

Social Integration and Reduced Risk of Coronary Heart Disease in Women

The Role of Lifestyle Behaviors

Shun-Chiao Chang, Maria Glymour, Marilyn Cornelis, Stefan Walter, Eric B. Rimm, Eric Tchetgen Tchetgen, Ichiro Kawachi, Laura D. Kubzansky

Rationale: Higher social integration is associated with lower cardiovascular mortality; however, whether it is associated with incident coronary heart disease (CHD), especially in women, and whether associations differ by case fatality are unclear.

Objectives: This study sought to examine the associations between social integration and risk of incident CHD in a large female prospective cohort.

Methods and Results: Seventy-six thousand three hundred and sixty-two women in the Nurses' Health Study, free of CHD and stroke at baseline (1992), were followed until 2014. Social integration was assessed by a simplified Berkman-Syme Social Network Index every 4 years. End points included nonfatal myocardial infarction and fatal CHD. Two thousand three hundred and seventy-two incident CHD events occurred throughout follow-up. Adjusting for demographic, health/medical risk factors, and depressive symptoms, being socially integrated was significantly associated with lower CHD risk, particularly fatal CHD. The most socially integrated women had a hazard ratio of 0.55 (95% confidence interval, 0.41–0.73) of developing fatal CHD compared with those least socially integrated (P for trend <0.0001). When additionally adjusting for lifestyle behaviors, findings for fatal CHD were maintained but attenuated (P for trend =0.02), whereas the significant associations no longer remained for nonfatal myocardial infarction. The inverse associations between social integration and nonfatal myocardial infarction risk were largely explained by health-promoting behaviors, particularly through differences in cigarette smoking; however, the association with fatal CHD risk remained after accounting for these behaviors and, thus, may involve more direct biological mechanisms.

Conclusions: Social integration is inversely associated with CHD incidence in women, but is largely explained by lifestyle/behavioral pathways. (*Circ Res.* 2017;120:1927-1937. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.309443.)

Key Words: coronary heart disease risk ■ epidemiology ■ marginal structural model ■ mediation ■ prospective cohort study ■ social integration ■ women and minorities

Coronary heart disease (CHD) is the leading cause of death worldwide.¹ A greater understanding of relevant risk and protective factors and the mechanisms by which they may influence the development of CHD is a priority for prevention efforts. Prior work has suggested that CHD development and manifestations differ by sex²; moreover, results from epidemiological studies and evidence on physiological mechanisms suggest that risk factors may substantially differ between men and women.³

Editorial, see p 1855
Meet the First Author, see p 1844

Social interactions play a significant role in human health. Higher levels of social integration are posited to have salutary

effects. Social integration refers to structural aspects of social support and is defined according to the characteristics of the network of people surrounding an individual and frequency of his/her interaction with this network; thus, measures of social integration generally assess the number of close contacts, group or church membership, and marital status. With regard to CHD, prior research has shown that social integration may protect against all-cause and CHD-specific mortality.⁴⁻⁶ Some studies also suggested that social integration is associated with reduced risk of CHD incidence.^{4,5,7,8} Importantly, prior work has indicated that the relationship of social integration with physical health is weaker and more complex among women than among men.⁹⁻¹¹ Most studies of social integration and

Original received June 30, 2016; revision received March 15, 2017; accepted March 30, 2017. In February 2016, the average time from submission to first decision for all original research papers submitted to *Circulation Research* was 15.4 days.

From the Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA (S.-C.C., E.B.R.); Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences (S.-C.C., M.G., S.W., I.K., L.D.K.), Department of Nutrition (E.B.R.), Department of Biostatistics (E.T.T.), and Department of Epidemiology (E.B.R.), Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA; Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California, San Francisco (M.G., S.W.); and Department of Preventive Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL (M.C.).

The online-only Data Supplement is available with this article at <http://circres.ahajournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.309443/-/DC1>.

Correspondence to Shun-Chiao Chang, 181 Longwood Ave, Room 434, Boston MA 02115. E-mail nhshe@channing.harvard.edu

© 2017 American Heart Association, Inc.

Circulation Research is available at <http://circres.ahajournals.org>

DOI: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.309443

Novelty and Significance

What Is Known?

- Higher social integration is associated with reduced risk of incidence and mortality of coronary heart disease in men.
- Sex-specific differences have been reported for pathophysiological mechanism, clinical manifestation, and clinical outcomes of heart disease.
- Whether social integration influences coronary heart disease in women is understudied.

What New Information Does This Article Contribute?

- Higher level of social integration is associated with lower risk of developing coronary heart disease in women.
- The association between social integration and risk of nonfatal events is primarily mediated by avoidance of unhealthy lifestyle behaviors, whereas the link between social integration and fatal CHD may involve different pathways.

Data from prior studies suggest that higher levels of social integration is associated with lower risk of developing coronary heart disease in men, but it is unclear whether these associations are

similar in women. Research conducted specifically among women is important given sex-specific differences in pathophysiology and clinical outcomes of the disease. In this study, we examined associations between social integration and incident coronary heart disease among women using data from a prospective cohort study. Information on social integration and potential time-varying confounders was obtained repeatedly throughout 22-year follow-up and adjusted for accordingly. We observed that higher levels of social integration were significantly associated with lower risk of developing coronary heart disease. However, the association with incident nonfatal myocardial infarction was mainly mediated by lifestyle behaviors, whereas an independent effect of social integration was evident with fatal coronary events after accounting for behavioral mediators. These findings suggest that the link between social integration and nonfatal versus fatal coronary heart disease may involve different pathways. Our findings suggest that continued efforts to understand how social integration influences coronary heart disease risk are warranted and that improving social integration and support may provide novel targets for preventive strategies.

Nonstandard Abbreviations and Acronyms

95% CI	95% confidence interval
CHD	coronary heart disease
HR	hazard ratio
MI	myocardial infarction
MSM	marginal structural model
MV	multivariable-adjusted
NHS	Nurses' Health Study
SD	standard deviation
SES	socioeconomic status

CHD incidence have been conducted either in men or within a sex-mixed population without stratification. However, because of sex-specific differences in the underlying biology, a simple assumption that the same association can be extrapolated to women could be problematic.^{12,13} A recent report from the American Heart Association also highlights the need for increased attention to the pathophysiology of heart disease in women and emphasizes the urgency of closing the research gap in sex disparities by sex-specific examinations.² Given that CHD often manifests differently in women and prior findings suggesting associations with social integration and health are less robust in women, examining the relationship specifically in women is important.¹⁴ Studies of CHD progression or mortality that have specifically focused on women suggest that social integration or its components (eg, being married) may protect women with established CHD from deteriorating or reduce risk of a fatal secondary event. Among the limited extant studies considering how social relationships may influence the development of CHD among women, few have focused on social integration per se, and findings have generally been inconsistent.^{15–20} Measures of social integration varied

widely in these studies, ranging from overall or a single aspect of social integration (eg, marital status) to aspects of social functioning remotely related to social integration (eg, loneliness).^{15–21} As each measure may not capture the same underlying construct, it is unclear whether we should expect findings to be consistent across studies. In addition, social relationships were assessed only at study baseline in most studies, so it was not possible to account for possible changes in the relationships or their configuration over time.^{17,19} Moreover, fatal and nonfatal CHD are usually evaluated as a combined outcome; however, a prior study in men found that lower levels of social integration were primarily related to risk of fatal CHD but not to nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI).²² Considering if associations of social integration differ with nonfatal MI versus fatal CHD in women may be valuable.

To address these limitations, we used data from a large female prospective cohort to examine the associations between social integration and risk of incident CHD in women. Based on previous literature, we hypothesized that being socially integrated would be associated with lower CHD risk, and the magnitude of effects would be stronger for fatal CHD. As prior research has suggested that both structural and functional aspects of social support might reduce CHD risk through 2 pathways, health behaviors and stress-related neuroendocrine mechanisms,²³ we further explored whether any observed reduction in risk could be partially explained by lifestyle behaviors.

Methods

Study Population

The Nurses' Health Study (NHS) began in 1976 when 121 701 US female nurses, aged 30 to 55 years, returned a mailed questionnaire regarding lifestyle and medical history. Participants were followed biennially with a mailed questionnaire to update information on exposures and health outcomes. Detailed descriptions of the NHS have been previously published.²⁴ The institutional review board at Brigham

and Women's Hospital reviewed and approved this study, and participants provided informed consent by returning questionnaires.

Because the simplified Berkman–Syme Social Network Index²⁵ was first incorporated into the 1992 questionnaire, we designated 1992 as the study baseline. To evaluate social integration in relation to incident CHD, 10 800 women with preexisting MI, angina, coronary artery bypass graft, or stroke at baseline were excluded. Additionally, 4611 women who died before 1992 were excluded, as were women who did not answer the 1992 long questionnaire (short questionnaire did not have simplified Berkman–Syme Social Network Index questions; $n=22\,247$) or had missing data on social integration measures in 1992 ($n=7530$). One hundred and fifty-one women born before 1921 were also excluded. A total of 76 362 women with a mean age of 57.9 years (SD, 7.09), free from CHD and stroke at study baseline, were available for analysis.

Social Integration

Information on social integration was self-reported from the NHS questionnaires every 4 years from 1992 to 2012 using the simplified Berkman–Syme Social Network Index,²⁵ including 4 types of social connections in the measure of social integration: (1) marital status (married/having a domestic partnership, separated/divorced, widowed, and single), (2) number of close friends (none, 1–2, 3–5, 6–9, and 10+ friends), (3) regular attendance at religious services (never or almost never attend, less than once/month, 1–3 times/month, once/week, and more than once/week), and (4) participation in community or volunteer groups or other organizations such as church-connected groups, self-help group, charity, and public service (none, 1–2, 3–5, 6–10, 11–15, and 16+ hours/week).

At each time point, we scored each social integration component as shown in Table 1 and summed the category scores to create an overall score (possible range, 0–12).²⁶ We then categorized the score into 4 groups as close to quartiles as we could according to level of social integration based on the distribution of scores in the sample; these quartile-based groups did not have even numbers because the level of social integration had an ordinal rather than a continuous scale. Women in the lowest group (lowest degree of social integration) comprised the referent. If the social integration information was missing in 1 of the 5 questionnaire cycles, and the answers in consecutive cycles before and after the missing values were identical, we carried forward the information reported in the prior questionnaire cycle; otherwise, we censored participants at the cycle when their information on social integration could not be determined.

Covariates

Several self-reported demographic, health/medical, and lifestyle behavioral factors, as well as depressive symptoms collected from the NHS questionnaires, were considered as potential confounders or possibly as intermediate variables linking social integration and CHD. Demographic and socioeconomic status (SES) factors included

age, education attainment of a participant (RN associate or bachelor/master/doctoral degree) and her husband (some high school or below/high school graduate/college graduate or above), and census-tract median family income ($<\$65\,000/\geq\$65\,000$ per year). Health/medical factors consisted of history of chronic conditions (yes/no: high blood pressure, elevated cholesterol, and diabetes mellitus) and parental MI history before age 60 years (yes/no). Health-related lifestyle/behavioral factors included cigarette smoking (never/ $>0-10/>10-20/>20$ pack-years), physical activity ($<3/3-8.9/9-17.9/18-26.9/\geq 27$ metabolic equivalent tasks per week), alcohol consumption (0/0.1–4.9/5.0–14.9/ ≥ 15.0 g per day), alternate healthy eating index-2010 (in quartiles; higher quartile represents better dietary pattern), a summarized dietary score consistently associated with lower risk of chronic disease in clinical and epidemiological investigations,²⁷ and body mass index (in kg/m^2 ; $<18.5/18.5-24.9/25.0-29.9/\geq 30.0$). Validation work has demonstrated high accuracy of self-reported lifestyle behaviors (eg, physical activity, diet, and weight) and chronic diseases.²⁸⁻³¹ Depressive symptoms were assessed using the Mental Health Index-5 subscale of the Short-Form 36 health status survey.³² Consistent with prior work in this cohort, we categorized the Mental Health Index-5 score into 4 groups (86–100 [referent]), 76–85, 53–75, and 0–52, with the last category being classified as depressed mood).^{33,34} Information on age, family income, weight, chronic medical conditions, smoking, and physical activity were updated every 2 years, and alcohol consumption and diet were updated every 4 years since 1990. We carried forward the covariate information in the prior questionnaire cycle if missing during follow-up.

CHD End Points

The end point comprised incident cases of first nonfatal MI and fatal CHD (including fatal MI, CHD death, or sudden cardiac death) that occurred after the return of the 1992 questionnaire but before the end of follow-up on May 31, 2014. If a person had nonfatal MI first, which was then followed by a second event as fatal CHD at a later date, we counted the first occurrence of nonfatal MI and censored the participant afterward.

All women who reported having a nonfatal MI were asked for permission to access their medical records, and cases were confirmed by NHS study physicians blinded to the exposure information from the questionnaire. Nonfatal MI cases were confirmed according to World Health Organization criteria.³⁵ Women were considered probable cases if an interview or letter confirming hospitalization for the infarction was obtained, but the medical records were unavailable.

Fatal CHD was classified by examining hospital records or through an autopsy or if CHD was the most likely cause and was listed as the cause of death on the death certificate, along with evidence of prior CHD. We designated as probable CHD those cases in which CHD was the underlying cause on the death certificate but for which no medical records concerning the death were available and included these cases in the analysis. The analyses included confirmed and probable cases. Total CHD included both nonfatal MI and fatal CHD events.

Statistical Analysis

Person-years of follow-up accrued from the 1992 questionnaire return date until the date of the last returned questionnaire, CHD diagnosis, death, or end of follow-up (May 31, 2014), whichever occurred first. To describe the sample and to assess the association of covariates with social integration, we evaluated means and proportions of covariates across the 4 levels of social integration index score at baseline. Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate age- and multivariable-adjusted (MV) hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) of incident CHD in women with different levels of social integration. Information on social integration and covariates was updated every 4 years over the course of follow-up. We further tested for possible linear trends across the 4 levels of social integration index score by using a continuous variable in which participants were assigned the median value of their group. We alternatively examined the risk estimates associated with a continuous measure for a 1 SD increase in raw social integration index score. The basic model adjusted for age in years. The MV model 1 (MV1 model) additionally included demographic/SES characteristics: nurses' educational attainment,

Table 1. Scoring Criteria for Social Integration Measure

Item	Score of 3 if:	Score of 2 if:	Score of 1 if:	Score of 0 if:
Marital status	Married, living with a partner	NA	NA	Widowed, separated, divorced, or single
Religious service attendance	>once/week	once/week	<once/week	Never
Number of close friends	10+	3–9	1–2	None
Group participation	11+ h/wk	3–10 h/wk	1–2 h/wk	None

NA indicates not available.

husband's education, and census-tract median family income. MV2 model additionally included health/medical conditions: individual's history of chronic diseases (high blood pressure, elevated cholesterol, and diabetes mellitus), and parental history of MI before age 60 years. The MV3 model additionally adjusted for depressive symptoms. The fully adjusted MV4 model included covariates in the MV3 model plus lifestyle/behavioral behaviors: pack-years of cigarette smoking, physical activity, alcohol consumption, cumulative alternate healthy eating index-2010 score, and body mass index. We tested the proportional hazards assumption by including interaction terms between social integration and calendar time or age and using likelihood ratio tests comparing nested models with and without interaction terms. The proportional hazards assumption was met in all analyses.

Time-varying confounding (ie, when a covariate might both alter the exposure of interest but also result from it) is a potential concern that could lead to biased estimates of the associations under study. For example, in the present study, cigarette smoking in one questionnaire cycle was associated with social integration level assessed at the next questionnaire cycle, which was also associated with cigarette smoking in the following questionnaire cycle. Given the long-running nature of the cohort, we are able to address possible concerns about time-varying confounding that may bias the association in the standard methods by fitting marginal structural models (MSMs)³⁶ as a sensitivity analysis. We generated stabilized weights for MSMs based on the inverse of the probability of each person's social integration levels, given her prior history of social integration and all other covariates. The MSM findings did not suggest significant time-varying confounding occurring after study enrollment; therefore, we present the MSM results only briefly and present results from Cox models as our primary findings because in the absence of time-varying confounding, the Cox models are more efficient than the MSMs.

In addition to being potential confounders, it seems more plausible that some of the known CHD risk factors may in fact be on the pathway linking social integration to poor cardiovascular health. For example, socially more integrated women are more likely to participate in health-promoting behaviors, including being more physically active, quitting cigarette smoking, or stopped heavy drinking, which then enhance cardiovascular health.³⁷ We, therefore, assessed whether health-related lifestyle behaviors (cigarette smoking, physical activity, alcohol consumption, diet, and body mass index) singly or jointly explained the relationships between social integration levels and the CHD risk. For the ease of interpretation, we modeled social integration score as a continuous measure for a 1 SD increase rather than categorical variables in the mediation analyses. To do so, we calculated the proportion of mediation and its 95% CI using a publicly available %Mediate macro designed by our group (<http://www.hsph.harvard.edu/donna-spiegelman/software/mediate/>).³⁸ This estimates the change in β -coefficient per SD increase in the overall social integration index score, comparing models without and with potential intermediates when simultaneously adjusting for all other demographic and health/medical covariates, as well as depressive symptoms. The mediation proportion represents the proportion of the effect of social integration on CHD incidence occurring through these potential mediators.

Additional secondary analyses were performed. First, we modeled social integration and covariates at baseline to parallel with most prior studies and to examine how much the misclassification would influence the observed association. Second, we analyzed each component of social integration separately to assess the possibility that the overall social integration index may disguise differential effects of underlying individual components that contribute to the overall social integration measure.

All statistical procedures were performed with the use of SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC). All *P* values were 2-sided (*P*<0.05).

Results

Descriptive Analyses

Age-adjusted baseline characteristics of the study participants by levels of social integration index score are presented in

Table 2. Participants with higher level of social integration were generally healthier in terms of better lifestyle behaviors (eg, higher level of physical activity; lower prevalence of current smokers), fewer chronic conditions (eg, lower prevalence of high blood pressure and type 2 diabetes mellitus), and fewer depressive symptoms. Their husbands also had higher education level. Average time to disease presentation was 10 years (SD=5.5) for nonfatal MI and was shorter for fatal CHD (8.2 years, SD=3.9). In general, there was a gradient in the distributions of these baseline characteristics between those who remained CHD-free throughout the follow-up, those who developed nonfatal MI, and those who developed fatal CHD event during follow-up. For example, CHD-free participants were the youngest (mean of 58 years), whereas fatal CHD group was the oldest (mean of 64 years), with nonfatal MI group in between (mean of 61 years). Compared with participants who develop nonfatal MI over the 22 years of follow-up, those who developed fatal CHD had lower SES, had less healthy lifestyle behaviors, had more medical comorbidity and parental history of MI, were more likely to be depressed, and were less likely to have the highest level of social integration and more likely to have the lowest level of social integration at study baseline. However, in general, differences between women developing fatal versus nonfatal MI were smaller than those between women who did versus did not develop CHD (Online Table I).

Social Integration and Incident CHD

Two thousand three hundred and seventy-two incident CHD events (1964 nonfatal and 408 fatal) occurred during 22-year follow-up. Age-adjusted and MV HRs of total CHD, nonfatal MI, and fatal CHD by social integration levels are presented in Table 3. When compared with the least socially integrated women (referent), the age-adjusted HR of total CHD in most socially integrated women was 0.73 (95% CI, 0.65–0.82), with statistical evidence of a trend relationship (*P* for trend <0.0001). Additional adjustment for demographic/SES characteristics yielded similar findings. Further adjustment for health/medical risk factors and depressive symptoms did not have substantial impact on the effect estimates. However, when further adjusting for health-related lifestyle behaviors, the association for total CHD incidence was substantially attenuated (most versus least socially integrated group: HR, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.82–1.03). Similarly, 1 SD increase in total social integration score was significantly associated with 8% lower risk (95% CI, 4%–12%) of CHD in age-adjusted models; the effect estimates did not substantially change when additionally adjusted for demographic/SES risk factors, health/medical conditions, and depressive symptoms. However, the association was no longer statistically significant after further adjusting for lifestyle-related behaviors (per 1 SD increase: HR, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.95–1.04).

Consideration of CHD outcomes separately by fatal versus nonfatal events showed that social integration was more strongly associated with the risk of fatal events. In the age-adjusted models, women in the highest level of social integration, respectively, had HR (95% CI) of 0.80 (0.71–0.92) and 0.47 (0.35–0.63) for nonfatal MI and fatal CHD, compared with the referent. Additional adjustment for demographic/SES

Table 2. Age-Adjusted Baseline Characteristics by Level of Social Integration Score in the Nurses' Health Study*

Variables	Social Integration Index Level			
	I (Lowest)	II	III	IV (Highest)
Social integration range	0–5	6	7–8	9–12
Participants (n)	20 062	12 129	25 490	18 681
Age, y	57.7±7.1	57.4±7.1	57.7±7.1	58.9±7.0
BMI, kg/m ²	26.1±5.3	26.0±5.0	26.0±4.9	26.0±4.8
Census-tract median family income (in thousands of US dollars)	65.4±25.7	65.8±25.8	65.1±25.9	63.85±25.1
College graduate or above in husband education, %	49.6	53.6	55.5	59.1
Master degree or above in nurses' education, %	10.5	10.5	9.8	9.1
Alcohol, † g/d	6.1±11.2	5.7±10.1	5.0±8.8	4.2±8.0
Physical activity, METs/wk	17.6±21.4	18.8±22.7	19.5±23.4	21.2±25.3
Alternative health diet index-2010†	47.7±10.7	48.1±10.4	48.3±10.2	49.0±10.0
Current smoker, %	21.9	15.9	11.8	7.6
High blood pressure, %	32.9	32.4	31.9	29.6
Clinician diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus, %	4.4	3.9	3.6	3.5
Elevated cholesterol, %	43.0	43.6	44.1	43.3
Parental history of MI, %	18.2	18.2	18.8	18.2
Depression (MHI-5 ≤52), %	11.9	8.6	6.7	4.2

BMI indicates body mass index; METs, metabolic equivalent tasks; MHI-5, 5-item Mental Health Index; and MI, myocardial infarction.

*All characteristics are obtained from 1992 questionnaire, except if otherwise indicated. Values are mean±SD or percentages and are standardized to the age distribution of the study population.

†Information on alcohol consumption and alternative health diet index were obtained from 1990 questionnaire.

risk factors, health/medical conditions, and depressive symptoms had modest impact on the effect estimates. However, in models that further adjusted for lifestyle-related behaviors, the highest level of social integration remained significantly associated with fatal CHD (HR, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.51–0.92; *P* for trend =0.02) but not nonfatal MI (HR, 0.97; 95% CI, 0.85–1.10; *P* for trend =0.66); similarly, every 1 SD increase in social integration score was associated with a significantly lower risk of fatal CHD (HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.79–0.96) but not nonfatal MI (HR, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.95–1.04). When applying stabilized weights derived from all covariates, the fully adjusted model from MSMs conducted to address potential concerns around time-varying confounding similarly suggested that the most socially integrated women had a reduced risk of fatal CHD compared with the referent (HR, 0.67; 95% CI, 0.50–0.90). Given these findings, time-varying confounding does not appear to be a significant concern for conventional models in the present study.

Multiple mediation analyses considered potential mechanisms by which social integration may influence CHD risk. These results suggested that cigarette smoking may be in an important pathway (Table 4). 48.7% (95% CI, 28.6%–69.1%) of the observed inverse association between social integration and incident CHD was explained by cigarette smoking (*P*<0.0001). When considering associations between types of CHD (case fatality), cigarette smoking appeared to be a stronger mediating factor for nonfatal MI than fatal CHD. Specifically, smoking accounted for 78.1% (95% CI,

10.2%–99.1%) of the association with incident nonfatal MI but only 17.9% (95% CI, 10.9%–28.1%) of the association with fatal CHD. Physical activity was another important risk factor explaining the association between social integration and CHD.

Additional Analyses

In secondary analyses in which only baseline information of social integration and covariates were considered, the association patterns were consistent but the effect estimates were attenuated relative to the models that updated measures of social integration and covariates over time (Online Table II).

The results from fully adjusted multivariable analyses of individual Berkman–Syme Social Network Index components of social integration and incident CHD are presented in Table 5. Attendance at religious meetings or services was most clearly associated with reduced risk of CHD; the association was stronger for fatal CHD. Compared with women who never or almost never attended religious services, frequent attenders (at least once per week) were significantly associated with 18% (95% CI, 7%–28%), 15% (2%–26%), and 29% (3%–49%) reduction in risk of total CHD, nonfatal MI, and fatal CHD, respectively. In addition, being married or having a partnership (compared with unmarried or unpartnered women) was associated with a significantly lower risk of fatal CHD (HR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.63–0.97). We did not observe significant associations of having more close friends or participating in community/social groups more actively with risk of

Table 3. Age- and Multivariable-Adjusted Hazard Ratios for Coronary Heart Disease and Subcategories According to Level of Social Integration Index Score Updated Over Time, Nurses' Health Study, 1992 to 2014 (n=76 362)*

	Social Integration Index Level				P for Trend
	I (Lowest)	IV	III	IV (Highest)	
Total CHD					
Number of cases	782	344	728	518	
Age-adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	0.87 (0.76–0.98)	0.84 (0.76–0.93)	0.73 (0.65–0.82)	<0.0001
Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) Model 1 (MV1)†	1.00 (referent)	0.87 (0.76–0.98)	0.84 (0.75–0.92)	0.73 (0.65–0.81)	<0.0001
Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) Model 2 (MV2)‡	1.00 (referent)	0.88 (0.78–1.00)	0.86 (0.77–0.95)	0.76 (0.68–0.85)	<0.0001
Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) Model 3 (MV3)§	1.00 (referent)	0.89 (0.79–1.01)	0.88 (0.79–0.97)	0.79 (0.70–0.88)	<0.0001
Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) Model 4 (MV4)¶	1.00 (referent)	0.95 (0.84–1.08)	0.97 (0.87–1.08)	0.92 (0.82–1.03)	0.17
Nonfatal MI					
Number of cases	622	285	604	453	
Age-adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	0.90 (0.80–1.08)	0.87 (0.78–0.97)	0.80 (0.71–0.90)	0.0002
Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) Model 1 (MV1)†	1.00 (referent)	0.90 (0.80–1.08)	0.87 (0.77–0.97)	0.79 (0.70–0.90)	0.0002
Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) Model 2 (MV2)‡	1.00 (referent)	0.91 (0.82–1.10)	0.88 (0.79–0.99)	0.83 (0.73–0.93)	0.002
Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) Model 3 (MV3)§	1.00 (referent)	0.92 (0.87–1.17)	0.90 (0.80–1.01)	0.84 (0.74–0.96)	0.007
Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) Model 4 (MV4)¶	1.00 (referent)	0.97 (0.84–1.12)	0.98 (0.88–1.10)	0.97 (0.85–1.10)	0.66
Fatal CHD					
Number of cases	160	59	124	65	
Age-adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	0.73 (0.54–0.99)	0.72 (0.57–0.91)	0.47 (0.35–0.63)	<0.0001
Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) Model 1 (MV1)†	1.00 (referent)	0.73 (0.54–0.99)	0.72 (0.57–0.91)	0.47 (0.35–0.62)	<0.0001
Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) Model 2 (MV2)‡	1.00 (referent)	0.76 (0.56–1.03)	0.76 (0.60–0.96)	0.51 (0.38–0.68)	<0.0001
Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) Model 3 (MV3)§	1.00 (referent)	0.79 (0.58–1.07)	0.79 (0.62–1.00)	0.55 (0.41–0.73)	<0.0001
Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) Model 4 (MV4)¶	1.00 (referent)	0.88 (0.65–1.19)	0.93 (0.73–1.19)	0.68 (0.51–0.92)	0.02

CHD indicates coronary heart disease; CI, confidence interval; METs, metabolic equivalent tasks; MI, myocardial infarction; and MV, multivariable adjusted.

*Social integration and all covariates were time-updated, except as noted.

†MV1 adjusted for age (continuous), husband's highest education reported in 1992 (some high school or below, high school graduate, or college graduate and above), nurse's academic degree reported in 1992 (RN associate or bachelor, master, or doctoral degree), and census-tract median family income (<\$65 000 and ≥\$65 000 per year).

‡MV2 adjusted for variables in MV1 plus history of hypertension (yes and no), diabetes mellitus (yes and no), high cholesterol (yes and no), and parental history of myocardial infarction <60 years old (yes and no).

§MV3 adjusted for variables in MV2 plus depressive symptoms (5-item Mental Health Index score of 86–100, 76–85, 53–75, and 0–52).

¶MV4 adjusted for variables in MV3 plus pack-years of cigarette smoking (never, >0–10, >10–20, and >20), alcohol drinking (0, 0.1–4.9, 5.0–14.9, or ≥15.0 g per day), physical activity (<3, 3–8.9, 9–17.9, 18–26.9, or ≥27 METs/wk), alternative health diet index-2010 dietary score (in quartiles), and body mass index (<18.5, 18.5–24.9, 25.0–29.9, or ≥30).

developing CHD. The results were highly consistent when 4 components of social integration were mutually adjusted for each other (data not shown).

Discussion

We examined prospectively the association between social integration and risk of incident CHD in a large cohort of US women over 22 years of follow-up. Our findings suggest that being more socially integrated is only significantly associated with lower risk of fatal CHD but not nonfatal MI after accounting for known CHD risk factors, particularly lifestyle behaviors. This study provides strong evidence that an important pathway by which social integration may reduce risk of developing nonfatal MI is via enhancing health-promoting behaviors, in particular through avoidance of cigarette smoking,

long identified as a major risk factor for CHD.³⁹ In contrast, the association of social integration with reduced risk of fatal CHD is less well explained by cigarette smoking and other health-related lifestyle behaviors. It highlights the possibility that social integration may protect against fatal CHD through independent mechanisms beyond traditionally assessed behavioral, psychological, and physiological pathways.

Although the underlying biological mechanisms remained to be elucidated, it has been hypothesized that one of the beneficial effects of being socially integrated on cardiovascular event development is through inflammatory pathways. Recent studies proposed that inflammation may be a key distinctive mechanism and showed that inflammatory markers (particularly interleukin-6 and C-reactive protein) were more strongly associated with risk for fatal than for

Table 4. The Mediation Proportion for the Effect of Social Integration Index Score (per SD Increase) on CHD Risk Explained by Health-Related Lifestyle Behaviors, Nurses' Health Study, 1992–2014 (n=76 362)*

Mediation Proportion, %	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI) Adjusted for Each Potential Mediator	Percent Mediated (95% CI)
Total CHD			
Cigarette smoking	0.91 (0.87–0.95)	0.95 (0.92–0.99)	48.7 (28.6–69.1)
Physical activity	0.91 (0.87–0.95)	0.93 (0.89–0.97)	22.1 (13.4–34.2)
Alcohol drinking	0.91 (0.87–0.95)	0.91 (0.87–0.94)	Not mediated
Diet	0.91 (0.87–0.95)	0.92 (0.88–0.95)	7.8 (4.4–13.4)
BMI	0.91 (0.87–0.95)	0.91 (0.88–0.95)	1.8 (0.6–5.1)
Nonfatal MI			
Cigarette smoking	0.94 (0.90–0.98)	0.99 (0.94–1.03)	78.1 (10.2–99.1)
Physical activity	0.94 (0.90–0.98)	0.96 (0.92–1.00)	27.3 (11.0–53.2)
Alcohol drinking	0.94 (0.90–0.98)	0.94 (0.90–0.98)	Not mediated
Diet	0.94 (0.90–0.98)	0.95 (0.91–0.99)	10.9 (4.4–24.5)
BMI	0.94 (0.90–0.98)	0.94 (0.90–0.98)	Not mediated
Fatal CHD			
Cigarette smoking	0.77 (0.70–0.84)	0.80 (0.73–0.88)	17.9 (10.9–28.1)
Physical activity	0.77 (0.70–0.84)	0.80 (0.73–0.88)	17.2 (11.2–25.5)
Alcohol drinking	0.77 (0.70–0.84)	0.77 (0.70–0.85)	2.1 (0.8–5.4)
Diet	0.77 (0.70–0.84)	0.78 (0.71–0.85)	4.6 (2.3–8.8)
BMI	0.77 (0.70–0.84)	0.78 (0.71–0.85)	5.6 (3.3–9.3)

BMI indicates body mass index; CHD, coronary heart disease; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; and MI, myocardial infarction.

*The base model adjusted for age (continuous), husband's highest education reported in 1992 (some high school or below, high school graduate, or college graduate and above), nurse's academic degree reported in 1992 (RN associate or bachelor, master, or doctoral degree), and census-tract median family income (<\$65 000 and ≥\$65 000 per year), history of hypertension (yes and no), diabetes mellitus (yes and no), high cholesterol (yes and no), parental history of myocardial infarction < 60 years old (yes and no), and depressive symptoms (5-item Mental Health Index score of 86–100, 76–85, 53–75, and 0–52). Each individual mediation test compared models with and without additional potential mediating variables listed on the left column. One standard deviation of social integration score equaled to 2.26 points.

nonfatal cardiovascular events.^{40–43} In line with these findings, we observed differences in baseline characteristics of demographic and socioeconomic factors, lifestyle behaviors, medical comorbidities, and depressive symptoms between those who developed nonfatal MI and fatal CHD during follow-up. More specifically, although all women were free of clinical manifestation of CHD at baseline, those who developed fatal CHD during follow-up had more factors collectively associated with higher inflammation levels than those who became nonfatal MI (Online Table 1). Social integration may either directly affect inflammation or indirectly by buffering toxic effects of psychosocial stress on inflammation, as well as reducing cardiovascular reactivity.^{44,45} One possibility is that social integration may impact disease severity, which could explain a difference between incident fatal and nonfatal cardiovascular events. In addition, the strength of the beneficial effect of social integration on cardiovascular health may depend on a person's underlying inflammatory level. For example, people with higher initial levels of inflammation may obtain greater benefit from being socially integrated because

inflammation is also associated with higher risk of fatal than nonfatal CHD events.

From a statistical standpoint, using the likelihood ratio test, inclusion of social integration to the model with traditional risk factors and depression significantly improve the model fit for risk of fatal CHD ($P=0.02$) but not for nonfatal MI ($P=0.83$), when social integration index score was modeled per standard deviation increase. Using a population-attributable risk framework, 21.2% (95% CI, 2.3%–38.6%) of the fatal CHD cases that occurred in the NHS could have been prevented if all women switched to the highest level of social integration. Such computations require assumptions of causal links, which can only be established in randomized trials or other experimental approaches; nevertheless, they are helpful at conveying the benefit of evaluating social integration in the diagnosis/prognosis of CHD in addition to the traditional risk factors of cardiovascular disease. Beyond a statistical argument, however, as noted by many influential scholars, beneficial social connections may be considered a fundamental cause of disease, related to a given disease outcome through

Table 5. Individual Component of Social Integration Index on CHD Incidence, Nurses' Health Study, 1992–2014*

Social Integration Components	Total CHD		Nonfatal MI		Fatal CHD	
	No of Cases	HR (95% CI)†	No of Cases	HR (95% CI)†	No of Cases	HR (95% CI)†
Religious attendance						
Almost never	608	1.00 (referent)	487	1.00 (referent)	121	1.00 (referent)
1–3/mo to <once/mo	388	0.97 (0.85–1.10)	320	0.98 (0.84–1.13)	68	0.94 (0.68–1.24)
Once/wk	955	0.97 (0.87–1.07)	802	1.00 (0.89–1.12)	153	0.84 (0.66–1.07)
>once/wk	398	0.82 (0.72–0.93)	336	0.85 (0.74–0.98)	62	0.71 (0.51–0.97)
Community group participation per week						
0 h	858	1.00 (referent)	682	1.00 (referent)	176	1.00 (referent)
1–2 h	625	0.95 (0.86–1.05)	528	0.99 (0.88–1.11)	97	0.81 (0.62–1.04)
3–10 h	718	1.00 (0.90–1.11)	609	1.04 (0.93–1.16)	109	0.84 (0.66–1.08)
11+ h	121	1.10 (0.90–1.34)	101	1.13 (0.91–1.39)	20	1.02 (0.64–1.63)
Number of close friends						
0	52	1.00 (referent)	41	1.00 (referent)	11	1.00 (referent)
1–2	370	0.94 (0.70–1.25)	303	0.95 (0.68–1.31)	67	0.91 (0.48–1.73)
3–9	1493	1.02 (0.77–1.35)	1237	1.03 (0.75–1.41)	2656	1.01 (0.55–1.85)
10+	410	1.02 (0.76–1.37)	342	1.04 (0.75–1.44)	68	0.96 (0.50–1.84)
Marital status						
Unmarried or unpartnered	765	1.00 (referent)	606	1.00 (referent)	159	1.00 (referent)
Married or partnered	1597	0.94 (0.85–1.03)	1353	0.98 (0.88–1.08)	244	0.78 (0.63–0.97)

CHD indicates coronary heart disease; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; and MI, myocardial infarction.

*Social integration and all covariates were time-updated, except as noted.

†Model adjusted for age (continuous), husband's highest education reported in 1992 (some high school or below, high school graduate, or college graduate and above), nurse's academic degree reported in 1992 (RN associate or bachelor, master or doctoral degree), and census-tract median family income (<\$65 000 and ≥\$65 000 per year), history of hypertension (yes and no), diabetes mellitus (yes and no), high cholesterol (yes and no), parental history of myocardial infarction <60 years old (yes and no), depressive symptoms (5-item Mental Health Index score of 86–100, 76–85, 53–75, and 0–52), pack-years of cigarette smoking (never, >0–10, >10–20, and >20), alcohol drinking (0, 0.1–4.9, 5.0–14.9, or ≥15.0 g per day), physical activity (<3, 3–8.9, 9–17.9, 18–26.9, or ≥27 METs/wk), alternative health diet index-2010 dietary score (in quartiles), and body mass index (<18.5, 18.5–24.9, 25.0–29.9, or ≥30).

multiple pathways that can change over time.⁴⁶ For example, in the early 20th century, cigarette smoking (a pathway to cardiovascular disease) was more common among higher SES individuals, but that pattern has now reversed where cigarette smoking is now more common among individuals of lower SES. However, lower SES continues to be associated with higher risk of many diseases linked to cigarette smoking, regardless of this change in patterning. Knowing proximate risk factors and mechanisms may be useful but cannot provide the greatest insight into those upstream factors that strongly pattern disease outcomes in an enduring manner over time. Thus, identifying risk related to an upstream factor that patterns downstream risk and protective factors enables more reliable prediction of who will be at risk, potentially facilitating more effected strategies for prevention and intervention, as well as enabling earlier identification of at-risk individuals or those who may not be immediately detectable according to standard risk factor assessment.

Our findings that smoking substantially explained the relation between social integration and risk of CHD incidence in middle-aged to old healthy women without CHD at baseline are in line with a recent study by Kreibig et al,⁴⁷ which reported that smoking explained much of the association

between social integration and all-cause mortality in predominantly male patients with established CHD. The different magnitudes of lifestyle behaviors mediating the associations between social integration and nonfatal MI versus fatal CHD outcomes highlight different underlying pathogenesis. Having a high level of social integration was significantly associated with decreased risk of fatal CHD even after adjusting for lifestyle behaviors, suggesting that other pathways are also relevant, perhaps through autonomic dysregulation or other neuroendocrine mechanisms.²³ For example, social integration may act as a stress buffer, protecting people from the potentially harmful influence of chronic psychosocial distress and the potentially toxic biological stress response that can occur. These stress responses may include changes in neuroendocrine response patterns and elevating sympathetic activation, which can facilitate pathogenic processes involved in CHD.⁴⁸

Of the 4 aspects of social integration investigated, religious service attendance was independently associated with reduced risk of incident CHD, which was in line with prior research.¹⁷ Religious involvement may be accompanied by direct or indirect encouragement of psychological well-being, such as better stress management and self-esteem, as well as

recommendations against specific harmful health behaviors, such as smoking or alcohol consumption.^{37,49} However, associations of religious service attendance and reduced risk of incident CHD were maintained even after adjusting for health behaviors; thus, these are unlikely to fully account for the effects noted here. Our observation that married or partnered women were associated with a significantly lower risk of fatal CHD but not overall CHD incidence was consistent with a prior study.⁵⁰ One possible explanation is that married/partnered women are more likely to have their spouse/partner at home to respond more quickly to such cardiac event than unmarried/unpartnered women who are more likely to live alone and help them seek appropriate treatment more effectively.

The strengths of the current study include its relatively homogeneous study population, large sample size, prospective data collection, lengthy follow-up, and the availability of repeated measures of social integration and a broad range of potential confounders or mediating factors. Potential limitations of the study should also be considered. First, it is important to note that the NHS recruited primarily married women in 1976 when the cohort was initiated. Because marital status is a part of the social integration measure, recruiting participants based on marital status may affect the representativeness of the study because of selection of a more socially integrated sample at the outset. However, marriage was the most normative experience among women at the time they enrolled in the study (1976), so cohort selection of predominantly married women is unlikely to be dramatically different from the general population; in addition, changes in marital status (such as divorce and remarriage) were relatively common throughout the follow-up. This results in greater heterogeneity in marital status over time, despite some homogeneity at the start of the cohort.⁵¹ Second, only frequency but not quality of the social relationships was collected in this study. A growing literature now suggests that the quality of relationships is likely as important as the quantity. If we were able to assess quality of these relationships and characterize levels of positive social integration, we might find even larger effects on CHD risk. Third, behaviors and diseases that occurred prior to 1992, especially those occurring in young adulthood, may influence social integration levels reported in 1992. Because we did not have this information, unmeasured experiences or behaviors occurring before 1992 may still introduce residual confounding, even though the time-varying confounders during the follow-up period were adjusted using the MSMs. Fourth, although several covariates were adjusted for in the study, residual and unmeasured confounding remains possible, as is the case with all observational studies. However, many variables, such as self-reported weight, physical activity, dietary intake, and disease outcomes, have been shown to be reliably and validly measured through NHS validation studies,^{28,30,31,52,53} so residual confounding because of covariate misclassification should be minimal. Finally, with specific coronary end points, we found some evidence that social integration is more strongly associated with fatal CHD than with nonfatal MI. However, if women who are more socially isolated tend to use less medical service, those with silent or nonfatal MIs are likely to be missed, and this could lead to an underestimate of an effect of social integration.

In summary, our findings suggest that similar to prior work in men, being socially integrated may protect against the development of CHD in women. Our finding of an association between social integration and fatal CHD over and above the contribution of lifestyle behaviors is particularly notable, given that the association with nonfatal MI was evident but somewhat weaker and more strongly explained by lifestyle behaviors. This may suggest the possibility of distinct mechanisms linking social interactions to cardiovascular risk, particularly with regard to disease severity. Our results have potentially important implications for novel approaches in preventive efforts. Specifically, information on an individual's level of social integration may serve as an informative screening tool for identifying people who may be at higher risk for CHD because of low levels of integration; in addition, an increased awareness of the role of psychological resources (or lack thereof) in CHD risk will broaden the focus of clinicians or public health practitioners beyond known CHD risk factors and, as a result, may add an important new tool for reducing the population burden of CHD. Our findings suggest that it will be important for future research to separate nonfatal and fatal CHD events when investigating effects of social relations or interactions. In addition, because our study was conducted in older women (mean age >57 years at baseline), additional research is needed in younger population because age-related differences in C-reactive protein level, a biological risk factor for cardiovascular disease, influenced by social integration have been observed.⁴¹ Furthermore, only structural aspects of social support were examined in this study. Perceived functional support was not collected in our study, and it would be valuable to see how structural support and perceived functional support singly and collectively influence cardiovascular health in future studies and through which specific pathways. One important implication is that psychosocial well-being may substantially influence physical health and disease pathogenesis,⁵⁴ so continued efforts to understand effects of being socially integrated and strategies for improving social integration and support are warranted.^{55,56}

Acknowledgments

We are indebted to the participants in the Nurses' Health Study for their outstanding commitment and cooperation.

Sources of Funding

This work was supported by funding from the National Institutes of Health (MH092707-01, UM1 CA186107, and R01 HL034594).

Disclosures

None.

References

1. Who publishes definitive atlas on global heart disease and stroke epidemic. *Indian J Med Sci.* 2004;58:405–406.
2. Mehta LS, Beckie TM, DeVon HA, Grines CL, Krumholz HM, Johnson MN, Lindley KJ, Vaccarino V, Wang TY, Watson KE, Wenger NK; American Heart Association Cardiovascular Disease in Women and Special Populations Committee of the Council on Clinical Cardiology, Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, and Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research. Acute myocardial infarction in women: a scientific statement from

- the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2016;133:916–947. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000351.
3. Shaw LJ, Bugiardini R, Merz CN. Women and ischemic heart disease: evolving knowledge. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;54:1561–1575. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2009.04.098.
 4. Shumaker SA, Czajkowski SM. *Social Support and Cardiovascular Disease*. New York: Plenum Press; 1994.
 5. Lett HS, Blumenthal JA, Babyak MA, Strauman TJ, Robins C, Sherwood A. Social support and coronary heart disease: epidemiologic evidence and implications for treatment. *Psychosom Med*. 2005;67:869–878. doi: 10.1097/01.psy.0000188393.73571.0a.
 6. Holt-Lunstad J, Smith TB, Layton JB. Social relationships and mortality risk: a meta-analytic review. *PLoS Med*. 2010;7:e1000316. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000316.
 7. Hemingway H, Marmot M. Evidence based cardiology: psychosocial factors in the aetiology and prognosis of coronary heart disease. Systematic review of prospective cohort studies. *BMJ*. 1999;318:1460–1467.
 8. Barth J, Schneider S, von Känel R. Lack of social support in the etiology and the prognosis of coronary heart disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychosom Med*. 2010;72:229–238. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0b013e3181d01611.
 9. Shumaker SA, Hill DR. Gender differences in social support and physical health. *Health Psychol*. 1991;10:102–111.
 10. Orth-Gomér K, Johnson JV. Social network interaction and mortality. A six year follow-up study of a random sample of the Swedish population. *J Chronic Dis*. 1987;40:949–957.
 11. Schoenbach VJ, Kaplan BH, Fredman L, Kleinbaum DG. Social ties and mortality in Evans County, Georgia. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1986;123:577–591.
 12. Wizemann TM, Pardue ML. Exploring the biological contributions to human health: Does sex matter? In: Wizemann TM, Pardue ML, eds. *Exploring the Biological Contributions to Human Health: Does Sex Matter?* Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2001.
 13. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Women's Health Research. *Women's Health Research: Progress, Pitfalls, and Promise*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2010.
 14. Schiebinger L. Scientific research must take gender into account. *Nature*. 2014;507:9. doi: 10.1038/507009a.
 15. Eaker ED, Sullivan LM, Kelly-Hayes M, D'Agostino RB Sr, Benjamin EJ. Marital status, marital strain, and risk of coronary heart disease or total mortality: The Framingham Offspring Study. *Psychosom Med*. 2007;69:509–513. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0b013e3180f62357.
 16. Barefoot JC, Grønbaek M, Jensen G, Schnohr P, Prescott E. Social network diversity and risks of ischemic heart disease and total mortality: findings from the Copenhagen City Heart Study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2005;161:960–967. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwi128.
 17. Eaker ED, Pinsky J, Castelli WP. Myocardial infarction and coronary death among women: Psychosocial predictors from a 20-year follow-up of women in the framingham study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1992;135:854–864.
 18. André-Petersson L, Engström G, Hedblad B, Jansson L, Rosvall M. Social support at work and the risk of myocardial infarction and stroke in women and men. *Soc Sci Med*. 2007;64:830–841. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2006.10.020.
 19. Gafarov VV, Panov DO, Gromova EA, Gagulin IV, Gafarova AV. The influence of social support on risk of acute cardiovascular diseases in female population aged 25–64 in Russia. *Int J Circumpolar Health*. 2013;72. doi: 10.3402/ijch.v72i0.21210.
 20. Thurston RC, Kubzansky LD. Women, loneliness, and incident coronary heart disease. *Psychosom Med*. 2009;71:836–842. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0b013e3181b40efc.
 21. Ikeda A, Iso H, Kawachi I, Yamagishi K, Inoue M, Tsugane S; JPHC Study Group. Social support and stroke and coronary heart disease: the JPHC study cohorts II. *Stroke*. 2008;39:768–775. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.496695.
 22. Eng PM, Rimm EB, Fitzmaurice G, Kawachi I. Social ties and change in social ties in relation to subsequent total and cause-specific mortality and coronary heart disease incidence in men. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2002;155:700–709.
 23. Knox SS, Uvnäs-Moberg K. Social isolation and cardiovascular disease: an atherosclerotic pathway? *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 1998;23:877–890.
 24. Colditz GA, Hankinson SE. The Nurses' Health Study: lifestyle and health among women. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2005;5:388–396. doi: 10.1038/nrc1608.
 25. Berkman LF, Syme SL. Social networks, host resistance, and mortality: a nine-year follow-up study of Alameda County residents. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1979;109:186–204.
 26. Chang SC, Glymour MM, Rewak M, Cornelis MC, Walter S, Koenen KC, Kawachi I, Liang L, Tchetgen Tchetgen EJ, Kubzansky LD. Are genetic variations in OXTR, AVPR1A, and CD38 genes important to social integration? Results from two large U.S. cohorts. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2014;39:257–268. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2013.09.024.
 27. Chiuve SE, Fung TT, Rimm EB, Hu FB, McCullough ML, Wang M, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC. Alternative dietary indices both strongly predict risk of chronic disease. *J Nutr*. 2012;142:1009–1018. doi: 10.3945/jn.111.157222.
 28. Wolf AM, Hunter DJ, Colditz GA, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Corsano KA, Rosner B, Kriska A, Willett WC. Reproducibility and validity of a self-administered physical activity questionnaire. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1994;23:991–999.
 29. Willett WC, Sampson L, Browne ML, Stampfer MJ, Rosner B, Hennekens CH, Speizer FE. The use of a self-administered questionnaire to assess diet four years in the past. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1988;127:188–199.
 30. Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Chute CG, Litin LB, Willett WC. Validity of self-reported waist and hip circumferences in men and women. *Epidemiology*. 1990;1:466–473.
 31. Colditz GA, Martin P, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Sampson L, Rosner B, Hennekens CH, Speizer FE. Validation of questionnaire information on risk factors and disease outcomes in a prospective cohort study of women. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1986;123:894–900.
 32. Ware JE Jr, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. *Med Care*. 1992;30:473–483.
 33. Whang W, Kubzansky LD, Kawachi I, Rexrode KM, Kroenke CH, Glynn RJ, Garan H, Albert CM. Depression and risk of sudden cardiac death and coronary heart disease in women: results from the Nurses' Health Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;53:950–958. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2008.10.060.
 34. Pan A, Lucas M, Sun Q, van Dam RM, Franco OH, Willett WC, Manson JE, Rexrode KM, Ascherio A, Hu FB. Increased mortality risk in women with depression and diabetes mellitus. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2011;68:42–50. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.176.
 35. Rose GA, Blackburn HW. *Cardiovascular Survey Methods*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1982.
 36. Robins JM, Hernán MA, Brumback B. Marginal structural models and causal inference in epidemiology. *Epidemiology*. 2000;11:550–560.
 37. Strawbridge WJ, Shema SJ, Cohen RD, Kaplan GA. Religious attendance increases survival by improving and maintaining good health behaviors, mental health, and social relationships. *Ann Behav Med*. 2001;23:68–74. doi: 10.1207/S15324796ABM2301_1.
 38. Lin DY, Fleming TR, De Gruttola V. Estimating the proportion of treatment effect explained by a surrogate marker. *Stat Med*. 1997;16:1515–1527.
 39. Services UDoHaH. *The health consequences of smoking—50 years of progress: A report of the surgeon general*. Atlanta: U.S.: Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health. 2014.
 40. Sattar N, Murray HM, Welsh P, et al; Prospective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk (PROSPER) Study Group. Are markers of inflammation more strongly associated with risk for fatal than for nonfatal vascular events? *PLoS Med*. 2009;6:e1000099. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000099.
 41. Ford ES, Loucks EB, Berkman LF. Social integration and concentrations of C-reactive protein among US adults. *Ann Epidemiol*. 2006;16:78–84. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2005.08.005.
 42. Loucks EB, Berkman LF, Gruenewald TL, Seeman TE. Relation of social integration to inflammatory marker concentrations in men and women 70 to 79 years. *Am J Cardiol*. 2006;97:1010–1016. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2005.10.043.
 43. Loucks EB, Sullivan LM, D'Agostino RB Sr, Larson MG, Berkman LF, Benjamin EJ. Social networks and inflammatory markers in the Framingham Heart Study. *J Biosoc Sci*. 2006;38:835–842. doi: 10.1017/S0021932005001203.
 44. Mezuk B, Diez Roux AV, Seeman T. Evaluating the buffering vs. direct effects hypotheses of emotional social support on inflammatory markers: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2010;24:1294–1300. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2010.06.006.
 45. Uchino BN, Carlisle M, Birmingham W, Vaughn AA. Social support and the reactivity hypothesis: conceptual issues in examining the efficacy of received support during acute psychological stress. *Biol Psychol*. 2011;86:137–142. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2010.04.003.

46. Phelan JC, Link BG, Tehranifar P. Social conditions as fundamental causes of health inequalities: theory, evidence, and policy implications. *J Health Soc Behav.* 2010;51(suppl):S28–S40. doi: 10.1177/0022146510383498.
47. Kreibitz SD, Whooley MA, Gross JJ. Social integration and mortality in patients with coronary heart disease: findings from the Heart and Soul Study. *Psychosom Med.* 2014;76:659–668. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0000000000000100.
48. Cohen S. Psychosocial models of the role of social support in the etiology of physical disease. *Health Psychol.* 1988;7:269–297.
49. Oman D, Kurata JH, Strawbridge WJ, Cohen RD. Religious attendance and cause of death over 31 years. *Int J Psychiatry Med.* 2002;32:69–89. doi: 10.2190/RJY7-CRR1-HCW5-XVEG.
50. Floud S, Balkwill A, Canoy D, Wright FL, Reeves GK, Green J, Beral V, Cairns BJ; Million Women Study Collaborators. Marital status and ischemic heart disease incidence and mortality in women: a large prospective study. *BMC Med.* 2014;12:42. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-12-42.
51. Norton AJ, Miller LF. Marriage, divorce, and remarriage in the 1990's. Current Population Reports. Series P-20, Population Characteristics. 1992;i-1–21.
52. Salvini S, Hunter DJ, Sampson L, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Rosner B, Willett WC. Food-based validation of a dietary questionnaire: the effects of week-to-week variation in food consumption. *Int J Epidemiol.* 1989;18:858–867.
53. Willett WC, Sampson L, Stampfer MJ, Rosner B, Bain C, Witschi J, Hennekens CH, Speizer FE. Reproducibility and validity of a semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1985;122:51–65.
54. Engel GL. The need for a new medical model: a challenge for biomedicine. *Science.* 1977;196:129–136.
55. Fyrand L, Moum T, Finset A, Glennås A. The effect of social network intervention for women with rheumatoid arthritis. *Fam Process.* 2003;42:71–89.
56. Terzian E, Tognoni G, Bracco R, De Ruggieri E, Ficociello RA, Mezzina R, Pillo G; SIRS (Studio di Intervento sulla Rete Sociale [Social Network Intervention Study]) Collaborative Study Group. Social network intervention in patients with schizophrenia and marked social withdrawal: a randomized controlled study. *Can J Psychiatry.* 2013;58:622–631. doi: 10.1177/070674371305801108.

Circulation Research

JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION



Social Integration and Reduced Risk of Coronary Heart Disease in Women: The Role of Lifestyle Behaviors

Shun-Chiao Chang, Maria Glymour, Marilyn Cornelis, Stefan Walter, Eric B. Rimm, Eric Tchetgen Tchetgen, Ichiro Kawachi and Laura D. Kubzansky

Circ Res. 2017;120:1927-1937; originally published online April 3, 2017;

doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.309443

Circulation Research is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231

Copyright © 2017 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

Print ISSN: 0009-7330. Online ISSN: 1524-4571

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://circres.ahajournals.org/content/120/12/1927>

Data Supplement (unedited) at:

<http://circres.ahajournals.org/content/suppl/2017/03/31/CIRCRESAHA.116.309443.DC1>

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in *Circulation Research* can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the [Permissions and Rights Question and Answer](#) document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
<http://www.lww.com/reprints>

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to *Circulation Research* is online at:
<http://circres.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/>

Online Table I. Baseline characteristics by disease status during follow-up in 1992-2014

Variables	Disease group/case fatality		
	Nonfatal MI	Fatal CHD	CHD-free
N	1964	408	73990
Age (years)	61.1±6.5	63.9±5.7	58.3±7.1
BMI (kg/m ²)	27.2±5.4	28.1±4.8	26.0±5.0
Census-track median family income (in thousands)	61.7±23.5	61.1±20.3	65.0±25.7
Current smoker (%)	26.2	27.3	13.7
Pack-years of cigarette smoking	18.5±21.1	21.4±20.4	12.8±18.8
Alcohol (g/d) †	4.3±8.7	5.0±8.5	5.2±9.5
Physical activity (METs/week)	16.5±18.9	16.0±16.6	19.4±23.4
Alternative health diet index-2010 †	46.8±10.3	47.3±8.2	48.3±10.3
High blood pressure (%)	44.9	55.6	31.1
Clinician diagnosed type 2 diabetes (%)	11.0	24.1	3.6
Elevated cholesterol (%)	54.5	55.2	43.3
Parental history of MI (%)	27.1	26.4	18.2
College graduate or above in husband education (%)	46.3	47.0	55.0
Master degree or above in nurses' education (%)	7.8	6.8	10.0
Depression (MHI-5 ≤ 52), %	9.1	11.0	7.8
Time to event (years)	10.0±5.5	8.2±3.9	N/A
Social integration index level, %			
I (lowest)	28.2	31.6	26.2
II	15.2	15.8	15.9
III	34.2	33.4	33.4
IV (highest)	22.4	19.3	24.5

* All characteristics are obtained from 1992 questionnaire, except if otherwise indicated. All values are age-adjusted except for age itself.

† Information on alcohol consumption and alternative health diet index were obtained from 1990 questionnaire. Values are mean±SD or percentages and are standardized to the age distribution of the study population.

Online Table II. Age- and multivariable-adjusted hazard ratios for coronary heart disease and subcategories according to level of social integration index score at baseline, Nurses' Health Study, 1992-2014 (n=76,362)*

	Social integration index level				<i>p</i> -for-trend
	I (lowest)	II	III	IV (highest)	
Total CHD					
Age-adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	0.94 (0.84-1.04)	0.89 (0.74-0.96)	0.81 (0.73-0.91)	0.0003
Multivariable-Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI) Model 1 (MV1) †	1.00 (referent)	0.93 (0.84-1.03)	0.88 (0.73-0.96)	0.81 (0.72-0.90)	0.0002
Multivariable-Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI) Model 2 (MV2) ‡	1.00 (referent)	0.94 (0.82-1.04)	0.90 (0.74-0.97)	0.83 (0.74-0.93)	0.002
Multivariable-Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI) Model 3 (MV3) §	1.00 (referent)	0.94 (0.85-1.05)	0.90 (0.84-1.10)	0.84 (0.85-0.94)	0.002
Multivariable-Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI) Model 4 (MV4)	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (0.90-1.11)	1.01 (0.89-1.15)	0.97 (0.86-1.09)	0.67
Nonfatal MI					
Age-adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	0.96 (0.85-1.08)	0.93 (0.81-1.06)	0.87 (0.77-0.98)	0.02
Multivariable-Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI) Model 1 (MV1) †	1.00 (referent)	0.95 (0.85-1.07)	0.92 (0.80-1.06)	0.86 (0.76-0.98)	0.02
Multivariable-Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI) Model 2 (MV2) ‡	1.00 (referent)	0.96 (0.85-1.08)	0.93 (0.81-1.07)	0.88 (0.78-1.00)	0.05
Multivariable-Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI) Model 3 (MV3) §	1.00 (referent)	0.96 (0.85-1.08)	0.93 (0.81-1.07)	0.88 (0.77-0.99)	0.04
Multivariable-Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI) Model 4 (MV4)	1.00 (referent)	1.01 (0.90-1.14)	1.03 (0.90-1.19)	1.01 (0.89-1.15)	0.84
Fatal CHD					
Age-adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	0.85 (0.67-1.08)	0.75 (0.55-1.01)	0.60 (0.46-0.79)	0.0002
Multivariable-Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI) Model 1 (MV1) †	1.00 (referent)	0.84 (0.66-1.07)	0.74 (0.54-1.00)	0.60 (0.45-0.79)	0.0002
Multivariable-Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI) Model 2 (MV2) ‡	1.00 (referent)	0.86 (0.67-1.10)	0.76 (0.56-1.03)	0.64 (0.48-0.84)	0.001
Multivariable-Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI) Model 3 (MV3) §	1.00 (referent)	0.87 (0.68-1.12)	0.78 (0.58-1.07)	0.67 (0.51-0.89)	0.005
Multivariable-Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI) Model 4 (MV4)	1.00 (referent)	0.93 (0.73-1.19)	0.91 (0.66-1.23)	0.79 (0.60-1.06)	0.12

* Social integration and all covariates were collected at baseline in 1992

† MV1 adjusted for age (continuous), husband's highest education (some high school or below, high school graduate, or college graduate and above), nurse's academic degree (RN associate or bachelor, master, or doctoral degree), and census-tract median family income (<65,000, ≥65,000 per year).

‡ MV2 adjusted for variables in MV1 plus history of hypertension (yes/no), diabetes (yes/no), high cholesterol (yes/no), and parental history of myocardial infarction < 60 years old (yes/no) at baseline

§ MV3 adjusted for variables in MV2 plus depressive symptoms (5-item Mental Health Index score of 86-100, 76-85, 53-75, 0-52)

|| MV4 adjusted for variables in MV3 plus pack-years of cigarette smoking (never, >0-10, >10-20, >20), alcohol drinking (0, 0.1-4.9, 5.0-14.9, or \geq 15.0 grams per day), physical activity (<3, 3-8.9, 9-17.9, 18-26.9, or \geq 27 METs/wk), alternative health diet index-2010 dietary score (in quartiles), and body mass index (<18.5, 18.5-24.9, 25.0-29.9, or \geq 30)

Abbreviations: CHD, coronary heart disease; MI, myocardial infarction; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval