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The causes of vascular diseases are complex and strongly influenced by environmental factors as well as genetic predisposition. Ultimately, these factors alter the structure and/or functional properties of the arterial wall disrupting vascular homeostasis. Vascular smooth muscle cells (SMCs) have been implicated in the pathogenesis of vascular diseases including atherosclerosis, systemic and pulmonary hypertension, aortic aneurysm and dissection, posttransplant vascularopathy, and restenosis after percutaneous coronary intervention. In response to arterial injury and the release and activation of growth factors, contractile SMCs populating the tunica media of the arterial wall downregulate a set of genes encoding SMC-restricted contractile proteins and concomitantly upregulate genes involved in the secretion of extracellular matrix, cell migration, adhesion, and proliferation.\(^{1,2}\) Comprehensive understanding of the molecular programs regulating modulation of SMC phenotype will be necessary to develop preventive and targeted therapeutic strategies for vascular disease.

The MADS box transcription factor, serum response factor (SRF), and the SMC lineage-restricted transcriptional coactivator, myocardin, lie at the center of a molecular program regulating SMC differentiation and the contractile SMC phenotype.\(^{3,4}\) Most but not all genes encoding SMC contractile proteins contain CArG boxes, or SRF binding sites, in their promoter and/or transcriptional enhancers.\(^{3,4}\) In response to a variety of intracellular signals including Rho/ROCK, MAP kinase, and calcium, myocardin associates with SRF and the myocardin/SRF complex binds to CArG boxes activating transcription of SMC contractile genes.\(^{3,4}\) Remarkably, forced expression of myocardin in embryonic stem cells is necessary and sufficient to activate endogenous SMC genes.\(^{5}\) Moreover, vascular SMCs are not observed in the aorta Myocd\(^{-/-}\) null embryos.\(^{6}\) Ablation of the Myocd gene in neural crest–derived SMCs populating the great arteries causes a cell autonomous block in expression of genes encoding SMC contractile proteins.\(^{7}\) Consistent with these findings, ablation of the Myocd gene in primary aortic SMCs is associated with a dramatic decrease in SMC contractile protein expression accompanied by increased expression of extracellular matrix.\(^{7}\)

Despite much progress over the past decade, fundamental questions related to the molecular mechanisms regulating SMC phenotype remain to be addressed. What triggers silencing of genes encoding SMC contractile proteins in response to arterial injury? Is modulation of SMC phenotype solely dependent on binding of myocardin/SRF complexes to SMC CArG boxes? What role, if any, do transcriptional repressors play in silencing expression of genes encoding SMC contractile proteins? How do environmental signals affect chromatin structure in response to arterial injury? What role, if any, do microRNAs play in this process? Is suppression of SMC genes functionally coupled to the induction of genes associated with cell migration, adhesion, and/or proliferation? The gradient of SMC phenotypes observed during embryonic development and in pathological circumstances mandates a responsive, finely regulated, nuanced, molecular mechanism that coordinates SMC transcription and gene expression.

Previous studies have shown that SMC phenotype is actively regulated via transduction of growth factor-mediated and biomechanical signals to the nucleus resulting in altered gene expression.\(^{1,2,4}\) Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) plays a critical role in SMC differentiation and modulation of SMC phenotype.\(^{1,2}\) PDGF-BB ligand binds to the PDGF receptor-\(\beta\), activating a Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK kinase cascade, leading to phosphorylation of the ETS domain ternary complex factor, ELK-1.\(^{8}\) Phosphorylated ELK-1 (pELK-1) displaces myocardin from SRF, leading to repression of SMC contractile genes.\(^{8}\) KLF-4, a member of the Kruppel-like family of transcriptional repressors,\(^{9}\) also plays a critical role in the molecular program regulating SMC phenotype.\(^{10}\) KLF-4 is induced after PDGF-BB exposure, and PDGF signaling has been shown to attenuate SRF/myocardin binding to SMC CArG boxes.\(^{10}\) In addition, KLF-4 has been implicated in epigenetic reprogramming of SMCs.\(^{11}\) Recently, it has been shown that microRNAs are also involved in regulating SMC differentiation and modulation of SMC phenotype.\(^{12}\) For instance, miRNA-143/145 targets KLF-4, and miRNA-143/145 has been implicated in the pathogenesis of pulmonary hypertension.\(^{12,13}\)

Several years ago, the Owens laboratory identified a novel G/C repressor element in the SM22\(\alpha\) promoter, which they demonstrated was involved in suppression of the SM22\(\alpha\) gene in response to experimental arterial injury and atherosclerosis in murine models.\(^{14,15}\) However, the mechanism(s) of G/C repressor–mediated transcriptional silencing was un...
clear. In this issue of Circulation Research, Salmon et al16 used sophisticated methodologies to define the mechanism of action(s) of this G/C repressor element in vivo. As schematically illustrated in the Figure, they show that in response to growth factors, oxidized phospholipids, and experimental carotid artery injury, a nuclear complex containing KLF4, pELK-1, and HDAC2 binds to the G/C repressor element, resulting in epigenetic modification and suppression of the SM22α locus. Moreover, they show that occupation of the G/C repressor by this tripartite complex is linked to the dissociation of myocardin from SRF and decreases in SRF binding to the SM22α promoter. Of note, putative G/C repressor elements were also identified in transcriptional regulatory elements controlling other CArG box–dependent SMC contractile genes including SM–α–actin (ACTA2) and SM–myosin heavy chain (MYH11) genes, suggesting but as yet not proving that in response to arterial injury, the G/C repressor element may act to counter myocardin-mediated SMC contractile gene activation.

The coordinate activation of a transcriptional complex containing a ternary complex factor, ELK-1, a transcriptional repressor, KLF4, and a histone-modifying enzyme, HDAC2, represents an efficient mechanism for the inducible suppression of a set of SMC genes in response to arterial injury. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays coupled with protein proximity ligation assays, which detect in vivo protein-protein interactions at 40-nm resolution, revealed that in response to PDGF-BB or oxidized phospholipids, KLF4 binds cooperatively with pELK-1 to the G/C repressor element. This is the first evidence that pELK-1–induced transcriptional repression of SM22α transcription extends beyond the capacity of pELK-1 to displace myocardin from SRF bound to SM22α CArG boxes. Consistent with the report of Wang et al,8 the authors confirmed that in vivo, in response to PDGF signaling (and oxidized phospholipid), myocardin dissociates from SRF and SRF binding to the SM22α promoter is abrogated. These findings explain how a transcriptional activator, such as pELK-1, can via association with a transcriptional repressor, KLF4, repress transcription. Taken together, these data demonstrate that after vascular injury, the newly discovered G/C repressor element in concert with previously described SM22α CArG boxes mediate critical and complementary functions required for suppression of SM22α gene transcription, a mechanism possibly shared with other SMC contractile genes.

Epigenetic regulation of gene expression via posttranslational modification of histones is a powerful mechanism to reversibly modulate, or reprogram, gene expression.17 Owens et al have shown that after arterial injury, histone modifications, mediated via HDAC2, 4, and 5, lead to hypo-acetylation and suppression of SMC contractile genes.11 Salmon et al extend these observations, demonstrating that the association of HDAC2 with a KLF4–pELK-1 complex bound to the G/C repressor element plays a critical role in epigenetic regulation of the SM22α gene transcription.16 Sequential H3 acetylation ChIP assays show clearly that after vascular injury, KLF4, pELK-1, and HDAC2 are present within the same chromatin fragments of the SM22α promoter, which is dependent on expression of each factor and an intact G/C repressor element. Moreover, this higher-order complex was also enriched in chromatin fragments spanning the Acta2 and Myh11 promoters. The ETS domain transcription factor serum response factor (SRF, blue) physically associates with the transcription factor serum response factor (SRF, blue). The Myocd/SRF complex, in turn, binds to CArG boxes (green rectangle), activating transcription of multiple SMC contractile genes. 

**Figure. Molecular model of G/C repressor–mediated transcriptional silencing of smooth muscle cell (SMC) contractile genes.** Top. In response to intracellular signals including Rho/ROCK, MAP kinase, and calcium, the SMC-restricted transcriptional coactivator myocardin (Myocd) (orange) physically associates with the transcription factor serum response factor (SRF, blue). The Myocd/SRF complex, in turn, binds to CArG boxes (green rectangle), activating transcription of multiple SMC contractile genes. Bottom. In response to growth factors, including Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF)-BB, oxidized phospholipids, and vascular injury, the ETS domain transcription factor ELK-1 (yellow) is phosphorylated via a MAP kinase signaling cascade promoting its association with the transcriptional repressor KLF4 (dark blue) and the chromatin-modifying enzyme HDAC2 (red). This tripartite complex binds to the G/C repressor element (red rectangle) in the SM22 promoter, suppressing transcription. In addition, HDAC2 deacetylates histone tails, altering chromatin structure and suppressing transcription. Phosphorylated ELK-1 (pELK-1) also associates with SRF, displacing myocardin and abrogating binding of SRF to SMC CArG boxes. Putative G/C repressor elements have also been identified in the Acta2 and Myh11 promoters.
These unanticipated findings provide exciting new insights into the molecular mechanisms regulating suppression of the contractile SMC program in the setting of arterial injury. However, further research is needed to determine whether this represents a generalized mechanism involving the full repertoire of SMC contractile genes or is restricted to a subset of genes encoding SMC contractile proteins. It will be interesting to examine if, and how, microRNAs regulate activity and/or expression of KLF-4, pELK-1, and/or HDAC2 after arterial injury. This still leaves open the question of how the induction of genes encoding extracellular matrix and factors involved in cell migration, adhesion, and proliferation is regulated and coordinated in development and vascular disease. In this regard, it is noteworthy that conditional ablation of the Myocd gene in neural crest–derived SMCs not only suppressed genes encoding SMC contractile proteins but led to ultrastructural changes indicative of increased protein synthetic function and secretion of extracellular matrix,7 suggesting that at some level the SMC contractile and synthetic gene programs may be functionally coupled. Ultimately, each of these avenues of investigation should be pursued to identify critical nodal points in the molecular circuitry regulating SMC phenotype to develop targeted therapies for acquired and heritable forms of vascular disease.
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