Acute myocardial infarction (MI) triggers healing and compensatory responses that serve to restrain damage and maintain cardiac output in the face of myocyte death. These encompass both local events (eg, inflammatory cell infiltration, effector cytokine, myofibroblast transformation, and extracellular matrix turnover) and global events (eg, neurohormonal activation and augmented wall stress) that ultimately result in the generation of a stable infarct scar capable of withstanding distending forces together with variable degrees of left ventricular (LV) enlargement.1–3 The size of the initial infarct and the adequacy of the ensuing wound healing response are both key determinants of the attendant LV dilatation and dysfunction that occur after MI and the subsequent progression to late remodeling and heart failure. In humans, the degree of LV dilatation after MI is the primary determinant of late mortality.4,5

Immune Cells and Wound Healing Post-MI

Immune cells are critical for local infarct zone remodeling.6–15 Both innate and adaptive immune cell types dynamically infiltrate into the infarct, with the highest activity 1 week after MI, followed by a subsequent decline.10 Following the early appearance of neutrophils, monocytes/macrophages are the predominant cells and display phasic functional heterogeneity that serve to guide proper wound healing. In a seminal report, Swirski et al demonstrated that monocytes are rapidly recruited from a splenic reservoir to the infarcted heart where they accumulate and participate in tissue repair. Subsequent studies from these and other investigators8–10 suggested that monocytes/macrophages infiltrate the infarcted heart in 2 phases—an early (≈3–4 days) peak of proinflammatory (Ly6C hi) monocytes and M1 macrophages that promote tissue digestion, followed by a late (≈7–8 days) peak of reparative Ly6C low monocytes and M2 macrophages that resolve inflammation and promote scar formation and neovascularization—and that proper M1 to M2 macrophage transition enhances tissue repair.11,12 In addition to macrophages, dendritic cells,13 CD4+ T cells,14 and B cells15 have also been shown to regulate wound healing post-MI, in part, by impacting monocyte recruitment kinetics and the pro-versus anti-inflammatory macrophage balance in healing myocardium.

Critical Re-Evaluation of the Biphasic Monocyte/Macrophage Infiltrative Response

It was originally proposed that this biphasic tissue macrophage response after MI resulted from the sequential recruitment of Ly6C hi and Ly6C low monocytes from the circulation into the heart16,17; however, the aforementioned studies did not use flow cytometry strategies that were sufficiently stringent to discriminate between Ly6C-expressing monocytes vis-à-vis macrophages. Moreover, the sequential monocyte recruitment model was at odds with recent studies demonstrating that Ly6C low monocytes do not contribute to the pool of macrophages generated from extravasated monocytes during inflammation, but rather provide vascular surveillance and orchestrate the killing of damaged endothelial cells.18,19 This paradigm also contrasted with studies of skeletal muscle18 and hepatic injury,19 which indicated that reparative Ly6C low macrophages derive from local phenotypic switching of proinflammatory Ly6C hi monocytes in response to environmental cues. These observations suggested a need for reappraisal of this schema of macrophage infiltration in the post-MI heart.

Accordingly, in this issue of Circulation Research, using an elegant study design, Hilgendorf et al advance their previous studies and provide important and definitive insights into both the source and role of proinflammatory and reparative macrophages in the infarcted heart. Using highly stringent flow cytometry approaches, they evaluated post-MI monocyte and macrophage infiltration in chimeric mice deficient for the orphan nuclear hormone receptor nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group a, member 1 (Nr4a1) in hematopoietic cells (Nr4a1−/− mice); this receptor is essential for the development and survival of Ly6C low monocytes.20 Notably, chimeric Nr4a1−/− mice still exhibited the biphasic monocyte/macrophage response, despite the demonstrated absence of circulating Ly6C low monocytes. When taken together with Ly6C hi and Ly6C low monocyte fate-mapping experiments, the data indicated that both phases derive from circulating Ly6C hi monocytes—an initial proinflammatory Ly6C hi monocyte (and macrophage) dominant phase and a delayed Ly6C hi monocyte-derived reparative Ly6C hi macrophage phase.

The microenvironment in the infarcted heart supported phenotypic switching to reparative macrophages exhibiting augmented Nr4a1 expression in wild-type mice, as well as relatively robust local macrophage proliferation in both chimeric Nr4a1−/− and wild-type mice. Loss of Nr4a1 in
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hematopoietic cells resulted in (1) enhanced Ly6C<sup>hi</sup> monocyte Ccr2 expression and a significant Ccr2-dependent augmentation of mobilization and cardiac infiltration of Ly6C<sup>hi</sup> monocytes, (2) exaggerated proinflammatory features in cardiac macrophages (presumably both Ly6C<sup>hi</sup> and Ly6C<sup>low</sup> macrophages), and (3) exacerbation of post-MI LV remodeling with larger and less compact scars and greater LV dilatation and dysfunction. Hence, in the infarcted heart, Ly6C<sup>hi</sup> monocytes exhibit temporal singularity of sorts, a state from which they may be channeled into becoming either proinflammatory or anti-inflammatory infiltrating cells depending on local cues. Nr4a1 plays an important role in this process, serving as a critical driver of anti-inflammatory and reparative polarity in macrophages. These findings establish that the cellular derivation of the biphasic macrophage response post-MI is exclusively from proinflammatory monocytes, with a corollary that macrophage Nr4a1 may be a potential therapeutic target in the quest to limit adverse remodeling.

**Changing Paradigm for Inflammatory Cells in LV Remodeling: Implications for Future Research**

These pivotal results raise several important translational and conceptual considerations (Figure). Current therapeutic approaches to limit post-MI LV remodeling in patients center on early reperfusion and neurohormonal blockade. The results of Hilgendorf et al<sup>20</sup> strongly support a new approach in acute MI early reperfusion and neurohormonal blockade. The results of the study by Hilgendorf et al<sup>20</sup> suggests a potential source within the remodeling heart of the endogenous factors driving the switch were derived from the cardiac microenvironment. The key questions remain as to what are the local factors regulating Ly6C<sup>hi</sup> macrophage polarization in the infarcted heart and how are they influenced, for example, by reperfusion and current pharmacological strategies. Identification of the endogenous drivers of Ly6C<sup>hi</sup> macrophage differentiation would potentially allow their pharmacological (or biological) targeting at circumscribed time points after MI in an effort to produce more efficacious wound healing and ameliorate remodeling. This indirect approach might be particularly appealing because the hormone receptor Nr4a1, the focus of the current study, has essential biological functions beyond macrophages; hence, directly targeting Nr4a1 may yield unexpected, and perhaps undesirable, extracardiac effects.

In addition to these translational implications, the demonstration of local macrophage differentiation and replenishment in the post-MI heart conceptually informs potential immune cell–mediated mechanisms of late ventricular remodeling post-MI. Although the infiltration of proinflammatory monocytes and macrophages post-MI diminishes significantly after ≈14 days in mice, recent studies in chronic ischemic murine heart failure (56 days post-MI) indicate that there is a local (and global) re-expansion of proinflammatory macrophages and dendritic cells that have detrimental effects on remote zone remodeling in the failing heart.<sup>21</sup> The demonstration of ongoing and substantial local proliferation, and phenotypic plasticity, of macrophages in the infarcted heart by Hilgendorf et al<sup>20</sup> suggests a potential source within the remodeling heart for the late re-emergence of M1 macrophages and raises the intriguing possibility that limiting ongoing macrophage proliferation in the failing heart, well after the completion of infarct zone healing, may limit late remodeling in ischemic cardiomyopathy.

Finally, these studies suggest a need for further definition of the role of the splenic microenvironment, and the cardio-splenic axis, in post-MI remodeling. Prior studies by these authors have indicated that the spleen is a primary source of monocytes mobilized to the acutely infarcted heart<sup>7,9</sup>; hence, it is spleen-derived Ly6C<sup>hi</sup> monocytes that help drive the cardiac-localized biphasic macrophage response described above. Conceivably, pathological (or pharmacological) alterations in...
splenic niches and splenic immune cells can affect monocyte function and mobilization (eg, see Zouggari et al \(^1\)) in a manner that interferes with the proper orchestration of remote phasic macrophage responses. Indeed, in chronic ischemic heart failure, the spleen undergoes intense white pulp remodeling and exhibits more prominent proinflammatory features such that activated splenocytes that home to the heart induce fibrosis and tissue damage.\(^2\) However, whether specifically limiting splenic LyC\(^{6\text{th}}\) monocyte mobilization beyond the early post-MI period modulates subsequent long-term pathological remodeling is unknown.

In summary, the study by Hilgendorf et al\(^2\) significantly informs and advances our understanding of the derivation and roles of the monocyte and macrophage phenotypes in the infarcted heart and its relationship to post-MI remodeling. By using chimeric Nr4a1\(^{-/-}\) mice and stringent flow cytometry and fate-mapping strategies, they have identified Nr4a1 as a potential molecular regulator of reparative macrophage differentiation and have established that macrophages proliferate and replenish locally in the infarcted heart. Their study adds to the growing body of evidence supporting the signal importance of macrophages, and other immune cell types, in the genesis of both early and late remodeling after MI and gives further credence to the concept that immune cell modulation represents a novel therapeutic avenue for both improving cardiac repair and alleviating heart failure.
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