOVA.
†NE-treated p < 0.05 vs. paced NE-treated.
‡NE-treated p < 0.05 vs. control.
§Paced p < 0.05 vs. control.
lower in both NE-treated groups. Stroke volume could therefore have been maintained in spite of significantly lower cardiac output. This, however, was not the case. At maximal cardiac output, the stroke volume index was 0.90 ± 0.08 ml/min/kg in control hearts, 1.06 ± 0.11 in paced hearts, and 0.63 ± 0.07 (p < 0.05 vs. control) and 0.90 ± 0.11 in unpaced and paced hearts after NE treatment, respectively. Thus, pacing had prevented the significant decrease in stroke volume index after NE damage. Moreover, the stroke volume was significantly higher in paced than unpaced hearts for the same decrease in heart rate (Figure 4). This suggests that contractility in paced hearts may have been depressed less by NE treatment than in unpaced hearts. Unpaced NE-treated hearts did tend to have slightly lower maximum dP/dt values during work (Table 2), and generally required larger doses of NE to elicit maximal dP/dt (7.2 ± 4.5 μg/kg/min) compared with 5.6 ± 0.4 μg/kg/min for paced NE-treated, and 3.3 ± 0.7 and 5.3 ± 0.9 μg/kg/min for control and paced hearts, respectively.

Cardiac minute work per gram of heart weight increased during NE infusion due to a combination of raised peripheral resistance and increased cardiac output. The respective proportions of each component are shown in Table 2. While the control and both paced groups showed similar values for blood pressure during maximal cardiac output and cardiac work, it is obvious that the NE-treated unpaced group increased cardiac work because of a greater increase in blood pressure. Minute work increases in paced NE-treated hearts were significantly greater than those of unpaced NE-treated hearts, exceeded those achieved by control hearts, and were not significantly different from those of paced untreated hearts (Table 2).

The improved performance of paced hearts even after NE damage is summarized in Figure 5, in which proportional changes in cardiac output index and minute work are shown.

Capillary Density in the Left Ventricular Free Wall

Figure 6A shows the capillary density (CD) increase in both subepicardial and subendocardial regions of paced hearts, with a mean transmural CD of 2,455 ± 548/mm² (mean ± SD) as compared with 1,963 ± 504/mm² in control hearts. Distribution of capillaries across the left ventricular wall was homogeneous in both groups as shown by the subendocardial/subepicardial CD ratios close to one (Figure 6B).

Capillary density in NE-treated hearts was evaluated only in the undamaged parts of the myocardium where all capillaries could be visualized. Mean CDs (± SD) in paced and unpaced NE-treated hearts were not different from each other (2,229 ± 305 and 2,227 ± 285/mm², respectively) nor from control values. However, in unpaced NE-treated hearts, the ratio of

---

**Figure 3.** Percentage changes in mean blood pressure (± SEM) during acute intravenous administration of two doses of norepinephrine to induce cardiac work during the final experiment in the four experimental groups: o, control; O, norepinephrine (NE)-treated unpaced; ▲, paced; △, NE-treated paced.

**Figure 4.** Comparison of changes in stroke volume index and heart rate at maximum cardiac output (expressed as percentages, mean ± SEM, of resting values) for paced (▲) and unpaced hearts (o) after NE treatment.
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FIGURE 6. a) Capillary density in undamaged regions of control, paced, unpaced NE-treated, and paced NE-treated hearts in subepi- and subendocardial layers. b) Subendocardial/subepicardial ratio of capillary density in the same groups. Values are mean ± SEM.

The main difference between paced and unpaced NE-treated hearts was in cardiac pump performance — in the ability of the former to sustain increases in cardiac output and perform greater work against similar pressor effects of acute administration of NE.

This could be explained by 1) smaller changes in heart rate in paced than unpaced animals, 2) different increases in peripheral resistance and, consequently, different afterload, and 3) better myocardial contractility.

NE treatment resulted in a depression of heart rate. Absolute heart rate was lower in both paced and unpaced animals, and this was more obvious during the

Discussion

Treatment of rabbits with high doses of NE 2 days prior to experiments resulted in patchy subendocardial necrosis, lower heart-to-body weight ratio, impaired cardiac performance, and a disturbance of the homogeneous transmural capillary distribution with a lower capillary density in undamaged subendocardial than subepicardial regions. Most of these changes were diminished or prevented by previous bradycardial pacing for 3–4 weeks. The extent of damage was smaller, cardiac pump function was preserved at a level comparable with that of control animals, and the transmural capillary density was homogeneous. The only parameter not affected by previous pacing was the heart-to-body weight ratio.

The main difference between paced and unpaced NE-treated hearts was in cardiac pump performance — in the ability of the former to sustain increases in cardiac output and perform greater work against similar pressor effects of acute administration of NE.

This could be explained by 1) smaller changes in heart rate in paced than unpaced animals, 2) different increases in peripheral resistance and, consequently, different afterload, and 3) better myocardial contractility.

NE treatment resulted in a depression of heart rate. Absolute heart rate was lower in both paced and unpaced animals, and this was more obvious during the

Quantification of Myocardial Damage in NE-Treated Hearts

Both paced and unpaced hearts showed structural damage after NE treatment, evident as irregular patchy disintegration of myocytes and cellular infiltration in sections stained with hematoxylin and eosin (Figure 7A). Associated with this focal type of damage was patchy loss of staining reaction for ALP, an enzyme normally demonstrable histochemically in the endothelium of all capillaries present using our method of staining (Figure 7B). SDH staining in serial sections was no different in damaged regions, except in a few cases of more severe damage where cellular disintegration had progressed further and staining was fainter. However, SDH was not a good indicator of damage. Since delineation of damaged areas lacking ALP stain was clearer than in hematoxylin and eosin sections, quantification of the extent of damage as % of unstained tissue was made from ALP-stained sections.

Within individual fields, % of unstained tissue corresponding to damage varied considerably, from 0% to a maximum of 32%. In subepicardial regions, there was no difference between paced or unpaced hearts in the very small extent of damage after NE treatment, on average less than 2% (Figure 8A). In subendocardial regions, the proportion of fields without damage was higher in all six paced hearts (26.7%) than in seven unpaced hearts (11%) (Figure 8B). There was thus a shift to the left in the frequency distribution of % damage in paced hearts, indicating a trend towards smaller areas of damage.
blood pressure increase induced by acute administration of NE. Thus, the contribution of heart rate to cardiac output was not affected by previous pacing.

The increase in blood pressure and consequently the extent of afterload at maximum cardiac output was not affected by pacing.

Despite similarly lower heart rates, paced NE-treated hearts were able to sustain cardiac output through greater increases of stroke volume.

Pacing also helped to maintain \( \frac{dP}{dt_{\text{max}}} \) at normal levels and counteracted the impaired contractility produced by NE treatment, shown in the present experiments as well as in those reported by Lee and Downing. The impaired contractility of the unpaced NE-treated group was also obvious from the fact that the dose of NE necessary to produce maximal cardiac work was higher than in any other group and that the maximal cardiac work in this group was achieved to a greater extent by increased afterload rather than increased cardiac output.

It is not quite clear what factors could explain the beneficial effect of bradycardial pacing on cardiac performance in NE-treated hearts. The mechanism of catecholamine-induced cardiac damage is not fully understood. Possible contributory factors include impairment of microcirculation, hypoxia, exhaustion of energy supply, altered myocyte membrane permeability leading to electrolyte disturbances (including increased intracellular acidity and cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration), deposition of Ca\(^{2+}\) in mitochondria, and consequently inefficient oxygen utilization and perhaps formation of free radicals (see Rona\(^{16}\)). The increased cytosolic concentration of Ca\(^{2+}\) would be responsible for hypercontraction of myofibrils in the affected cells, which occur next to areas with completely normal ultrastructure in rat hearts damaged by NE.\(^{15,29}\) Similar heterogeneous damage was seen in the present experiments at the light microscopic level and was somewhat different from that reported by Lee and Downing,\(^{21}\) who found a very uniform cellular disruption in the hearts.
of New Zealand White rabbits. The relatively smaller extent of damage in paced than in unpaced hearts (3% and 5% by volume, respectively) is unlikely to explain the improved heart performance due to bradycardial pacing since no correlation was observed between the extent of damage and heart performance.

It is also not clear whether this small quantity of damage in NE-treated hearts would result in the lower heart-to-body weight ratio or loss of correlation between heart and body weight. Despite the fact that mean heart and body weights were not different, the lower heart-to-body weight ratio in the NE-treated groups was due to decreased heart weight rather than any increase in body weight because the latter either remained the same or fell slightly over the 2-day interval between the infusion and the final experiment. Lower heart weight could result from the disturbances in electrolytes or loss of enzymes occurring after NE treatment or loss of myocytes. Although the decrease was slightly smaller in paced than in unpaced hearts, heart-to-body weight ratios were still lower than in controls, so pacing did not have a protective effect on this parameter.

The obvious disturbance in heart-to-body weight relation would affect measurements of absolute capillary density. In maximally contracted control and paced hearts, there was an inverse relation between capillary density and heart weight. In consideration of capillary density measurements as a quantitative index of capillary supply, the criteria that myocytes are in a similar state of contraction and therefore of a similar size must be satisfied before comparisons can be made. Although our histological procedure was intended to ensure this, the values obtained for fiber cross-sectional areas in undamaged regions of paced and unpaced NE-treated hearts showed large variation both within and between animals, corresponding to minimum and maximum fiber diameters of 17.0–28.5 μm with a mean of around 22 μm. These are smaller values than those found in four control and six paced hearts (19.7–32.5 μm, mean 28.5 μm) measured from electron micrographs of resin-embedded left ventricular wall myocardium. These smaller fibers could be a feature of the pathological changes that occur after NE treatment and that are related to the loss of enzymes, or alterations in the Ca2+ fluxes could mean that postmortem contraction did not occur in NE-treated hearts the same way as in control hearts. Alternatively, Vetterlein and Schmidt found smaller fiber cross-sectional areas in both subepicardial and subendocardial regions of rat hearts after epinephrine treatment and related it to an increased ventricular dilatation that stretched the fibers, making them thinner and causing an apparent increase in capillary density in these hearts. Our study does not allow us to differentiate between these possibilities.

Whatever the cause of the smaller fiber diameters after NE treatment, they represent a decrease in fiber cross-sectional area of around 40%, which would be expected to result in a concomitant increase in capillary density. The observed increase of only 15–20% over values in control hearts of similar weight implies that capillary density has not increased proportionately to the decrease in fiber area. This could result if alterations in extracellular matrix prevented the smaller fibers from becoming closer together. This may be the case because the decrease in fiber cross-sectional area is disproportionately greater than would be expected from the loss of heart weight (around 5–10% compared with control hearts in animals of similar body weight). The fact that subepicardial fibers had smaller cross-sectional areas than subendocardial fibers in all unpaced hearts corresponds with the apparently significant increase in subepicardial capillary density and the reduced subendocardial/subepicardial capillary density ratio. In paced hearts, fiber areas were still smaller after NE treatment but differences between subepicardial and subendocardial fiber cross-sectional areas were less and transmural capillary density distribution was more homogeneous. Similar effects were observed in rabbit hearts after chronic propranolol treatment by Tasgal and Vaughan-Williams. They reported that heart-to-body weight correlation was lost, significant transmural differences between the volume fraction of myocytes were evened out, the fractional volume of extracellular matrix was significantly increased, and capillary volume density distribution was more homogeneous.

Homogeneous distribution was also found in the total number of capillaries visualized by staining for ALP in both control and bradycardically paced hearts but not in hearts made hypertrophic because of volume...
overload where the relative decrease in capillary density was more obvious in subendocardial regions. Long-term administration of vasodilating drugs also resulted in inhomogeneous transmural distribution with adenosine producing a greater increase in capillary density in subepicardial regions and the xanthine derivative HWA 285 a greater increase in subendocardial regions. Whatever the reasons for this inhomogeneity — and it might be related to relative perfusion resulting from different changes in blood pressure — hearts with lower CD in the subendocardium showed worse performance than hearts with uniform transmural CD or higher CD in the subendocardium. This consideration can, of course, only be applied in regions where all capillaries are visualized, and great care was taken in the present experiments to count capillaries solely in sections with no loss of staining. Although we cannot say to what extent these capillaries are functional, the importance of a homogeneous transmural distribution of “perfused” capillaries for heart performance can be inferred from studies where the use of fluorescent-labeled plasma proteins shows no difference between capillary perfusion of the subepicardium and subendocardium at rest in rabbit hearts and at rest and under conditions of increased or decreased heart rate and blood pressure in the rat heart.

The indoxyl tetrazolium method for ALP seems to stain the entire length of capillaries (seen in preliminary experiments in longitudinal sections in skeletal and cardiac muscle), unlike the method used by Lojda in a number of tissues including rat heart and by Mrazková et al., who used a different substrate and found no staining in the venular parts of skeletal muscle capillaries. Our method gives maximal results in heart regions where all capillaries are visualized, and great care was taken in the present experiments to count capillaries solely in sections with no loss of staining. The indoxyl tetrazolium method for ALP seems to obscure. Stangeland et al. have also identified heart rate as the dominant predictor of infarct size in cats treated with alinidine, which reduces heart rate by a nonreceptor mechanism.
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