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The development of congestive heart failure is characterized by adaptive responses that lead to maladaptive neurohormonal activation to compensate for the reduction in left ventricular function. These changes are largely characterized by increased sympathetic tone. Neurohormonal activation can alter myocardial loading conditions and lead to myocyte cell death resulting in hypertrophy and further left ventricular dysfunction. In addition, the inhomogeneity of myocardial sympathetic innervation arising from ischemia as well as necrosis along with the sympathovagal imbalance that develops in response to heart failure can lead to a substrate that is arrhythmogenic.1–4 Advances in the medical therapy of heart failure have focused on attenuating these physiological and cellular effects through β-adrenergic receptor blockade and renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) inhibition (angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, and aldosterone antagonists). Although these therapies attenuate the progression of heart failure, increase survival and improve heart failure symptoms, the long-term prognosis of heart failure patients remains poor.

Cardiac device therapy has provided additional improvement in the care of selected patients with depressed systolic function and a dilated left ventricle. Although much of the benefit is derived from preventing sudden death from lethal ventricular arrhythmias using implantable defibrillators, a subset of patients with left bundle branch block and heart failure are clinically improved by reducing dysynchronous left ventricular contraction.7,8 This is accomplished by pacing the left as well as the right ventricular chamber (biventricular pacing) to prevent the temporal and spatial dispersion in mechanical activation of the left ventricle. The mechanisms responsible for the beneficial effects of cardiac resynchronization therapy include immediate improvements in hemodynamics as well as delayed cellular and molecular remodeling of the left ventricle.9 Proteomic and transcriptomic studies of the molecular mechanisms altered in response to biventricular pacing have identified multiple biochemical pathways demonstrating favorable reverse remodeling. Among these include enzymes involved in mitochondrial energetics, myocyte calcium handling, and contractility.10–13 Other mechanisms lead to molecular remodeling throughout the entire left ventricle and include improved β-adrenergic receptor signaling with reductions in neurohormonal activation.14

In this issue of Circulation Research, DeMazumder et al15 add to the growing body of evidence that adverse cardiac neuronal remodeling in heart failure may underlie some of the long-term therapeutic benefits of resynchronization therapy. They used a well-characterized canine model of tachycardia-induced congestive heart failure secondary to rapid atrial pacing with catheter ablation used to produce chronic left bundle branch block. In vivo studies demonstrated that resynchronization with biventricular pacing led to the expected improvement in global myocardial function as well as indices of left ventricular filling pressure and contractility in vivo. In vitro studies evaluating isolated myocytes demonstrated increased cellular contractility as well as improvement in the cellular calcium transients after resynchronization. The cellular responses to adrenergic and muscarinic stimulation in this isolated cellular preparation were intriguing. Failing myocytes had an increased sensitivity to cholinergic agonists which led to reduced contractile responses and attenuated contractile responses to β-adrenergic stimulation. This was accompanied by increased M2 muscarinic receptor density. The negative inotropic effects of cholinergic stimulation could be blocked by atropine. While reducing contractility, muscarinic activation protected the myocyte from after transients during isoproterenol in vitro. These effects could be blocked with pertussis toxin suggesting a potential beneficial action of muscarinic activation of inhibitory G proteins on malignant arrhythmias. Cardiac resynchronization therapy also improved cellular calcium transients and myocyte systolic shortening and tissue analyses demonstrated that the upregulation of M2 muscarinic receptors in heart failure was largely normalized. The translational relevance of the findings to humans was supported by additional studies showing an increase in M2 muscarinic receptors using immunofluorescence in explanted cardiac tissue from advanced heart failure patients versus normal controls. The authors conclude that the beneficial effects of biventricular pacing include post synaptic remodeling of muscarinic as well as β-adrenergic receptors that synergistically act to reverse inhibitory G protein signaling bias in the advanced failing heart. These findings add to the extensive previous work from these investigators about molecular remodeling in dysynchronous heart failure and its response to cardiac resynchronization. The upregulation of cardiomyocyte muscarinic receptors in heart failure is novel and seems to be a 2-edged sword. Although cholinergic stimulation reduces after depolarizations during adrenergic activation and potentially protects the failing heart from ventricular arrhythmias, it adversely attenuates β-adrenergic–mediated increases in myocyte contractility and depresses cardiac function.
This study adds to the growing body of evidence demonstrating surprising plasticity in adrenergic and cholinergic neurotransmitters in heart failure as well as other pathophysiological states. While providing important new insight about muscarinic receptor plasticity, several issues are not directly addressed in this study. First, although the isolated myocyte responses show convincing effects during pharmacological stimulation in vitro, studies were not conducted in the intact heart to assess the quantitative impact of parasympathetic activation or administration of muscarinic agonists or antagonists on global left ventricular function in vivo. Second, it is not clear whether muscarinic receptor effects were regional or

---

**Figure.** Cholinergic remodeling in heart failure. Summary of the plasticity of neurotransmitters in the sympathetic nerve ganglion in conjunction with postsynaptic receptors on cardiac myocytes. In the normal heart (A), myocardial sympathetic nerves originating in the stellate ganglion secrete norepinephrine (NE, red) to increase heart rate and myocardial contractility through $\beta_1$-adrenergic receptor signaling. The interstitial NE level at the receptor is dependent on release as well as the presynaptic reuptake (uptake 1) mechanism for recycling it into the presynaptic terminal. Parasympathetic control of ventricular contractility (not illustrated) is generally felt to play a minor role. In heart failure (B), plasticity of neurons in the sympathetic ganglia leads to transdifferentiation of some cell bodies to a cholinergic phenotype (blue). Some sympathetic nerves now express choline acetyltransferase (ChAT) and tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) expression decreases. This transdifferentiation is promoted by leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), cardiotrophin-1 expression, and nerve growth factor (NGF) released from the myocardium. Reductions in NE reuptake as well as increased circulating NE levels elevate interstitial NE and lead to postsynaptic downregulation of $\beta_1$ receptor density, uncoupling of $\beta_1$ receptors with G proteins and desensitization of $\beta$-adrenergic signaling. In heart failure, cardiomyocytes paradoxically increase the expression of muscarinic (M2) receptors. The result of cholinergic transdifferentiation of sympathetic neurons and the upregulation of M2 muscarinic receptors leads to diminished $\beta$-adrenergic responses and could paradoxically potentiate cholinergic myocardial responses (paradoxic bradycardia and reduced contractility) during sympathetic nerve activation. ACh indicates acetylcholine; and NET, Norepinephrine transporter.
occurred throughout the left ventricle of the dysynchronous heart because all myocytes were obtained from the mid myocardial lateral wall between the anterior and circumflex arteries. Finally, the studies were conducted in the absence of the usual medical care afforded to heart failure patients, which include chronic β-blockade and RAAS inhibition. It remains unclear whether upregulation of muscarinic receptors might be less prominent when pharmacological therapy to attenuate neurohormonal activation was present. Further studies addressing these aspects would be welcome because they would provide insight into the in vivo role of muscarinic receptor modulation in heart failure.

An important remaining question relates to how the upregulated muscarinic receptors would be activated to bias Gi signaling in vivo. There is relatively high vagal tone and low sympathetic tone in the normal heart at rest but as heart failure develops, sympathetic tone increases and vagal tone diminishes. In addition, the effects of electric vagal stimulation on contractility are variable and perhaps dependent on species as well as the experimental preparation. Some studies in dogs have demonstrated no effect of vagal stimulation on contractility in the normal heart when the effects of heart rate and afterload are controlled. On the basis of these considerations, one might anticipate that there could be little parasympathetic tone to activate the upregulated muscarinic receptors on cardiac myocytes in advanced heart failure.

Although there is a withdrawal of vagal tone in heart failure, a potential source of muscarinic activation may arise from the known plasticity of neurotransmitters expressed in presynaptic cardiac sympathetic nerves. Adrenergic nerves have their cell bodies in the stellate ganglion and they normally contain tyrosine hydroxylase as well as other enzymes required to produce the norepinephrine released from presynaptic sympathetic nerves. Kanazawa et al. have demonstrated that congestive heart failure can transition sympathetic nerves from an adrenergic to a cholinergic subtype with acetylcholinesterase replacing tyrosine hydroxylase. Thus, a subpopulation of sympathetic nerves with their cell bodies in the stellate ganglion could shift to a cholinergic subtype and activate muscarinic receptors independent of the usual vagal innervation pathway (Figure). The net effect of this presynaptic neuronal plasticity in the failing heart would be to increase muscarinic responses while reducing adrenergic activation thus biasing myocyte intracellular signaling toward inhibitory G protein activation. This would protect the failing heart from lethal arrhythmias during sympathetic activation but enhanced muscarinic activation would reduce contractility during exercise. Although speculative, the upregulation of muscarinic receptors could also be a factor responsible for shifting the mechanism of cardiovascular death in advanced heart failure from ventricular fibrillation to electromechanical dissociation as well as asystole. If this proves to be correct, novel approaches to restore the normal neurotransmitter composition of sympathetic nerves along with approaches to reduce muscarinic receptor upregulation in cardiac myocytes may become important new targets to treat patients with advanced heart failure. Further study to determine whether cardiac resynchronization normalizes the plasticity of presynaptic sympathetic neurotransmitters in dysynchronous heart failure would also be informative.

In summary, this study provides new insight into the diverse pathophysiological alterations in cardiac neurohormonal control in heart failure. There is currently great clinical interest in developing devices that use neural stimulation to favorably modulate sympathovagal balance. Although small studies have demonstrated that neural stimulation can improve left ventricular function and reduce arrhythmias in heart failure, the most recent prospective randomized trial (DEFEAT-HF [Determining the Feasibility of Spinal Cord Neurmodulation for the Treatment of Heart Failure]) of spinal stimulation has been negative. This may reflect the complexity of postsynaptic and presynaptic neural plasticity, which varies in relation to the underlying disease process as well as its severity. The combination of in vivo large animal models of heart failure with in vitro approaches to identify cellular mechanisms as used in the study by DeMazumder et al is likely to help us unravel the effects of other types of cardiac neural modulation. Information such as this may help direct these therapeutic interventions to circumstances where they would most probably improve symptoms, prevent death and retard the progression of heart failure.

Sources of Funding
This study was supported by the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (HL-055324, HL-061610) and the Albert and Elizabeth Rekate Fund in Cardiovascular Medicine.

Disclosures
None.

References

Downloaded from http://circres.ahajournals.org/ by guest on April 20, 2017


**Key Words:** Editorials ■ adrenergic agents ■ heart failure ■ muscarinic agents ■ sudden death
Adrenergic and Cholinergic Plasticity in Heart Failure
Stanley F. Fernandez and John M. Canty, Jr

Circ Res. 2015;116:1639-1642
doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.115.306439
Circulation Research is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Copyright © 2015 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.
Print ISSN: 0009-7330. Online ISSN: 1524-4571

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:
http://circres.ahajournals.org/content/116/10/1639

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in Circulation Research can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the Permissions and Rights Question and Answer document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
http://www.lww.com/reprints

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to Circulation Research is online at:
http://circres.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/