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The Broad Scope of Peripheral Arterial Disease

Peripheral arterial disease (PAD) is usually taken to mean arterial occlusive disease because of arteriosclerosis, impeding the blood flow to the lower extremity. Of course, it is important to keep in mind that a broader definition of PAD would include all noncoronary arterial disease, including carotid artery disease. Furthermore, there are many disorders that can cause arterial occlusive disease other than arteriosclerosis although it is by far the most common. These disorders can be due to pathobiology intrinsic to the vessel wall, as in vasculitis, thromboangiitis obliterans, or fibromuscular dysplasia; or secondary to extrinsic pathologies as in compression syndromes, such as popliteal artery entrapment. Embolic disease (such as that due to atheroembolism from a diseased aorta, or cardiogenic thrombus) or dissection of the aorta, may cause occlusion of peripheral arteries. In addition, the broad scope of PADs includes nonocclusive arterial disease, such as aneurysms, traumatic or congenital arteriovenous fistulas or malformations, and vascular tumors. However, for the purposes of this compendium, we focus on atherosclerotic arterial occlusive disease that impairs blood flow to the lower extremities.

Dying of Neglect

Defined in this way, PAD is the most common disease that is most commonly overlooked. Although it is unusual for PAD to manifest in those aged <55 years, its prevalence increases sharply with age to affect ≈8% to 10% of individuals aged >65 years, and ≈20% of individuals aged >80 years.1 Regrettably, most of these individuals are not diagnosed, as documented in the PARTNERS (PAD Awareness, Risk, and Treatment: New Resources for Survival) screening study.2 As a consequence, individuals with PAD are less likely than those with coronary artery disease to receive optimal medical therapy (OMT). This is a tragic situation because OMT saves life and limb. In their comprehensive and lucid review of medical therapy, Creager and Bonaca3 point out that the aims are 2-fold: to reduce cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, and to relieve symptoms and functional impairment associated with PAD. They detail how the use of statins, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, and antiplatelet agents substantially reduce cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in individuals with PAD.

Furthermore, statins and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, as well as cilostazol, can improve walking distance. As discussed by several of the authors, supervised exercise has the greatest efficacy of medical therapies to improve walking distance. Tobacco cessation is a mainstay of treatment, as it improves functional capacity, prevents the progression of disease, and reduces the risk of bypass failure.3

Given that there is effective medical therapy, why is PAD underdiagnosed and untreated? As noted by McDermott, most individuals with PAD do not have the classic symptomatology of intermittent claudication as defined by pain in the buttocks, thighs, or calves that occurs during walking, relieved by standing still.4 Only 10% to 30% of PAD patients manifest these symptoms. They may be sedentary, and not aware of their limitations, or the patients (and physicians) attribute the leg pain to musculoskeletal disease or neuropathy that often coexists in these elderly patients. Another cause of the poor recognition is suboptimal screening. It is not because screening procedures are highly technical or expensive. It is straightforward to make the diagnosis of PAD with simple equipment, that is, a blood pressure cuff and a hand-held Doppler. One measures the systolic pressure at the brachial, dorsalis pedis, and posterior tibial arteries. The ankle-brachial index (ABI) for each leg is calculated by taking the higher of the two pressures taken at the ankle, and dividing it by the higher of the 2 brachial pressures. The normal ABI is 1.0 to 1.2 (the systolic pressure at the ankle can be slightly higher than the systolic pressure measured at the brachial artery in a healthy individual because of pulse wave reflections). The diagnosis of PAD is made when the ABI≤0.9.

The ABI is a useful screening approach that should be adopted in all medical practices that care for older adults. Indeed, the American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology have published guidelines recommending that individuals at risk have an ABI screening performed.5 Diabetics or smokers aged >55 years, and anyone aged >65 years, should have an ABI performed to detect undiagnosed PAD. The purpose of routine screening is not to identify patients for endovascular interventions. It is to identify individuals who are at greater risk for cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, so that they can get the proper medical treatment. Regrettably, the US Preventive Services Task Force has claimed that more evidence is required before ABI screening can be recommended. This assessment is delaying the widespread implementation of ABI screening, as well as the diagnosis and proper medical therapy for many US citizens.

Risky Business

Criqui and Aboyans6 are leading authorities on the epidemiology of PAD, and team up in this compendium to provide an elegant review of the risk factors determining the incidence and prevalence of PAD. Of course, the usual suspects
play a role in atherosclerosis affecting the lower extremities, including tobacco, diabetes mellitus, age, dyslipidemia, and hypertension. However, in this regard, there are some curious differences between PAD and the other major arterial diseases, that is, the coronary and carotid vessels. Tobacco exposure is a risk factor for all 3, but seems to be a stronger determinant of PAD. This preferential effect on the limb vessels is unexplained, but 1 clue comes from the surprising observation that nicotine receptors are expressed by blood vessels. These nicotinic acetylcholine receptors mediate several vascular processes related to atherosclerosis, including neovascularization of atherosclerotic plaque and proliferation of vascular smooth muscle cells. The nicotinic receptors seem to be widespread in the vasculature, but is it possible that these receptors are differentially active in peripheral vessels? Of interest, Leeper and Khullo point out that 1 of the genetic variants that increases the risk of PAD is in a gene encoding a nicotinic acetylcholine receptor.

Diabetes mellitus is also a strong risk factor for PAD. Furthermore, patients with more severe disease (critical limb ischemia) are likely to be diabetic. Intriguingly, the arterial occlusive disease incurred by diabetic patients in their peripheral, as well as their coronary arteries tends to be more diffuse and with greater distal extent, by comparison to nondiabetics. In addition, diabetics often have more vascular calcification, sometimes extending to the digital arteries of the toes. The mechanisms underlying this propensity for distal and diffuse disease, with greater calcification, is not fully explained, but may be, in part, because of mesenchymal stem cells activated in the vessel wall by inflammatory and metabolic signals.

Another unexplained finding is the increased risk of PAD in blacks, even after accounting for ethnic differences in the burden of risk factors. It is possible that unconventional risk factors, such as social stress or walkability of the urban environment could be involved. Other unconventional risk factors considered by Criqui and Aboyans include oral health or history of infectious disease, both of which are related to the intriguing new research front about the effects of the microbiome on the progression of atherosclerosis.

Epidemiological studies that used questionnaires lack sensitivity as most patients with PAD do not have classical symptoms of intermittent claudication. Those epidemiological studies that use the ABI provide more accurate reflection of the incidence or prevalence of PAD. However, even the traditional ABI under reports PAD. Some lesions which may be hemodynamically significant during exercise, may not cause a pressure gradient at rest. Furthermore, the traditional method for expressing the ABI (ie, using the highest of the ankle pressures) may miss single vessel disease at the ankle. When the lowest of the ankle pressures is used, the number of patients classified as having PAD doubled in a large cohort of patients undergoing coronary angiography. On long-term follow up, the additional patients detected using this alternative ABI had greater mortality than those patients who were classified as not having PAD by either ABI method. Thus, the alternative ABI method identifies more patients that are at risk for cardiovascular mortality. Accordingly, there is reason to re-examine the methodology for the ABI calculation. An alternative approach for screening would be to develop a blood test for detecting patients with PAD. However, the current biomarkers currently do not have the sensitivity or specificity to replace the ABI measurement.

**Stepping Forward**

Hiatt et al have contributed greatly to our understanding of the pathobiology of PAD, and elucidate these mechanisms in this compendium. It is clear from their work and the studies of McDermott that the functional limitation of patients with PAD is multifactorial. Although the impaired perfusion may be primary, secondary changes in the skeletal muscle, including mitochondrial dysfunction, denervation, and replacement of myocytes with fibrofatty tissue contribute. Other concomitant conditions that may exacerbate the vascular impairment and functional limitations include oxidative stress, inflammation, endothelial vasodilator dysfunction, increased blood viscosity, and hypercoagulation. The contribution of these other mechanisms may explain why limb hemodynamics are not predictive of the patient’s performance on a treadmill test. Attention to other mechanism that impair functional capacity could lead to new therapeutic avenues. In the meantime, given its established efficacy to improve walking distance, the authors recommend supervised exercise training. Exercise has beneficial effects on the lipoprotein profile, resting blood pressure and heart rate, insulin sensitivity, neurohormonal activity, and endothelial elaboration of vasoprotective factors.

Although exercise training is effective at improving functional capacity, it is not known if an exercise training program can reduce mortality in patients with PAD. However, it is noteworthy that in patients with PAD, functional capacity is a stronger predictor of mortality than are the classical risk factors. Finally, it is worth noting that many patients with PAD tend to be sedentary individuals. Whether this is cause or effect has recently been addressed by a study revealing that recalled lifetime recreational activity is positively correlated to ABI. Thus, it seems that a lifetime of sedentary behavior increases the risk for PAD.

**Nature Versus Nurture**

Of course, there must be genetic factors that contribute to the risk of developing PAD. However, the search for genetic determinants has not been as successful for PAD as it has for coronary artery disease. Given the heterogeneity of PAD and the strong environmental influences Kullo and Leeper suggest approaches that may yield more insights into hereditary mechanisms of disease. Large collaborative meta-analyses from genome-wide association studies are ongoing. Another approach is to use large DNA repositories tied to electronic medical records. Also, it will be useful to study more homogenous subsets of individuals with PAD, stratified by risk factors and location of disease. In addition, novel insights might be gained by studying arterial diseases that have stronger genetic determinants and which share some biological processes with atherosclerosis (such as the abnormal proliferation of vascular smooth muscle cells in fibromuscular dysplasia and in atherosclerosis). In addition, in families with a strong predisposition to PAD, whole genome/exome sequencing has uncovered new candidates, such as variants of NT5E (involved in adenosine metabolism) and IN080D (involved in chromatin remodeling).
The authors propose that because it is difficult to obtain vascular tissue, one might perform RNA-sequencing studies of circulating cells that might report on inflammatory or coagulation processes that may contribute to PAD. However, such indirect assessments may be surpassed with the new technology of induced pluripotency. For example, one may harvest easily accessed tissue from patients, for example, skin fibroblasts, and generated from these cells induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) using the Yamanaka approach. Subsequently, the iPSCs can be differentiated into cells that are less easily obtained from the patient, such as endothelial cells. These iPSC-derived cells permit the study of disease-in-a-dish and are accelerating our discovery of new pathobiological insights and therapeutic approaches.

Finally, the authors link nature and nurture by discussing the role of epigenetics in vascular disease. Epigenetics is the study of factors that can alter gene expression by modifying DNA, altering the chromatin structure that is physically associated with DNA, or modulating the RNA transcripts of the DNA. Noncoding RNAs such as microRNAs, regulate multiple vascular functions. For example, microRNA-92a has been characterized as an important modulator of angiogenesis, nitric oxide-dependent vasodilation, and endothelial innate immune response and inflammation. Powerful new techniques, such as ChIP-Seq are permitting rapid profiling of chromatin modifications that influence gene activity. Using such techniques, environmental factors such as tobacco have been found to alter the epigenetic state. Relevant to this discussion is the recent observation that inflammatory signaling has global effects on chromatin structure, which can increase the open probability state of the epigenome. In this state, cells have greater epigenetic plasticity and as a consequence, greater phenotypic fluidity. Indeed, the generation of iPSCs from somatic cells requires activation of inflammatory signaling. Furthermore, in this state of epigenetic plasticity, somatic cells have the capacity to transdifferentiate into other somatic cells. This phenomenon of transdifferentiation may underlie pathological processes involved in atherosclerosis, such as endothelial-to-mesenchyme transition, but it may also be therapeutically modulated.

Vascular Regeneration

The ability to generate iPSC-derived vascular cells might open a new chapter in stem cell and angiogenic therapies for vascular disease. To be sure, this field needs new approaches as the early promise of these regenerative therapies has not been met. Cooke and Losordo provide an overview of the scientific foundation for PAD regenerative therapy, and the clinical results to date. Although it is clear from the preclinical studies that angiogenesis and arteriogenesis can be manipulated by a variety of cytokines and progenitor cells, something has been lost in the translation to clinical studies. Although some phase I and II studies of these regenerative therapies have been encouraging, the definitive phase III studies have been negative. This may be because of deficiencies in the commonly used murine hindlimb ischemia model. In this model, the limb ischemia is surgically induced, and is really a model of acute ischemia. The authors suggest that results may be more predictive if an animal model of chronic arterial occlusive disease in the setting of cardiovascular risk factors was used. Such a model might also be more useful in addressing unanswered questions related to the dose, duration of treatment, and delivery method to be used. Although a successful phase III study is yet to be reported, there is a phase III study underway of plasmid DNA encoding hepatocyte growth factor for intramuscular injection, as well as phase II studies of a variety of progenitor cells for PAD.

When to Intervene

When should the patient with PAD have surgery or endovascular intervention? Clearly, when a patient suffers from critical limb ischemia (with pain in the foot at rest, a nonhealing leg ulcer, or gangrene), or in the setting of an acutely ischemic limb, an interventional approach must be considered. However, the answer is less clear for the patient with intermittent claudication. The question is addressed from different perspectives by several authors in the compendium. Cooke and Bonaca describe the trials that have compared the symptomatic and functional benefits of medical therapy versus endovascular approaches. The CLEVER (Claudication: Exercise Vs. Endoluminal Revascularization) study examined the benefits of OMT, OMT+exercise training, and OMT+endovascular stenting in patients with aorto-iliac disease. In brief, OMT+exercise training was superior for the primary end point of walking distance on a treadmill, whereas the secondary end point of quality of life was somewhat better for OMT+endovascular stenting. In the ERASE (Endovascular Revascularization and Supervised Exercise) trial, patients with intermittent claudication because of aorto-iliac or superficial femoral artery disease were treated with supervised exercise training+endovascular stenting. Those patients who were also stented had a significantly better result (in walking distance and quality of life) that was sustained to 12 months. Thus, selected patients with intermittent claudication, not responding sufficiently to medical therapy, may benefit from a more interventional approach, combined with OMT.

In those patients requiring a more invasive approach, the endovascular approach is often preferred over surgery because of the decreased morbidity of most endovascular procedures by comparison to open procedures. Kinlay and Thukkani provide a thoughtful discussion of the decision process and approaches required for endovascular treatment, which is nicely complemented by the analysis of the surgical approach by Conte and Vartanian.

Together, these articles illustrate the complexity of these decisions, which require a comprehensive assessment of the patient’s current medical regimen, their functional status, the anatomic pattern of disease, and the comorbid conditions. A variety of preprocedural imaging methods and physiological tests can provide valuable information that modifies the approach. In general, patients with focal and discrete lesions, particularly when the disease is more proximal (ilio-femoral), respond well to endovascular intervention. When the anatomic disease is more advanced patterns (eg, extensive and diffuse lesions, long chronic total occlusions, or concomitant aneurysms), a surgical approach is generally preferred. In some complex patients, a hybrid approach, combining catheter-based and open techniques, is preferred. That being said, the
domain of endovascular intervention continues to expand with the advent of new technology that improves endovascular results, such as drug-eluting or covered stents, and drug-eluting balloons. Whatever the intervention, postprocedural pharmacotheraphy is needed to minimize failure of the procedure (e.g., thrombosis). Once the patient leaves the hospital, what should be the intensity and method of surveillance to detect and correct early recurrence of disease before the conduit becomes occluded? These and other topics are cogently discussed. Although the field of vascular intervention has radically changed in the past few decades, the pace of change is likely to continue with the adoption of such exciting new technologies as three-dimensional imaging with robotic manipulation of endovascular devices, and with the development of tissue-engineered vascular grafts.

To conclude, much is afoot in the world of PAD. We appreciate the opportunity to bring attention to a disease that is too often overlooked. The good news is that there are robust methods to detect the disease, to fully characterize the severity of the disease by imaging and functional testing, to substantially alleviate symptoms and improve functional capacity, and to prevent death and disability. We thank our coauthors for their commitment to this work and to the field of vascular medicine, and we hope that the readers enjoy our Compendium.

Sources of Funding
This work was supported, in part, by National Institutes of Health grants R01 HL109037, R01 HL110290, 1R01 HL113456, and 1R01 EO206901 and to Z. Chen (K99HL122368).

Disclosures
None.

References
A Compendium on Peripheral Arterial Disease
John P. Cooke and Zhen Chen

Circ Res. 2015;116:1505-1508
doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.115.306403
Circulation Research is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Copyright © 2015 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.
Print ISSN: 0009-7330. Online ISSN: 1524-4571

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:
http://circres.ahajournals.org/content/116/9/1505

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in Circulation Research can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the Permissions and Rights Question and Answer document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
http://www.lww.com/reprints

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to Circulation Research is online at:
http://circres.ahajournals.org//subscriptions/