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Abstract: Diurnal rhythms influence cardiovascular physiology such as heart rate and blood pressure and the incidence of adverse cardiac events such as heart attack and stroke. For example, shift workers and patients with sleep disturbances, such as obstructive sleep apnea, have an increased risk of heart attack, stroke, and sudden death. Diurnal variation is also evident at the molecular level, as gene expression in the heart and blood vessels is remarkably different in the day as compared to the night. Much of the evidence presented here indicates that growth and renewal (structural remodeling) are highly dependent on processes that occur during the subjective night. Myocardial metabolism is also dynamic with substrate preference also differing day from night. The risk/benefit ratio of some therapeutic strategies and the appearance of biomarkers also vary across the 24-hour diurnal cycle. Synchrony between external and internal diurnal rhythms and harmony among the molecular rhythms within the cell is essential for normal organ biology. Cell physiology is 4 dimensional; the substrate and enzymatic components of a given metabolic pathway must be present not only in the right compartmental space within the cell but also at the right time. As a corollary, we show disrupting this integral relationship has devastating effects on cardiovascular, renal and possibly other organ systems. Harmony between our biology and our environment is vital to good health. (Circ Res. 2009;105:1047-1061.)
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“Our body is like a clock; if one wheel be amiss, all the rest are disordered, the whole fabric suffers: with such admirable art and harmony is a man composed.”
—Robert Burton, 1621

The last century has seen a detailed dissection of the molecular events underlying human biology. Although the physiology of organ systems, particularly the cardiovascular, was known to exhibit rhythmic activity over the 24-hour day, cell biochemistry was considered by most as a continuous activity localized in the different compartments of cellular space. The recent discovery of actual molecular clockwork mechanisms inside virtually all of our cells has added time as a critical fourth dimension of cellular physiology.

Cardiovascular tissues show significant daily variation in physiological processes, molecular gene, and/or protein expression. An increasing number of experimental and clinical
studies reveal that coordination of these rhythmic processes is a key fundamental mechanism underlying healthy organ growth and renewal. This review will focus on circadian rhythms and cardiovascular disease, a relatively recent area of research, which holds great promise. Other reviews in this series will discuss the cardiomyocyte circadian clock, the vascular clock and function, and the clock and cardiometabolic syndromes. Thus, we will assume a basic understanding of the molecular circadian system (Figure 1 and excellent reviews1–3). Also, we will take a translational approach, focusing primarily on myocardial disease excluding any in-depth discussion of the important areas covered in several earlier reviews on heart and vascular circadian clocks.3,4

“Circadian” classically refers to the endogenous 24-hour cycle maintained in the absence of light; the term “diurnal” refers to conditions under which there is both an endogenously generated circadian cycle and one modulated by external cues (zeitgebers) from the environment, predominantly light. Light is the main zeitgeber for the master clock, which is located in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) of the hypothalamus; the clocks in mammalian peripheral tissues are of course opaque to light and thus their coordination or modulation depends on central neural and hormonal signals derived from the SCN or peripheral zeitgebers such as activity and feeding. Humans are diurnal and live under normal 24-hour light and dark cycling (not dim or dark or “pure” circadian) conditions. Before the advent of substantive artificial lighting a century ago, our lives were synchronized to the natural light/dark rhythms defined by sunrise and sunset; we were active in the light of day, and slept at night. In contrast most rodents used in circadian (and other) research are nocturnal, ie, the rodent night is their subjective human day.

Circadian clock gene expression is a property of virtually all tissues except perhaps the testes.1,5–11 The core genetic constituents of the cellular circadian clock: clock, casein kinase 1ε (CK1ε), period (per1, per2), arntl (bmal1), rev/erb-a, and cryptochromes comprise an autoregulatory feedback loop that cycles approximately every 24 hours. Neurohormones particularly relevant to the cardiovascular system, such as melatonin, glucocorticoids, catecholamines, growth hormone, atrial natriuretic factor, angiotensin II, aldosterone, and renin exhibit diurnal variation12–16 and possibly synchronize peripheral tissue molecular circadian clocks with the SCN.17–22

Diurnal Patterns of Heart Rate and Blood Pressure As Risk Factors for Cardiovascular Disease

The rhythmic changes in heart rate (HR) and blood pressure (BP) over the day night cycle are regarded as reflections of the diurnal variation in sympathovagal balance and have been used as clinical tools to monitor the function of the autonomic nervous system.
nervous system. HR and BP are lowest at nighttime, during sleep, and in the early morning hours, coinciding with the period of vagal dominance and begin to rise before the time of waking in anticipation of the demands of our daytime activities; they decrease again in the evening anticipating sleep. Normal blood pressure across the diurnal cycle exhibits a 10% decrease at night, with a pressure surge in the morning just before and on awakening.

Blunting of normal heart rate variability has been associated with an increased risk of sudden cardiac death, particularly after myocardial infarction and in diabetic patients. Until recently, this variation has been solely attributed to diurnal rhythms of the autonomic nervous system; it now appears that there is an important contribution by the molecular clock within the cardiomyocyte. Cardiomyocyte specific clock mutant (CCM) mice exhibit an attenuation of diurnal heart rate variability in the absence of any overt conduction system abnormalities.23

Hypertension is a risk factor for cardiovascular and renal disease; the diurnal pattern of BP cycling adds another dimension to our prognostic assessment. Patients with hypertension fall into 2 main groups of aberrant diurnal blood pressure profiles. One group parallels the cyclic variation in pressure exhibited by normotensives, including the nocturnal “dip” in blood pressure but at an elevated overall level. The second group, referred to as “nondippers,” fail to exhibit the normal 10% decrease in nocturnal BP, and a few even exhibit an increase. Nondippers have an increased risk for target organ damage, including greater left ventricular hypertrophy and increased risk of myocardial infarction and renal failure.24 Although a number of extrinsic factors can affect diurnal BP profiles, such as sleep quality,25 particularly obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), these changes in BP profiles are often mirrored by dysfunctional autonomic or sympathetic nervous activity. For example, hypertensive patients with aberrant rhythmic BP profiles exhibit significantly higher muscle sympathetic nerve traffic and similarly impaired baroreflex–sympathetic control, as compared to normotensive controls.26 In patients with type 2 diabetes, nocturnal BP variability is an independent predictive factor for increased risk of adverse cardiovascular events.27,28 Finally, recent studies have shown that vessels from per2 mutant mice exhibit aortic endothelial dysfunction.29–31 It appears likely that some of these abnormal blood pressure rhythms reflect intrinsic abnormalities of the vascular endothelial molecular clock. The molecular clockwork mechanism is also implicated directly in regulating daily variation in blood pressure and response to stress.32

### The Diurnal Timing of Cardiovascular Disease

The timing of onset of adverse cardiovascular events exhibits a diurnal rhythm. For example, onset of myocardial infarction in humans exhibits a daily rhythmic pattern with highest incidence between 6:00 AM and 12:00 PM33,34; the nadir occurs between 3:00 AM and 6:00 AM. Infarcts are approximately three times more likely to occur early in the morning as compared to late at night. Mukamal and colleagues35 observed that patients with nocturnal infarcts had a far greater risk of developing congestive heart failure; this was unrelated to Q-wave status, β-blocker treatment, or the time between symptom onset and treatment. Nocturnal infarcts occurred more frequently in patients with OSA as compared to those without the sleep disorder.36

Sudden cardiac death (SCD) is another adverse cardiovascular event exhibiting diurnal timing. The diurnal pattern of onset of arrhythmic SCD was first extrapolated on the basis of two large retrospective studies.37,38 A database derived from mortality records of the Massachusetts Department of Public Health revealed a rhythm of occurrence of SCD that peaked in the early morning.37 The Framingham Heart Study supported these data by revealing an identical SCD diurnal rhythm.38 Prospective clinical studies have documented diurnal rhythms in ventricular refractoriness39 and in defibrillation energy requirements40; each of these peaked in the early morning hours, providing additional corroboration for a diurnal variation in SCD.

Investigation of implanted cardioverter defibrillators has allowed the analysis of precursor threatening ventricular tachyarrhythmias. The diurnal distribution of ventricular tachyarrhythmia events was shown to exhibit a sharp increase in number of events in the early morning hours41; patients with markedly impaired ventricles at the time of implantation demonstrated much less diurnal variation.42

Rupture and dissection of aortic aneurysms also display diurnal rhythms. Again, patients were at significantly increased risk for these events in the early morning hours, with peak occurrence between 8:00 AM to 11:00 AM.43–45

There are several studies supporting the view that the timing of onset of adverse cardiovascular events is linked directly to the intrinsic clock mechanism, as opposed to the “stress of awakening.” For example, a retrospective study of SCD on the Hawaiian island of Kauai46 revealed that the prevalence of SCD peaked from 6:00 AM to noon for Kauaians; however, it peaked from noon to 4:00 PM for recent visitors, corresponding to early morning in Japan. Krantz and colleagues47 studied 63 patients with stable coronary artery disease using a well-validated structured diary with ECG monitoring; the results further supported the concept that an intrinsic diurnal rhythm in fibrinolytic activity is involved in the diurnal pattern of adverse cardiac events in vulnerable individuals.

Finally, diurnal rhythms have also been documented for precursor risk factors such as vasomotor tone, platelet aggregability, and other factors involved in thrombosis or thrombolysis.49–52 Plasminogen activator inhibitor (PAI)-1 is a primary regulator of the fibrinolytic cascade, and activity and mRNA abundance exhibit circadian variation which peaks in the morning,53 consistent with the increased risk of myocardial infarction at this time.33,34 The pattern is believed to be related to the core molecular clock mechanism. For example, clockwork components such as CLOCK:BMAL50,54 and PERIOD255 help regulate circadian variation in PAI-1 gene expression in cardiovascular tissues. Conversely, PAI-1
gene rhythms are reduced in the hearts of circadian mutant mice, phase-altered with restricted feeding regimes, and altered in atherosclerosis apoE−/− mice. Diet patterns and angiotensin (Ang) II receptor–mediated signaling molecules modulate PAI-1 circadian expression patterns, in an organ-specific manner. Thrombomodulin also plays an important role in regulation of blood coagulation, and thrombomodulin mRNA and protein display circadian patterns and appear to be under control of circadian clock molecules in vascular endothelial cells. Recently, Anea et al demonstrated impairment of normal protective endothelial responses to vascular injury with intensified pathological remodeling and a predisposition to vascular thrombosis in bmal1 knock-out mice or clock mutant mice. Pathological responses in clock mutant mice were only evident under conditions of constant darkness when the intrinsic circadian defect was manifest; they were not present when the tissue molecular defect was overridden (rescued) by the central clock by housing the mice under normal light/dark (L:D) conditions. Mechanistically, these changes were associated with disruption of the normal phosphoinositide-dependent kinase 1, Akt, and endothelial nitric oxide synthase signaling pathways and were exacerbated by age. Westgate and colleagues demonstrated the functional influence of circadian clock genes on regulation of diurnal thrombogenic responses to stimulus in vivo, using a photochemical injury model applied to the mouse femoral artery. Taken together, these data strongly link responses of the molecular clock in vascular tissue to day/night variation in cardiovascular events in humans.

Cardiovascular Gene Expression Differs Day Versus Night
Cardiomyocytes do not replicate after development, although recent evidence supports a very low and continuing rate of renewal from as yet an unknown source of progenitor cells. In contrast, cardiomyocytes turn over their protein contents and lipid membranes every few weeks, in effect renewing cell structure; for example, the contractile protein myosin turns over with a cardiomyocyte half-life of approximately 15 days. Until recently, clinical medicine had seen this biology as a continual cellular housekeeping activity, which occurred uniformly over the 24-hour day.

Diurnal Gene Expression in Heart and Vasculature by Microarray/Polymerase Chain Reaction
The first global microarray approach examining gene expression cycling in the murine heart was published in 2002. The study investigated rhythmicity under circadian conditions, and found that a remarkable 8% to 10% of genes expressed in the heart undergo cyclic rhythms. As most life occurs under varying L:D conditions, and because the diurnal environment is most relevant to humans, it is important to understand global gene expression in the heart under diurnal conditions. Diurnal expression of cardiac core clock genes, such as per and bmal1 in the rat heart, had been reported by Young and colleagues using quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR); their data were identical to that reported in a circadian environment.

The behavior of the transcriptome however may differ under influence of L:D cycles. Therefore, we examined, for the first time, the cardiac murine transcriptome under normal 24-hour diurnal L:D conditions, using a microarray and bioinformatics approach. Approximately 13% of the cardiac transcriptome was rhythmic under normal 24-hour diurnal L:D. The microarrays revealed that cycling of core clock mechanism genes was similar under diurnal L:D versus circadian conditions, however, expression of many additional genes cycled or changed in the heart only under diurnal conditions, presumably in response to the zeitgeber triggers (light, activity, feeding) so relevant to our daily physiology. In our studies, radar diagrams illustrated two principal peaks in cardiac gene expression; one in the light phase and a second in the dark phase (Figure 2). A third gene subset was identified that exhibited an abrupt change specifically at L:D transition times (Figure 3). The microarray gene expression profiles were also classified by the Gene Ontology Consortium; those that varied under diurnal conditions mapped to key biological processes such as cardiac metabolism, growth and remodeling, transcription, translation, and molecular signal pathways; many of these genes appear to be controlled by the cardiomyocyte circadian clock.

The observations of diurnal gene cycling have been extended to vascular tissues such as aorta and vascular cells in culture. Using microarrays and bioinformatics, we examined gene expression in the aorta under normal 24-hour diurnal L:D conditions. The data revealed a major peak in the light and a major and second minor peak in the dark (Figure 2). Notably, these peaks occurred at different times than those in the heart. Rudic et al also examined gene expression in the aorta under circadian conditions. They consolidated the data into functional cassettes to demonstrate that rhythms were especially relevant to genes important for vascular structural integrity and metabolism. Taken together, these studies established that gene expression in heart and
vasculature is rhythmic and dramatically different day versus night.

We also examined diurnal gene expression in murine heart and vasculature in a model of cardiovascular pressure overload following thoracic aorta constriction (TAC) in the mouse. Surprisingly, rhythmic expression patterns of core cycling genes (e.g., *per2*, *bmal*, etc) were virtually superimposable in time, that is, the core-cycling transcriptome maintained the same period and phase in TAC pressure overloaded heart and vasculature as compared to normal controls. In contrast, very different rhythmic profiles were observed for many other genes that were noncore clockwork. Some that were important for remodeling exhibited dramatically different 24-hour patterns in pressure overloaded hearts versus controls (Figure 4A and 4B). Expression patterns of these genes exhibited statistically reproducible 24-hour profiles, although, notably, they did not conform to the classic rhythmic (or mathematical cosine-wave) diurnal pattern. Further investigation of these de novo gene patterns could provide novel insights into the diurnal molecular biology of the heart.

Young et al., in the TAC rat, and Mohri et al., in the salt-fed Dahl salt-sensitive hypertensive rat have also reported conservation of phase for clock gene expression. Young and coworkers suggest that phase alterations may occur in some pathologies, reporting a phase shift in the expression of circadian clock genes in the streptozotocin-induced diabetic rat. Naito et al. studied the circadian variation of gene expression for various components of the renin–angiotensin system in spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHRs) and control Wistar-Kyoto (WKY) rats. The amplitude of mRNA expression of renin, angiotensinogen, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE), and angiotensin type 1a and type 2 receptors were greater in the SHRs as compared to WKY, particularly during the dark phase. The phase relationships of the expression of these genes between WKY and SHRs were complex.

**Diurnal Chromatin Remodeling**

It is becoming increasingly evident that regulation of molecular gene rhythms involves chromatin remodeling. Histone modifications such as acetylation/deacetylation alter stability and condensation of histone-DNA interactions, thus controlling access of transcription factors to DNA. In a recent landmark study it was shown that transcriptional regulation of the core clock mechanism in murine liver was accompanied by rhythms in H3 histone acetylation. Mobilization of transcriptional coactivators and histone acetyltransferases is also rhythmic. Remarkably, the CLOCK protein itself has also been shown to have histone acetyltransferase activity. A molecular clock component can catalyze chromatin remod-
eling, revealing unforeseen potential between chromatin remodeling and cell physiology. The interplay between chromatin remodeling and circadian clockwork has been recently well reviewed.97 Finally, and relevant to this review, histone regulation is regarded as a crucial mechanism in cardiac gene expression, underlying growth, renewal, and remodeling, as has also been extensively reviewed.98 However, temporal regulation of chromatin remodeling in normal versus disease heart remains to be elucidated. Another area of interest and intersect might be how environmental variables or stressors impact on these responses of the cardiovascular system.

Potential for Biomarker Discovery

Diurnal Gene Biomarkers
Diurnal variation in gene expression and the differences between nocturnal animals and diurnal humans must be considered in studies dissecting the molecular events underlying disease or studies focused on the search for biomarkers. For example, in the research laboratory, when assessing murine gene expression by microarray, comparison between normal and disease must control for the time of day when tissues are harvested. In our TAC experiments,67 although core circadian clock gene cycling was not altered in the TAC mice, there was a substantial number of genes that did change expression with pressure overload. Of the genes analyzed, 2699 transcripts (~12%) exhibited altered expression profiles in TAC hearts. Of these 1756 (65%) exhibited differences in gene expression between sham and TAC that were evident only either at night or during the day (Figure 4C); thus, sampling time would be critical to discovery of de novo biomarkers. Two additional approaches with potential for elucidating de novo circadian biomarkers delineating disease are the molecular timeplate microarray approach by Ueda and colleagues,81 and the cardiac-specific clock mutant mice developed by Young and colleagues.23

Diurnal Proteomics Biomarkers
Proteins also exhibit diurnal cycling. Specific examples have been discussed elsewhere in this review, such as circadian clockwork proteins, some neurohormone proteins/peptides and/or their precursors, cytokines, and other factors. In addition to these individual proteins that cycle, there has been much recent attention paid toward identifying global proteomic cycling patterns. The idea is that by investigating an entire tissue proteome, de novo cycling proteins may be identified, including new candidate biomolecules relevant to tissue homeostasis and disease. Investigators use proteomic technologies (eg, 2D gels, mass spectrometry) to identify previously unrecognized cycling proteins in tissue; this is somewhat analogous to the global genomic cycling approach which investigated mRNA expression patterns using microarrays and PCR (see above). Global proteomic cycling was first demonstrated in murine liver82 and subsequently evaluated in additional peripheral tissues including murine intestine83,84 and retina85 and rat hypothalamus86 and pineal gland.87 Rhythmic protein cycling is likely dependent on an intact molecular clockwork mechanism, because abrogated protein expression patterns in liver were observed in normal versus circadian genetic mutant mice.82 Posttranscriptional mechanisms such as phosphorylation likely also contribute significantly to the proteomic cycling patterns in liver82 and possibly other tissues. Thus, taken together, these data indicate that a significant percentage of the proteome likely cycles in most, or possibly all, body tissues providing additional diurnal biomarker candidates for clinical applications, in addition to the above noted gene based ones.

Single Nucleotide Polymorphism
A third potential approach to biomarker discovery investigates single-nucleotide polymorphisms in clockwork genes, looking for a causal relationship between the sequence polymorphisms and human cardiovascular (or related) disease. One area of particular interest is the region encoding the BMAL1 gene,88,89 BMAL1 sequencing identified 19 polymorphisms including functional variants that affected transcriptional regulation in the promoter region, in hypertensive SHR versus normotensive WKY animals.90 This is interesting because in the rat BMAL1 maps to a region on chromosome 1 bearing quantitative trait loci for blood pressure, type 2 diabetes mellitus, body weight, cardiac mass, and kidney mass. Moreover, in humans genome-wide scans for hypertension or type 2 diabetes indicate linkage in the BMAL1 region on chromosome 11.90,91 Another study examined polymorphisms in 19 clockwork or related genes in humans, and results indicated that NPAS2 was linked with hypertension, and PER2 with high fasting blood glucose.92 A third study identified single-nucleotide polymorphisms in 2 other circadian related genes (NPSR1, PDE4D) that were associated with sleep phenotypes93; this may also be relevant as sleep and its disturbances are associated with cardiovascular disease as discussed below.

Cardiovascular Growth and Renewal Occurs During Sleeping Hours
We hypothesize that many of the crucial myocardial growth and renewal processes occur especially during the period normally allocated to sleep (the subjective night). This hypothesis is based on the cumulative observations from experimental and clinical studies noted above. Furthermore, it receives additional support from other studies as well. For example, in 1975, Rau et al94 reported differential incorporation of labeled leucine into rat myocardial protein over 24 hours, which indicated that myocardial protein may be synthesized at the greatest rate late in the light period (rats asleep) with the least synthesis occurring 12 hours later (rats active). The authors perspicaciously concluded, “These preliminary studies are not conclusive but they support the hypothesis that there is a circadian rhythm of protein metabolism and abundance in the heart.” Neurohormones with anabolic activity relevant to the cardiovascular system, such as growth hormone, atrial natriuretic peptide, aldosterone, angiotensin II, renin, and proopiomelanocortin exhibit diurnal variation13–16 with specific gene and/or protein expression patterns during sleeping hours. Rat hearts isolated during the subjective day (dark phase) and perfused ex vivo exhibit greater cardiac power than those isolated during the subjective night95; the capacity for myocardial carbohydrate oxida-
tion and oxygen consumption was also increased during the subjective day.

Assessment of all the experimental molecular data described above are consistent with our hypothesis that myocardial renewal and growth is diurnal, with significant activity occurring during the subjective night when HR and BP are at their lowest and physiological stress is at minimum. Cell energy and resources then can be turned from coping with external physiological demands toward cellular repair and growth.

This hypothesis is also supported by clinical observations (including some of those described above, in the section Diurnal Patterns of Heart Rate and Blood Pressure As Risk Factors for Cardiovascular Disease and in the section The Diurnal Timing of Cardiovascular Disease). Nondipper hypertensives (nocturnal hypertension) exhibit an increased risk of target organ damage, with an increased risk of cardiovascular and renal disease24,96,97 than similarly affected patients exhibiting a nighttime pressure decrease. Moreover, although infarcts are more common just before or in the morning after awakening, myocardial infarcts occurring during in the middle of nighttime sleep appear to be larger.35 As noted above, angioplasties performed at night are less successful than those done during the day; perhaps this reflects a more deleterious immediate vascular response to injury following the procedure.98,99 Furthermore, OSA adversely affects myocardial structure100,101; OSA results in an increase in transmural ventricular pressure applied in a setting of sleep disturbance and leads to autonomic neural and endocrine disruption (also in the section Disturbed Diurnal Rhythms and the Pathogenesis of Cardiovascular Disease).100,101 Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP), even though applied only during sleep, yields permanent long-term benefits including reverse (beneficial) cardiac remodeling.100–104 Shift workers are subjected to repeated assaults on their sleep wake cycles; shift work triggers cardiovascular risk factors and is associated with increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.105–107 Finally, conversion from conventional to nocturnal hemodialysis results in significant regression of left ventricular hypertrophy in patients with end stage renal disease.108 Thus, clinical data also support the hypothesis that the heart is most susceptible to remodeling, or renews, significantly during the sleeping hours.

**Disturbed Diurnal Rhythms and the Pathogenesis of Cardiovascular Disease**

### Sleep Disturbances, Sleep Apnea, and Cardiovascular Disease Progression

Sleep patterns may be integrated with circadian/diurnal rhythms, and their disruption can play a significant role in cardiovascular disease progression. This has become particularly evident since the turn of the twentieth century, as we now live with daily disruptions caused by artificial light, intercontinental air flight, and 24-hour multinational communications including the Internet and email. We are no longer dependent on the natural day/night environment of our ancestors, indeed our activities can extend to 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Day/night rhythms, shift work schedules, and regular and adequate sleep are no longer prioritized as cornerstones of health. New central stimulants like modafinil suggest, the future “conquest of sleep.”109 Modafinil does not appear to have a chronobiotic effect, at least in the hamster.110 Such agents have a ready market, particularly the military, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the trucking and airline industry, and even the health professions. However, it would still seem that increasingly the scientific and clinical evidence indicates such an approach would not be prudent in humans, for studies have indicated an increased prevalence of cancer, obesity, diabetes, and adverse cardiovascular events in shift workers, transmeridian flight crews, and patients with sleep apnea and other sleep disturbances.105,106,111,112

OSA is a particularly relevant example in humans,100,101 because the sleep disruption adversely affects many diurnal physiological rhythms, and this has profound cardiovascular consequences in humans including the development and/or exacerbation of heart failure113,114 arrhythmias and other cardiovascular events.115 One of the fundamental issues is that in the setting of sleep disturbance characterized by multiple apneic awakenings, this increases transmural ventricular pressure, ie, increased myocardial wall tension. Normal diurnal cycling is profoundly disturbed, with disruptions in sympathovagal balance and neurohormonal, cytokine, and immunocyte cycling. Nocturnal treatment with continuous positive airway pressure has beneficial effects, reinstating normal sleep, reversing adverse ventricular remodeling, ameliorating arrhythmias and hypertension, and normalizing plasma biochemical profiles.102–104

A role for sleep disturbance in cardiovascular disease progression is further indicated from experimental animal studies in which specific circadian clock genes have been disrupted. These studies also help to interrogate the underlying mechanisms involved, and disease is postulated to result from the development of significant obesity and altered metabolic status in these animals. In 1 study, it was revealed that clock mutant mice consumed similar amounts of food day versus night, as compared to normal nocturnal controls which consumed most of their food at night.116 The clock mutant mice also exhibited altered diurnal variation in glucose and triglyceride, suppression of gluconeogenesis.117 Clock mutants exhibited significant increased weight gain as compared to controls. In another study,118 mice homozygous for the per2 mutation exhibited a loss of diurnal rhythm feeding, along with abnormal activity rhythms, and a lack of corticosterone rhythm. On high-fat diets, the per2−/− mice developed obesity, as compared to wild-type littermate controls. Obesity and altered metabolic status are significant risk factors for cardiovascular disease.

### Disturbed Diurnal Rhythms Cause and Exacerbate Heart Disease, and Implications for Cardiovascular Remodeling at Night

Most recently, diurnal rhythm disturbance has also been implicated as a specific etiologic cause of cardiovascular disease. Our first studies were performed with hamsters as these animals have a long well-defined history in rhythms research. Ralph and colleagues discovered a naturally occurring genetic mutation in hamsters, labeled tau, which altered...
the intrinsic circadian period of these animals. The tau mutation is in CK1ε, a “doubletime” homolog (Drosophila) that phosphorylates PER clock mechanism proteins. Transplantation experiments in tau mutant hamsters demonstrated that the SCN of the hypothalamus was the “seat” of the master circadian clock, an underlying mechanism driving the daily rhythms of behavior and physiology. The tau mutant allele reduced the free-running circadian period of the hamsters from \( \approx 24 \) hours in the wild-type animals to 22 hours in the \( \text{tau}^{+} \) heterozygotes. When the 22-hour heterozygotes were entrained to a 24-hour L:D cycle, they exhibited fragmented patterns of rest and activity and a reduced lifespan as compared to 24-hour wild types.

We hypothesized that the discordance between the environmental 24-hour day and the intrinsic, shortened circadian period of the \( \text{tau}^{+} \) hamsters was etiologically linked to their reduced longevity, perhaps through heart disease. Previous studies by Penev and colleagues showed that TO-2 cardiomyopathic hamsters (not related to tau rhythm mutant hamsters, but rather an inbred, naturally occurring, cardiomyopathic strain) subjected to repeated disruption of their L:D cycle died earlier than their undisrupted counterparts; this provided further support for this hypothesis and mirrored cardiovascular morbidity/mortality data in shift workers. Also mice subjected to phase advances of the L:D cycle, simulating chronic jet lag, had greater mortality as compared to controls. Thus mortality was increased in animals not allowed to adapt to the external environment.

We found that 22-hour \( \text{tau}^{+} \) heterozygote hamsters, when entrained to a rhythm disruptive 24-hour L:D cycle, were normal when young but developed a profound dilated cardiomyopathy and renal pathology over the long-term (Figure 5). They died prematurely from severe heart disease and renal failure. Remarkably, 22-hour \( \text{tau}^{+} \) heterozygote animals raised on 22-hour L:D cycles appropriate to their genotype exhibited normal consolidated behavior, including activity and sleep, normal cardiorenal structure and function, and normal survival. Homozygote (20-hour) animals were also normal when in a 24-hour environment as their very short intrinsic circadian period dominated the external environment, allowing consolidated behavior without the conflict seen in the heterozygotes. The 22-hour \( \text{tau}^{+} \) heterozygotes also had normal hearts under conditions where they were raised in darkness, or with their SCN removed, again, because no conflict arose between their intrinsic circadian system and the external environment. Indeed, circadian disorganization alone appeared to be a direct and sufficient cause of cardiovascular disease. Thus, in the case of the tau hamsters, there was cardiac, vascular, and renal damage that developed when there was a conflict between the endogenous tissue clock and the diurnal signals coming from the SCN.

As noted above (in the section The Diurnal Timing of Cardiovascular Disease), Anea et al recently demonstrated the importance of normal clock genes for maintenance of vascular health in mice. Moreover, they showed that circadian mutations were etiologically implicated in the pathogenesis of vascular disease in mice, similar to our observations of cardiorenal disease in tau mutant rhythm disturbed hamsters.

Disturbed diurnal rhythms cannot only cause heart disease, but may also exacerbate preexisting or underlying cardiovascular conditions. We demonstrated this using normal C57Bl/6 mice in a transaortic constriction (TAC) model of pressure overload cardiac hypertrophy. TAC mice were exposed to diurnal disruption by housing them in an altered 20-hour L:D environment, as compared to the normal 24-hour diurnal cycle. These 20-hour rhythm-disturbed TAC mice, like \( \text{tau}^{+} \) heterozygote hamsters, exhibited a complete disruption of their sleep/wake behavior, unable to consolidate either. Associated with this was marked exacerbation of their cardiovascular disease. This included abnormal histology and increased left ventricular end-systolic and end-diastolic diameters along with reduced cardiac contractility, and increased BP, as compared to “non–rhythm-disturbed” control TAC mice. The degree of myocyte hypertrophy in myocardial and vascular cells was significantly constrained, and accretion of fibrous tissue both in myocardium and in perivascular areas was markedly increased in response to the increased pressure burden; that is cardiovascular remodeling was inappropriate to the rise in blood pressure.

Desynchrony between the external 20-hour environment and the internal 24-hour endogenous circadian system was further manifest even at the molecular level in the heart. Rhythm-disturbed 20-hour TAC mice exhibited abnormal cycling of core circadian clock genes (eg, \( \text{bmal1, per2} \)) in the heart as compared to non–rhythm-disturbed 24-hour TAC controls. Furthermore, there was aberrant (decreased) expression of key cardiac hypertrophic/remodeling genes including \( \text{ANF, the RAAS pathway gene ACE, matrix remodeling genes (eg, Col3a1, collagen), and markers of contractile dysfunction leading to heart failure such as BNP.} \) When the animals were moved to a 24-hour day/night environment, that is, the external rhythm now corresponded to the innate
24-hour rhythm of the animal, normal compensatory cardiovascular remodeling processes were resumed (ie, the clock normalized; BP fell to less hypertensive levels; myocyte hypertrophy, previously constrained, now paradoxically increased to levels appropriate to the blood pressure; gene expression returned to normal TAC levels).

The key observation in these studies was that failure to harmonize internal and external rhythms augmented cardiovascular (target) organ damage.

These data hold promise for patients, such as shift-workers, those with sleep disorders (including the elderly), or any individuals subject to adverse cardiovascular health effects associated with the 24/7 demands of society. That is, by maintaining normal diurnal body physiology, treating underlying sleep disorders, and/or restoring the endogenous neuroendocrine hormonal profiles, perhaps by imposing a fixed or regular schedule of zeitgebers such as light/dark, rest/activity, or the timing of meals, we may significantly benefit cardiovascular and renal health or slow the progression of disease.

Specific Links Between the Circadian Clock and Cardiovascular Physiology

A variety of circadian animal models have been used in cardiovascular rhythms research. Studies using transgenic mice overexpressing rat per2, mice bearing a mutation in the highly conserved binding domain of the PER2 protein, clock mutant mice, bmal1+/− and cryl2−/−/cryl2−/− knockouts, and npas2 mutants have been revealing (for example, see elsewhere and others).

In one such study, Bmal1+/− mice placed in complete darkness exhibited a complete loss of diurnal variation in MAP, HR, and activity. These same mice when placed in L:D exhibited altered vascular stress responses. Also, bmal1 rhythmic patterns differed in SHRs as compared to WKY normal controls.69 We refer the reader to these references that further detail how the L:D cycle varies and the different physiological effects. Congenic rat studies indicate that the SHR mutation maps near a promoter polymorphism in bmal1 and that bmal1-mediated transcription of the regulatory factor GATA is a mechanism possibly responsible for regulating BP rhythms.129 Similarly bmal1 haplotypes in human cohorts have been found and are associated with type 2 diabetes and hypertension.90

In other studies, the per2 mutation was associated with endothelial cell dysfunction. Per2 mutant mice exhibited impaired endothelium-dependent relaxations to ACh in aortic rings suspended in organ chambers, as well as increased aortic expression of cyclooxygenase-1 and decreased NO release, as compared to wild-type controls. The per2 mutation was also associated with altered protein kinase Akt signaling, cellular senescence, and impaired vascular networking, as compared to wild type.31

Cryochromes appear to be especially important for proper ANS functioning. Cryptochrome knockout mice (cry1−/− cry2−/−) exhibited altered autonomic function even under normal diurnal conditions, including increased heart rate and body temperature. They also exhibited a loss of circadian variation in blood pressure in darkness, as compared to wild types.131–133

Finally, Npas2 can substitute as a clock analog or heterodimeric partner for Bmal1, and it plays a significant role in maintaining circadian behaviors.134 In terms of cardiovascular physiology, Npas2 mutant mice were hypotensive, and exhibited reduced MAP and HR especially around the L:D transition time, and a delayed peak time (acrophase), as compared to control littermates.32 Comparative diurnal variation of BP and HR in Npas2 versus clock mutants and Bmal1+/− mice was investigated, as well their relative sympathetic function (diurnal plasma catecholamine profiles and adrenal and cardiac gene expression relevant to catecholamine pathways).32

Thus, taken collectively, core elements of the circadian clock mechanism are clearly integrated with cardiovascular health, and the genesis and pathophysiology of cardiovascular disease.

Much of the physiological variation that occurs in the studies noted above is likely neurohormonal, reflecting day/night changes in the neuroendocrine and autonomic milieu. Glucocorticoid status, in particular, is crucial for maintenance of cardiovascular homeostasis and disease.135 Rhythmicity is presumably SCN orchestrated and driven down the hypothalamic-pituitary axis by vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) (see below), which helps regulate transcriptional activity of glucocorticoid receptors in heart and other organs. CLOCK:BMAL1 heterodimers function as negative regulators of glucocorticoid action.136 An elegant study by Guo and colleagues137 helped delineate neural versus endocrine control of molecular rhythms in the heart and other organs, using a parabiotic technique that joined intact mice to SCN-lesioned mice. Nonneural signals were sufficient to maintain circadian clock gene expression in liver and kidney, but inadequate for clocks in heart and muscle. These data demonstrated that SCN pathways, which influence tissue circadian rhythms, differ between organs.

Some physiological variation may be specifically secondary to intrinsic clock rhythms within the cardiomyocyte. Bray and colleagues used mice overexpressing a dominant clock mutation directly within the cardiomyocyte.23 They showed that the intrinsic myocardial clock contributes to selection of glucose versus fatty acid substrate by the cardiomyocyte and plays a role in the regulation of heart rate and cardiac contractile function including the response of the heart to an increase in workload.

The myocyte sarcomere classically considered only as the motor of contractility has been recently shown also to be integral to myocyte signaling. The protein of the clock gene resides within the myofilament Z-disc colocalizing with α-actinin in the myocyte; the subcellular distribution of CLOCK protein can be directly altered by myocyte contractility.138,139 Positive inotropic conditions appear to stimulate the nuclear translocation of CLOCK; CLOCK has histone acetyltransferase activity; thus, it is implicated in chromatin remodeling.78 CLOCK in the nucleus also activates the transcription of genes that regulate myocyte metabolism and increase energy supply, coupling it to the increase in contractility.138,139
There are many hundreds of genes or their protein products that may be under the direct regulation of the local molecular clockwork. Glycogen synthase kinase (GSK)β is a recently discovered integral component of the mammalian circadian clock that promotes nuclear translocation of PER2, affects REV-ERB transcriptional activity, and thus can advance or delay the clock phase.140–142 GSK3β may also be of particular relevance to heart disease in vivo because in addition to its role in the clockwork mechanism, it also negatively regulates cardiac hypertrophy via phosphorylation on its serine9 residue. GSK3β can antagonize the cardiac hypertrophic response to stimuli such as pressure overload or catecholamine stimulation.143 However, interactions between clock disruption, GSK3β expression patterns, and progression of cardiac remodeling are not yet fully elucidated.

Although direct links between the circadian clock and cardiovascular growth, renewal, and remodeling are not yet fully defined, several additional promising areas of investigation have opened recently.

Arginine vasopressin (AVP) is very important for cardiovascular function.144 Notably, AVP mRNA rhythms in the SCN are abolished in clock mutant mice, concurrent with markedly reduced AVP peptide levels.145

Another link may be through albumin site D-binding protein (DBP), an output gene/protein of the circadian molecular clockwork mechanism.146 DBP mRNA rhythms were abolished in all tissues in clock mutant mice.146,147 Also promising is dec1, a core circadian molecular clock component.148,149 Dec1 exhibits robust mRNA rhythms in normal mice, and rhythms were disturbed in SCN and heart tissues but not liver in clock mutant mice.150,151 These would seem to be promising new candidates for further study, specifically on the topic of clock related maintenance of heart and vessel homeostasis and in remodeling in cardiovascular disease.

Finally, VIP can exert profound direct effects on the cardiovascular system,152 and cardiac responses to VIP and/or its receptors (VPAC) are altered in animal models of hypertension.153 VIP displays diurnal rhythms in blood plasma.154,155 It is thought to be crucial to SCN functioning as many SCN neurons projecting to the paraventricular nucleus appear to use VIP for signaling.156 VIP/VPAC animal models used in rhythms research may offer unique new insights into circadian phenotype.

Understanding crosstalk between circadian proteins and myocyte signaling pathways will undoubtedly result in important insights into the pathophysiology of cardiovascular disease and the role of the environment. The circadian system appears to be a profoundly important homeostatic mechanism in cardiovascular health, and circadian dysregulation causes or exacerbates cardiac, vascular, and renal disease. No doubt this applies to other tissues and systems as well.

**Summary and Conclusions for Translational Medicine**

**Principles Relevant to Cardiovascular Physiology**

This review focuses on 2 important principles relevant to cardiovascular physiology. First, significant aspects of cardiovascular physiology are dynamic, including metabolism, growth, and remodeling. That is, they do not occur uniformly over the 24-hour diurnal cycle. Diurnal variation is evident at the molecular level, because gene expression in the heart and blood vessels is clearly different in the day as compared to the night. Much of the evidence presented here indicates that growth and renewal (structural remodeling) are highly dependent on processes that occur during the subjective night.

Second, synchrony between our endogenous (internal, intrinsic) circadian rhythms and the exogenous (external, extrinsic) diurnal environment is a fundamentally important aspect of healthy organ growth and renewal. As a corollary, disturbing or disrupting this integral relationship has devastating effects on cardiovascular, renal, and possibly other organ systems.

Taken together, these studies indicate that the day/night schedule is applicable to a broad and important range of clinical issues, far more than just impaired cognitive function or performance caused by fatigue, the foci of contemporary thought.

**Bench to Bedside Applications**

These principles highlight several important bench-to-bedside applications. Diurnal molecular variation holds considerable promise for novel discovery of physiologically important biomarkers for aiding in understanding, diagnosing, and/or treating human disease. However, in translating research from bench to bedside, the differences between nocturnal animals and diurnal humans must be considered.

The risk/benefit ratio of some therapeutic strategies is not the same across the 24-hour diurnal cycle. For example, one practical target is ACE; this enzyme is involved in tissue remodeling and blood pressure control and is upregulated in our TAC hypertrophy model.67 ACE inhibitors (ACEis) are the first line agents in the clinical management of hypertension, heart failure and after myocardial infarction in humans. Captopril is a short-acting ACEi, and our pilot experiments revealed that it is ideal for chronopharmacologic investigation, comparing efficacy in cardiac reverse remodeling if administered in the day versus night.157 A chronotherapeutic approach enhancing efficacy of ACEis has also been reported in the 1-clip renal hypertensive rat158 and when comparing brief versus continuous infusion of angiotensin to rats.159 Other established blood pressure medications also exhibit chronotherapeutic promise, including the calcium channel blockers verapamil and diltiazem and the β-blocker propranolol.160–162 The ACEis enalapril, quinapril, and ramipril may also beneficially impact on nocturnal blood pressure profiles.161 Clinical applications of chronotherapy promise to improve treatment of a wide range of health concerns in addition to hypertension, as has been well reviewed.163–171

Disregard for diurnal rhythms may contribute to differences in therapeutic efficacy, which may be observed, between nocturnal animal models and human patients. Clinical trials should routinely take into account the differing safety and efficacy profiles over 24-hour daily cycles. An avoidable bench to bedside variable is added when a drug is tested in rodents during the laboratory day (nocturnal rodent sleep-time), for administration to humans during human wake-time. Chronotherapeutics offers an approach, which may enhance
drug efficacy, reduce side effects, attain better patient compliance and perhaps reduce costs even for long established drugs.

These studies also show that sleep disruption or an inappropriately synchronized wake/sleep schedule may be an important environmental determinant affecting the expression of a disease phenotype. Above we showed an interaction between environment and the casein kinase 1ε (tau) gene resulted in heart disease. Also, our TAC studies suggested chronic disruption of diurnal rhythm in the setting of hypertension could exacerbate both hypertension and target organ damage. The clinical observations that an abnormal sleep profile is a recognized cause of resistant hypertension, heart attack, heart failure, and stroke are consistent with this hypothesis. One would also anticipate that chronic diurnal rhythm disruption might exacerbate the phenotype of familial hypertrophic or dilated cardiomyopathy or perhaps impair quality of tissue repair following myocardial infarction.

Intensive and cardiac care units often use multibedded rooms, subjecting critically ill patients to preventable light and sleep disturbances, when the patient in the neighboring bed needs medical attention. Save for possible inquiry regarding OSA, clinicians and society largely disregard regular day:night schedules or sleep profile as a risk factor for disease; it rarely forms part of the functional inquiry of a typical physician and is not usually in surveys of healthy lifestyle choices. Drugs that promise to reduce the need for sleep may reap “the law of unintended consequences.”

Day:night rhythms, including sleep, have been viewed mainly from a neuroscience perspective; an article in *Nature* as recently as 2005 was entitled “Sleep Is of the Brain, by the Brain and for the Brain.” Sleep may be “of” the brain, but biological rhythms are found in all organs (“by” all organs), and the above studies show that the integrity of biological rhythms, including sleep, are likely “for” all organs, certainly for the health and integrity of the cardiovascular and renal systems.

In conclusion, synchrony between external and internal diurnal rhythms and harmony among the molecular rhythms within the cell is essential for normal organ biology. The substrate and enzymatic components of a given metabolic pathway must be present not only in the right compartmental space within the cell but also at the right time. Cell physiology is 4 dimensional. Harmony between our biology and our environment is a key to good health.
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