Gene Regulatory Networks in Cardiac Conduction System Development
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Abstract: The cardiac conduction system is a specialized tract of myocardial cells responsible for maintaining normal cardiac rhythm. Given its critical role in coordinating cardiac performance, a detailed analysis of the molecular mechanisms underlying conduction system formation should inform our understanding of arrhythmia pathophysiology and affect the development of novel therapeutic strategies. Historically, the ability to distinguish cells of the conduction system from neighboring working myocytes presented a major technical challenge for performing comprehensive mechanistic studies. Early lineage tracing experiments suggested that conduction cells derive from cardiomyocyte precursors, and these claims have been substantiated by using more contemporary approaches. However, regional specialization of conduction cells adds an additional layer of complexity to this system, and it appears that different components of the conduction system utilize unique modes of developmental formation. The identification of numerous transcription factors and their downstream target genes involved in regional differentiation of the conduction system has provided insight into how lineage commitment is achieved. Furthermore, by adopting cutting-edge genetic techniques in combination with sophisticated phenotyping capabilities, investigators have made substantial progress in delineating the regulatory networks that orchestrate conduction system formation and their role in cardiac rhythm and physiology. This review describes the connectivity of these gene regulatory networks in cardiac conduction system development and discusses how they provide a foundation for understanding normal and pathological human cardiac rhythms. (Circ Res. 2012;110:1525-1537.)
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The cardiac conduction system is a specialized tract of cells responsible for initiation and propagation of normal cardiac rhythm (Figure 1). The cardiac impulse arises in the sinuatrial node (SAN) located at the junction of the superior vena cava and the crista terminalis of the right atrium. The SAN depolarizes neighboring atrial cardiomyocytes, and the impulse is propagated throughout both atria leading to subsequent atrial contraction. Before the onset of atrial systole, however, the impulse funnels toward the atrioventricular node (AVN), the only electric connection between the atria and ventricles in the mature heart. The AVN delays impulse propagation so that atrial contraction and late ventricular filling is completed before ventricular systole to optimize cardiac performance. After exiting the AVN, the impulse accelerates through the AV bundle (AVB) and conducts via asymmetrical right and left bundle branches (BB). Terminal arborization of the bundle branches gives rise to the Purkinje fiber (PF) network to directly depolarize working ventricular cardiomyocytes resulting in ventricular contraction and ejection of blood through the right and left ventricular outflow tracts.

Even subtle perturbation of this finely orchestrated electric activation pattern can cause clinically important arrhythmias.1 Despite the fact that cardiac rhythm disturbances account for a significant proportion of morbidity and mortality attributable to cardiovascular disease in the general population, a comprehensive mechanistic understanding of arrhythmia pathophysiology remains incomplete. Such insight promises to inform the development of novel pharmacological agents targeted at ameliorating cardiac arrhythmias, especially given previous failures of antiarrhythmic agents in humans.2 Based on extensive characterization of electric excitability in neurons, initial studies aimed at elucidating arrhythmia mechanisms focused on the role of ion channels and gap junctions in establishing normal cardiac rhythm; indeed, a number of seminal human genetic linkage studies bolstered the merit of this approach.3–6 Although significant inroads have been made into the ionic mechanisms that orchestrate cardiac
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briefly reviewed before discussing transcriptional networks, and known genetic associations between conduction system regulatory mechanisms and human disease pathophysiology will be highlighted in the relevant sections.

Developmental Origins of the Cardiac Conduction System

Anatomic Observations

The inability to visually distinguish working cardiomyocytes from neighboring conduction system cells has been a major source of difficulty in advancing our knowledge of conduction system lineage specification. Pioneering anatomic studies first raised the possibility of a specialized network of cells dedicated to impulse generation and propagation within the heart. In search of the atrioventricular connection responsible for electric impulse propagation, Tawara identified the AVN and His-Purkinje system, which he elegantly illustrated in a series of classic monographs. Subsequently, Keith and Flack discovered the SAN and described its disposition within the right atrium. Based on these and other anatomic studies, criteria were set forth to distinguish conduction cells from working myocardium: (1) conduction cells must be histologically discrete from neighboring cells, (2) conduction cells should be traced from section to section, and (3) conduction cells should be insulated by fibrous tissue. Although crude by today’s standards, these criteria have stood the test of time and remain largely applicable today.

Histological Studies

Identifying cells destined to become part of the developing conduction system remained a challenge, however, owing to...
the lack of cell-type–specific markers and the dearth of fibrous tissue within the embryonic heart. Despite these shortcomings, Viragh and Challice provided a detailed histological analysis of the cardiac conduction system during mouse embryonic development based on their detailed knowledge of the anatomic disposition of the conduction system within the heart. They noted that a primitive AV conduction system (AVCS) forms as early as E8 by connecting the embryonic atrial myocardium with the trabecular system in the ventricles. Furthermore, a group of large PAS cells were noted in the dorsal AV canal (AVC) at E11 that were hypothesized to represent the AVN primordium; this structure formed concomitant with apoptosis occurring elsewhere in the AVC. By analyzing older embryos (E11–E12), they noted that the cells of the dorsal AVC proliferate and extend into the interventricular septum to form the primitive AVB and proximal BBs. At E12, connective tissue begins to surround and electrically isolate the conduction system, and the authors astutely postulated that failure to complete this process may contribute to the persistence of tracts that connect the atria and ventricles. They also examined the development of the SAN, noting that the primordium forms from cells located in the dorsal wall of the sinus horns in proximity to the right venous valve; these changes are observed at E8, even before the onset of visible contractions (E9) or the presence of a morphologically identifiable SAN (E11). Interestingly, these authors recognized the presence of nodal tissue within the left sinus horn (LSH) that regresses by E13 and becomes incorporated into the future coronary sinus. Later in mouse fetal gestation (E13–E16), the developing AVCS was noted to have progressively enriched glycogen content, suggesting from a cytological standpoint that lineage commitment had already occurred.

**Lineage Tracing**

Taken together, anatomic and histological studies elegantly described the morphological and cytological changes that accompanied differentiation of the cardiac conduction system. However, the cell of origin for the conduction cell lineage remained elusive due to technical limitations. By adapting retroviral lineage tracing techniques in chick embryos, Gourdie, Mikawa, and colleagues were able to specifically address this fundamental question. From their studies, they concluded that peri-arterial PFs derive from nearby ventricular cardiomyocytes under the inductive influence of endothelin-1 (ET-1) produced by localized arterial endothelium. Importantly, they demonstrated that cells of the avian conduction system derive from cardiomyocytes rather than nonmyocyte precursors. Furthermore, these studies supported the notion that avian PFs develop by continuous recruitment, rather than prespecification (Figure 2).

Building on these fundamental studies, the availability of transgenic mouse models allowing direct visualization of the developing cardiac conduction system provided the tools to more precisely describe the fate of these cells. Even though analysis of these transgenic lines provided unprecedented cellular resolution for the morphological events that take place during cardiac conduction system development, many of the findings were remarkably similar to earlier observations made with cruder approaches; nevertheless, investigators could now directly test which inductive cues influence conduction system morphogenesis in mice. Fishman et al demonstrated that Neuregulin-1 (NRG-1), rather than ET-1, could expand the developing Purkinje network in cultured mouse hearts. More importantly, perhaps, these lines have allowed investigators to directly assess the effect of specific genetic backgrounds on conduction system development using molecularly defined markers.

The recent advent of sophisticated transgenic lines for direct lineage tracing in mice has opened up several additional avenues of investigation. For example, additional support for the notion that the conduction system originates...
from cardiomyocytes derives from experiments using Cre lines that mark cardiomyocyte progenitors (eg, myosin light chain-2v-Cre and Is11-Cre), although it remains possible that these transgenes are activated only after conduction cells have differentiated. Interestingly, lineage tracing studies using neural crest-specific Cre lines have provided strong evidence that these cells do not contribute to the cardiac conduction system but rather to components of the surrounding annulus fibrosus and may affect conduction system maturation by non-cell-autonomous mechanisms. Subsequent fate-mapping studies utilizing Tbx2-Cre, Tbx3-Cre, Tbx18-Cre, and atrial natriuretic factor (ANF)-Cre lines have allowed several important conclusions regarding development of the cardiac conduction system. First, Tbx3-expressing cells in the atrium segregate early from ANF-expressing cells, suggesting that development of these cells follows a path of progenitor prespecification (Figure 2) rather than ongoing recruitment as ascribed to formation of PFs in the ventricular conduction system. Furthermore, Tbx18-expressing cells, a subset of which will comprise the SAN, are set aside from working atrial myocytes as early as E10; however, the observation that only a subset of Tbx18+ cells gives rise to the definitive SAN implies that ongoing recruitment also contributes to SAN formation. Second, Tbx2-expressing cells give rise to a large proportion of the basal left ventricle (in addition to AVC derivatives such as the AVN) but not the AVB, consistent with the fact that the AVC and basal left ventricle are in direct apposition during cardiac morphogenesis. Thus, the SAN and AVN differ not only in their functional properties but also in their developmental plasticity, with SAN progenitors seemingly confined to a specific fate from an early time point, whereas AVN progenitors may be more susceptible to the influence of local factors.

**Retrospective Lineage Analysis and Inducible Fate-Mapping**

Although previous studies had hinted at the cell of origin involved in development of the ventricular conduction system (ie, AVB, BB, and PF network), recent experiments using cutting-edge techniques have provided additional valuable insights into this process. Kelly et al made use of an α-cardiac actin nlaacZ mouse line in combination with a Cx40 enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) knock-in line to determine the relationship between cells fated to become ventricular conduction cells versus neighboring ventricular working myocytes. Retrospective clonal analysis revealed that both cell types derive from a common progenitor cell and that limited proliferation occurs even after ventricular conduction cells become restricted to their lineage (as determined by being Cx40+). They also made the provocative observation that lineage commitment is not symmetrical between the right and left ventricular conduction system, suggesting that the process by which these cells become fate-restricted fundamentally differs in each ventricular compartment. Interestingly, their results using a Cx40 Cre recombinase fused with estrogen receptor T2 (CreERT2) knock-in line suggested that Cx40-expressing cells labeled before E16.5 retain the ability to differentiate into working or conductive myocytes, reminiscent of the plasticity observed for Tbx2-expressing cells in the AVC. Moreover, since the Cx40 CreERT2 knock-in line confirmed many of the observations made by retrospective lineage analysis, the availability of several additional inducible Cre lines promises to further advance our understanding of lineage commitment in other components of the developing conduction system.

**Transcriptional Hierarchies in Cardiac Conduction System Development**

Many developmental cell fate decisions are made as a result of distinct transcriptional programs that elicit specific gene expression changes in committed cells. Interestingly, the organization of these transcriptional circuits often involves feed-forward loops that reinforce definitive developmental decisions, although this is clearly not always the case. Nevertheless, I have attempted to organize the genetic circuitry of each individual component of the developing cardiac conduction system into transcriptional hierarchies based on currently available data, paying particular attention to recently published studies.

**Sinuatrial Node**

The SAN is absolutely essential for establishing dominant pacemaker activity in the developing heart, and its genetic circuitry has recently come under intense investigation (Figure 3). Based on the expression pattern of specific molecular markers, the SAN begins to develop from the embryonic sinus venous myocardium at E9.5, concomitant with the first observed heart beat. At the top of the SAN transcriptional hierarchy sits Tbx5, which may function as a competence factor for cardiac morphogenetic events occurring toward the venous pole of the developing heart. In turn, Tbx5 has been shown to regulate SAN expression of Shox2, which is necessary for proper SAN formation and function. Molecular analysis revealed that Nkx2.5, Cx40, Cx43, and ANF are ectopically expressed in the developing SAN of Shox2 knockout animals. Furthermore, Shox2 null mice are embryonic-lethal, probably secondary to a slow heart rate as a result of severe hypoplasia of the SAN. Molecular analysis revealed that Nkx2.5 is a direct downstream target of Shox2-dependent transcriptional repression. This is consistent with the fact that atrial Nkx2.5-expressing myocardium neither contributes to the SAN nor expresses SAN-specific markers Tbx3 and HCN4. Thus, Shox2 represses the SAN transcriptional program by directly repressing Nkx2.5 and Nkx2.5-dependent target genes (Cx40/Cx43/ANF), while preventing repression of Tbx3 and HCN4. In working atrial myocardium that lacks the repressive effects of Shox2, Nkx2.5 activates expression of chamber-specific genes (Cx40/Cx43/ANF) and represses SAN-specific genes (Tbx3/HCN4) to prevent ectopic pacemaker formation.

Aside from the Shox2 regulatory network, the transcriptional repressor Tbx3 has received considerable attention for its role in formation of the SAN. Indeed, Tbx3 is highly expressed in the SAN in a strictly complementary fashion compared with markers of atrial working myocardium.
From a molecular standpoint, Tbx3 directly represses atrial myocardial genes (Cx40/Cx43/ANF) to prevent atrialization of the SAN.\(^{50}\) In fact, overexpression of Tbx3 in atrial working myocardium is sufficient to drive ectopic pacemaker formation and concomitant arrhythmias in mice.\(^{36}\) These findings have been further substantiated by recent studies in an allelic series of Tbx3 mutant mice, demonstrating that Tbx3 is a sensitive dosage-dependent regulator of SAN formation and function.\(^{53}\) Tbx3 has additional roles in development of other components of the cardiac conduction system, which are discussed below.

In addition to regulating left-right asymmetry in the developing embryo and heart,\(^{54,55}\) Pitx2 directly impacts pacemaker formation. In Pitx2 null embryos, a left-sided pacemaker is observed, suggesting that despite the competence of the LSH to form pacemaker tissue, Pitx2 normally acts to repress its formation.\(^{50}\) Additional studies have demonstrated that Pitx2 directly binds to a Shox2 enhancer element to mediate its transcriptional repression.\(^{56}\) These authors also provided a compelling link to a proposed genetic basis for atrial fibrillation\(^{57}\) by showing that Pitx2 heterozygous mice are susceptible to atrial tachycardia and fibrillation with programmed stimulation.\(^{56}\)

In addition to its potential role in epicardial progenitor cells,\(^{58,59}\) Tbx18 is specifically expressed in SAN precursor cells within the sinus venous myocardium.\(^{37,44,60}\) Similar to other SAN markers such as Tbx3 and Shox2, Tbx18 is expressed in a mutually exclusive manner with Nkx2.5.\(^{60}\) Anatomically, the SAN contains “head” and “tail” domains that together give rise to the normal comma-shape of the fully developed pacemaker. Christoffels et al demonstrated that Tbx18 is regionally expressed within the SAN “head,” and its function is required for “head” morphogenesis; on this initial field of SAN precursors, Tbx3 subsequently imposes the pacemaker gene regulatory program.\(^{61}\) Interestingly, recent experiments suggest that Tbx18 directly represses Cx43 without altering the levels of Cx40 or Cx45.\(^{62}\) Given that Tbx18 specifically affects Cx43 expression, it seems reasonable to surmise that Tbx18 and Tbx3 act in parallel during SAN development; nevertheless, the molecular mechanisms by which Tbx18 operates are largely unknown and merit further investigation.

**Atrioventricular Node**

Human linkage studies demonstrating an association between congenital heart defects (including AV conduction block) and familial mutations in the transcription factors NKX2-5\(^{63}\) and TBX5\(^{64}\) served as the initial entry point for subsequent analysis of the AVN gene regulatory network.\(^{27,28,45,65}\) (Figure 4). Subsequent analysis of Nkx2.5-mutant mice confirmed that they exhibited phenotypes that closely resemble the nonsyndromic congenital heart defects caused by human mutations in NKX2-5.\(^{5,27,66}\) A genetically modified mouse was also generated to model Holt-Oram syndrome, a heart-hand syndrome caused by mutations in TBX5.\(^{45}\) Collectively, these studies demonstrated that Tbx5 and Nkx2.5 regulate downstream events that contribute to proper AVN and AVB formation by cooperatively regulating specific target genes such as Cx40\(^{27,45}\) which is required for rapid conduction through lower AVN cells and the proximal AVB.\(^{66}\) Thus, Tbx5 and Nkx2.5 appear to function synergistically to impact AVN morphogenesis, although their widespread expression within the embryonic heart implies that conduction system specificity is not likely to be achieved by these transcription factors acting alone.

Development of the AVN involves at least 2 distinct steps evident even from early histological studies.\(^{12,13}\) Initially, the AVC is partitioned from atrial and ventricular myocardium as early as E8.5 to establish AV delay in the embryonic heart.\(^{52}\) The AV cushions then give rise to the AV valves, while a portion of the AVC myocardium differentiates into the AVN, the preferential site of conduction after electric isolation of the atria and ventricles takes place by E13.5.\(^{67}\) Tbx2 and Tbx3 are well-established markers of the AVC and its
derivatives, and several recent studies have provided details about how these two transcription factors function within the AVN gene regulatory network. Both Tbx2 and Tbx3 play an instrumental role in ensuring that the AVC myocardium retains its primitive phenotype by repressing chamber-specific genes such as ANF and Cx40; in fact, Tbx2 is sufficient to repress chamber morphogenesis even when it is expressed ectopically. At the molecular level, both Tbx2 and Tbx3 directly interact with Nkx2.5 and repress genes that are regulated by both Nkx2.5 and Tbx5 in working atrial and ventricular myocardium. Consistently, Tbx2 and Tbx3 are redundantly required for boundary formation between the AVC and working atrial and ventricular myocardium.

More recently, 2 studies have further elucidated the in vivo functions of Tbx2 and Tbx3. Myocardial-specific Tbx2 knockout mice have persistent myocardial connections between the left atrium and ventricle with a malformed annulus fibrosus, providing the substrate for Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome. These mice ectopically upregulate chamber-specific genes in the left AVC and display electrophysiological properties consistent with ventricular preexcitation in the absence of any functional or morphological defects involving the AVN. Using an allelic series of mutant mice, Tbx3 was shown to specifically regulate cardiac conduction system function in vivo in a highly dosage-sensitive manner. Tbx3 mutant mice were found to manifest a variety of arrhythmias attributable to both SAN and AVN dysfunction. The authors also developed a method for assessing arrhythmias in utero to demonstrate that Tbx3 mutant mice have arrhythmias during development, suggesting that cardiac electric disturbances contribute to their fetal demise. As predicted from prior studies, Tbx3 downregulation was associated with upregulation and misexpression of the chamber-specific gene Cx43 in the AVN. Tbx3 affects AV conduction through cell-autonomous roles in both the AVC and AVB, as demonstrated by analyzing tissue-specific knockout mice using specific Cre-drivers. Furthermore, Tbx3 is necessary for AVN homeostasis, since deletion in adult mice also causes AV conduction abnormalities. Taken together, these studies demonstrate that Tbx2 and Tbx3 have potentially nonredundant roles in AVC and AVN formation. Whereas Tbx2 regulates AV patterns, Tbx3 appears to have a more dominant role in the formation and function of the AVN per se.

Building on the critical role of repressive T-box transcription factors in AVN development, additional studies have shed new light on the transcriptional cascades involved in this process. Recently, a forward genetic screen for regulators of cardiac conduction in zebrafish led to the discovery of Foxn4 as an AVC-enriched gene that binds to a Tbx2b enhancer element necessary for its AVC-specific expression. Foxn4 mutant fish have severe cardiovascular abnormalities in large part stemming from defects in AV conduction. A Foxn4 ortholog exists in higher vertebrates, although cardiovascular defects have not been described in the knockout mice, suggesting that other Foxn family members may act redundantly with Foxn4 in higher vertebrates. In addition, a recent study showed that Tbx2 and Tbx3 collaborate with the muscle-segment homeobox transcription factors Msx1 and Msx2 to directly repress Cx43 expression in the AVC. Given that Tbx2 and Tbx3 function in boundary formation to repress genes expressed in working myocardium, it is perhaps not surprising that reciprocal repressive mechanisms exist in working atrial and ventricular myocytes. Notch-dependent signaling in atrial and ventricular myocytes up-regulates Hey1 and Hey2, respectively, which prevent expansion of the AVC boundary by inhibiting Tbx2 expression. Moreover, Tbx20 delimits the AVC boundary by repressing BMP/Smad-dependent activation of Tbx2 transcription in working cardiomyocytes, although some of the mechanistic details of this process remain to be clarified.

Studies correlating the anatomy and electric properties of the mature AVN have revealed an anticipated complexity of its cellular makeup, suggesting the existence of at least 6 electrophysiologically distinct cell types. Whereas Cx45...
and Cx40 mark lower AV nodal cells and the AVB (characterized by rapid conduction). Cx30.2 and Cx45 are the predominant gap junctions demarcating the compact AVN and posterior nodal extension (characterized by slow conduction). Given that Cx30.2 is necessary for proper AV delay in mice and its expression is confined to slower-conducting components of the AVN, we recently described an enhancer responsible for this expression pattern regulated by Tbx5 and Gata4. Furthermore, Gata4 heterozygous mice have shortened PR intervals, suggesting that Gata4-dependent regulation of Cx30.2 (and other genes) contributes to slow AV nodal conduction and normal AV delay. Thus, it appears that Tbx5 plays a global role in AVN morphogenesis and gene expression by collaborating with Nkx2.5 to regulate fast-conduction genes and with Gata4 to regulate slow-conduction genes. Although it is possible that tissue specificity can be achieved solely by the combinatorial action of these transcription factors, it is likely that additional cofactors (eg, Tbx2/Tbx3) are necessary.

Aside from roles in early cardiac and AVC morphogenesis, BMP signaling has also been implicated in definitive AVN formation. Mice with AVC-restricted deletion of the BMP receptor Alk3 have defects in AVN and annulus fibrosus morphogenesis; thus, these mice exhibit AV conduction disturbances consistent with ventricular preexcitation secondarily to persistent AV myocardial connections. Interestingly, a human microdeletion that includes the human BMP2 gene has been associated with a familial case of WPW syndrome, although the fact that BMP2 mutant mice do not display similar abnormalities suggests that another BMP family member (eg, BMP4) may pattern the AVC in mice. Moreover, although Smad transcription factors (nuclear effectors of BMP signaling) have been shown to activate Tbx2 expression during AVC formation, it remains to be seen whether these transcription factors play a similar role during terminal differentiation of the AVN.

Similar to BMP pathways, Notch signaling has also been implicated in AVN morphogenesis at multiple developmental time points. Mice expressing a dominant-negative Mastermind construct (DN-MAML) that inhibits Notch activity in the AVN have short PR intervals as a result of a hypoplastic Cx30.2− compact AVN and inferior nodal extension; this phenotype is partially phenocopied by myocardium-restricted Notch1 knockout mice. Interestingly, mice that overexpress the transcriptionally active Notch intracellular domain have AVC patterning defects resulting in an abnormally large AVN and persistent AV connections. As a result, these mice display electrophysiological properties consistent with ventricular preexcitation and can potentially serve as a model for human WPW syndromes. Since the Notch intracellular domain does not appear to target the Cx30.2 enhancer directly (unpublished observations), dissecting the transcriptional regulatory networks downstream of Notch signaling in the AVN will be extremely informative. Furthermore, identification of the precise cell types involved in the Notch and BMP signaling processes could potentially provide valuable insights regarding the inductive influences of neighboring conduction and nonconduction cells during AVN development.

AVB, BB, and PF Network

Lineage tracing and cellular birth-dating studies suggest that the AVB and BB develop in parallel with the nodal structure of the heart and share a common progenitor with ventricular myocytes. Interestingly, distinct gene expression programs appear to regulate early versus late development of the AVB (Figure 5). During early AVB formation, Cx40 and the working myocardial genes Cx43 and ANF are repressed by Tbx3, whereas Cx40 and ANF expression increase (with maintenance of Cx43 repression) during late development. Taken together, these observations suggest that Tbx3 regulates early development of the AVB, whereas Tbx5 may play a more prominent role during the later stages of AVB maturation; given that some studies have demonstrated that Tbx3 expression is maintained in the adult AVB, the mechanisms by which this selective gene regulation is achieved remain to be elucidated. Furthermore, Tbx5 and Nkx2.5 act within the AVB and BB transcriptional networks by cooperatively activating expression of the transcriptional repressor Id2. Mice carrying compound heterozygous mutations in
Tbx5 and Nkx2.5 phenocopy the conduction defects observed in Id2 null mice (prolonged AV conduction and left BB block), which can be explained by the fact that Tbx5 and Nkx2.5 directly activate Id2 expression through a common enhancer element. Although the downstream transcriptional targets of Id2 remain uncharacterized, cellular birth-dating studies along with known functions for this transcription factor in other systems suggest that Id2 directs cell-cycle exit in the AVB and BB.

HF-1b, a transcription factor originally identified by its ability to activate ventricle-specific expression of myosin light-chain 2, also functions to regulate development of the AVB, BB, and PF network. Invasive electrophysiology studies demonstrated that HOP knockout animals have conduction defects distal to the AVN, consistent with the pattern of its expression. Furthermore, Cx40 levels were downregulated in HOP null mice, suggesting that HOP has been shown to promote differentiation of PFs in avian and murine systems, respectively; however, the downstream mechanisms by which HOP affects Cx40 expression remain unknown. One potential target of ET-1 and/or NRG-1 is HF-1b/Irx3, which can be explained by the fact that HF-1b and Irx3 have been shown to promote differentiation of PFs in avian and murine systems, respectively; however, the downstream transcriptional regulators that mediate these responses are completely unknown. One potential target of ET-1 and/or NRG-1 signaling is Nkx2.5, which plays a cell-autonomous role in PF network formation. Nkx2.5 heterozygous mice exhibit hypoplastic PFs, as assessed in Cx40-eGFP knock-in mice. In turn, these defects result from delayed cell-cycle withdrawal in PFs, leading to slowed ventricular conduction velocities in Nkx2.5+/− animals. Although our current knowledge of PF-specific gene expression programs is limited, the availability of recently developed lines that specifically mark this cell type should facilitate future experiments to expand our current level of understanding.

Other Transcriptional Regulators of Cardiac Conduction

In addition to the transcriptional networks that orchestrate conduction system development, there exist additional regulators of cardiac conduction that are not easily categorized (Figure 6). For example, Irx5 is expressed in a gradient fashion within the ventricular myocardium from epicardium (lowest) to endocardium (highest); the potassium channel largely responsible for the major repolarization current (Kv4.2) is expressed in a gradient opposite to that of Irx5. Thus, Irx5 knockout mice are more susceptible to arrhythmias due to abnormal ventricular repolarization in the absence of a normal Kv4.2 gradient. Consistent with these observations, Irx5 recruits the mBop corepressor to a Kv4.2 silencer element to mediate transcriptional inhibition and establish the Kv4.2 gradient. It should be noted that a more recent study suggests that Irx4, rather than mBop, may be responsible for Irx5-dependent Kv4.2 repression. Taken together, Irx5 plays a crucial role in cardiac rhythm by establishing the ventricular repolarization gradient, although it is not specifically expressed within the conduction system.

MicroRNAs (miRs) are 19- to 25-nucleotide short RNA molecules that fine-tune gene expression by posttranscriptional gene silencing. Several miRs are enriched in the heart and have been shown to modulate gene expression during development and on specific stressful stimuli. Among these, miR-208a and miR-1 also have roles in regulating certain aspects of cardiac conduction. miR-208a

Figure 6. MicroRNA regulation of cardiac conduction. Two miRs have been implicated in regulating cardiac conduction: miR-208a and miR-1. miR-208a directly targets the 3'UTR of Gata4 (a direct activator of Cx30.2), but it activates HOP expression by inhibiting a putative HOP inhibitor. miR-1 directly targets the 3'UTR of Irx5, which is responsible for the normal ventricular repolarization sequence by establishing an epicardial-to-endocardial gradient of Kv4.2 expression.
plays an instrumental role in stress-dependent myocardial remodeling by regulating genes involved in the process of myosin heavy-chain isotype switching.\textsuperscript{104} Subsequently, an independent study characterized additional miR-208a targets to explain the prolongation in AV conduction that they observed in both miR-208a knockout mice and transgenic mice with myocyte-specific miR-208a overexpression.\textsuperscript{105} These experiments revealed that whereas HOP and Cx40 were indirect targets of miR-208a, Gata4 contains a miR binding site in its 3' UTR that mediates miR-208a-dependent posttranscriptional repression.\textsuperscript{105} miR-1 knockout mice have several conduction abnormalities, including a reduced heart rate, short PR interval, and prolonged QRS interval.\textsuperscript{106} Irx5 was identified as a miR-1 target by both bioinformatic and biochemical experiments, suggesting that disruption of the Kv4.2 ventricular gradient is at least partially responsible for the observed conduction phenotypes.\textsuperscript{106}  

Conclusions and Perspectives  
Building on descriptive anatomic studies that made bold conclusions about the existence of a specialized cardiac conduction system,\textsuperscript{7–15} the field has rapidly adapted with the availability of cutting-edge techniques to provide detailed mechanistic perspectives on the transcriptional networks involved in conduction system development. One feature of the conduction system that particularly hampered early investigators was the fact that it does not encompass a single cell type but rather a collection of cell types that are individually distinct from surrounding working myocardial cells. Studies aimed at dissecting the developmental mechanisms of each of these components have added tremendously to our working knowledge of the ontogeny and development of the cardiac conduction system and how it functions as an integrated syncytium.

Although our knowledge of the transcriptional networks orchestrating cardiac conduction system development has expanded exponentially in recent years, several important questions remain. Fate-mapping experiments have suggested that whereas the SAN and AVN are specified early, the AVB, BB, and PF network undergo at least some degree of ongoing recruitment, implying that the proximal and distal components of the conduction system possess different modes of development. From an evolutionary standpoint, what caused the fusion of the two systems during ventricular septation, since this step would also require coordinated insulation of the atria from the ventricles? Furthermore, how do these two components of the conduction system communicate with one another to facilitate their connection during development? Despite extensive analysis of the mechanisms responsible for AVN development, several interesting avenues remain for future investigation. BMP and Notch signaling pathways clearly play reiterative roles during AVC and AVN development, but what are the cells of origin for these signaling molecules, and which cells receive and transduce their signals? Is there cross-talk between the BMP and Notch pathways and are additional signaling networks involved in this process? Given that multiple core transcription factors, such as Tbx5, Nkx2.5, and Gata4, are involved in so many aspects of cardiac development, how is their specificity achieved within the conduction system? One strategy for which there is ample evidence is the restriction of developmental potential mediated by active transcriptional repressors, such as Tbx2 and Tbx3. Another possibility remains that BMP and Notch pathways, for example, are required in concert with core transcription factors to coregulate downstream gene expression. The concept of dominant repression, however, raises its own set of questions. For example, although Tbx2, Tbx3, and Tbx5 have similar binding specificities and are coexpressed in the AVC, how is the overall transcriptional effect (activation versus repression) on a particular target gene determined? In the case where T-box and Nkx2.5 binding sites coexist, this can be explained by Tbx2/3-mediated repression via direct interaction with Nkx2.5; however, not all genes expressed in the AVC or AVN are regulated by Nkx2.5.

Given the state of our current understanding of ventricular conduction system development, perhaps the most unresolved issues pertain to its developmental gene network. Although several studies have demonstrated the expression and function of specific transcription factors (eg, HF-1b, HOP, Id2) in the AVB, BB, and PF network, their mechanistic impact on downstream gene regulation remains to be clarified. While NRG-1 plays an instructive role in PF formation based on CCS-lacZ staining, does ET-1 have a similar role in conduction system development using alternative methods of assessment? What pathways are involved in transducing the NRG-1 and/or ET-1 signals from the cell surface to the nucleus, and how do they specifically impact gene expression in the developing ventricular conduction system? Based on previous studies demonstrating that mechanical forces can shape the developing conduction system in chick\textsuperscript{107} and zebrafish,\textsuperscript{108,109} how are these mechanisms incorporated into PF development in higher vertebrates? Also, the ventricular conduction system is the only component that exhibits persistent left/right asymmetry; thus, how is this accomplished in 4-chambered vertebrates, and are there hints of these mechanisms operating in 2- and 3-chambered organisms?

Although in-depth studies of the mechanisms underlying cardiac conduction system development have been facilitated by using model organisms, the ultimate goal of these collective efforts is to gain a better understanding of normal and pathological cardiac rhythms in humans. Indeed, the seminal studies leading to the identification of TBX5 and Nkx2.5 as key players in conduction system morphogenesis were performed by linkage analysis in well-phenotyped human pedigrees.\textsuperscript{63,64} In addition, TBX3 has been linked to Ulnar-Mammary syndrome, and genotype-phenotype correlations suggest that certain rare mutations may involve disease of the conduction system.\textsuperscript{110} To complement human linkage analysis, human geneticists have recently turned to genome-wide association studies (GWAS) to uncover common alleles with relatively weak effects that impact a broader segment of the general population.\textsuperscript{111} Thus, several GWAS conducted on large cohorts have revealed a number of common variants in loci near transcription factor genes known to play roles in conduction system formation and maintenance\textsuperscript{112–121} (Table). Interestingly, several transcription factors have been identified with potential roles in conduction system function that
Table. Transcription Factors Implicated in Regulating Human Cardiac Rhythm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TF Gene</th>
<th>Phenotypic Association</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HAND1</td>
<td>QRS</td>
<td>GWAS</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEIS1</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>GWAS</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFIα</td>
<td>QRS</td>
<td>GWAS</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NKX2-5</td>
<td>PR/CHD/AV block</td>
<td>GWAS</td>
<td>Formation of AVN/AVB/BB/FF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PITX2</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>GWAS</td>
<td>Inhibits ectopic sinus node formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX5</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>GWAS</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBX3</td>
<td>PR/QRS/UMS/WPW</td>
<td>GWAS</td>
<td>Formation of SAN/AVN/AVB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBX5</td>
<td>PR/QRS/OT/HOS/AV block</td>
<td>GWAS</td>
<td>Formation of SAN/AVN/AVB/BB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBX20</td>
<td>QRS</td>
<td>GWAS</td>
<td>Inhibits ectopic conduction tissue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary of specific transcription factor loci associated with particular human cardiac electrical phenotypes. The type of evidence supporting these associations is provided along with the function of individual transcription factors in cardiac conduction system development (if known). CHD indicates congenital heart disease; UMS, Ulnar-Mammary Syndrome; HOS, Holt-Oram Syndrome.

were unanticipated. Taken together, the combination of linkage studies and GWAS has reinforced the notion that mechanistic analyses in model organisms is directly applicable to humans with potential ramifications on both risk stratification and novel pharmaceutical development.
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