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Abstract—The transcriptional regulation of cardiovascular development requires precise spatiotemporal control of gene expression, and heterozygous mutations of transcription factors have frequently been implicated in human cardiovascular malformations. A novel mechanism involving posttranscriptional regulation by small, noncoding microRNAs (miRNAs) has emerged as a central regulator of many cardiogenic processes. We are beginning to understand the functions that miRNAs play during essential biological processes, such as cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, stress response, and tumorigenesis. The identification of miRNAs expressed in specific cardiac and vascular cell types has led to the discovery of important regulatory roles for these small RNAs during cardiomyocyte differentiation, cell cycle, conduction, vessel formation, and during stages of cardiac hypertrophy in the adult. Here, we overview the recent findings on miRNA regulation in cardiovascular development and report the latest advances in understanding their function by unveiling their mRNA targets. Further analysis of miRNA function during cardiovascular development will allow us to determine the potential for novel miRNA-based therapeutic strategies. (Circ Res. 2009;104:724-732.)
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Over the last decade, animal studies and advances in human genetics have highlighted the need for precise regulation of key molecular pathways during embryonic development. This is particularly true for the cardiovascular system, where haploinsufficiency of essential genes often causes human cardiac malformations.1 Such congenital heart defects are the most common birth defects in humans, occurring in nearly 1 in 100 live births,2 and are a result of defects in cell lineage decisions or subsequent morphogenesis. The same pathways that regulate early steps of cardiomyocyte determination and differentiation are involved in adaptive processes in adult heart disease and may be harnessed to encourage progenitor cells to adopt a cardiomyocyte cell fate in postnatal life.

The dosage of cardiogenic pathways can be controlled at numerous levels, some of which have been well studied. In particular, the transcriptional regulation of cardiomyocyte differentiation and cardiac morphogenesis is highly conserved across species, and heterozygous mutations of transcription factors have frequently been implicated in human cardiac malformations.3 Recently, posttranscriptional regulation by small noncoding RNAs, such as microRNAs (miRNAs), has emerged as a central regulator of many cardiogenic processes.

miRNAs are a large class of evolutionarily conserved, small, noncoding RNAs, typically 20 to 26 nucleotides in length, that primarily function posttranscriptionally by interacting with the 3’ untranslated region (UTR) of specific target mRNAs in a sequence-specific manner (reviewed by Zhao and Srivastava4). The first animal miRNA was described in 1993 as a regulator of developmental timing in Caenorhabditis elegans.5,6 It was not until 2001 that miRNAs were recognized to be widespread in all eukaryotes, including vertebrates.7–9 More than 650 miRNAs are encoded in the human genome, and each is thought to target more than 100 mRNAs, resulting in mRNA degradation or translational
inhibition. Interactions between miRNAs and mRNAs are thought to require sequence homology in the 5’ region of the miRNA, but significant variance in the degree of complementation in the remaining sequence allows a single miRNA to target a wide range of mRNAs, often regulating multiple genes within a common pathway. As a result, more than one-third of mRNAs in the mammalian genome are thought to be regulated by one or more miRNAs.\textsuperscript{10}

Despite advances in miRNA discovery, the role of miRNAs in physiological and pathophysiologic processes is just emerging. It has become clear that miRNAs play diverse roles in fundamental biological processes, such as cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, stress response, and tumorigenesis. In many cancers, miRNAs are dysregulated and may act as tumor suppressors; for example, the tumor suppressor gene p53 regulates the miR-34 family,\textsuperscript{11} and let-7 represses a prevalent oncogene found in a variety of tumors.\textsuperscript{12} Identification of miRNAs expressed in specific cardiac cell types has led to the discovery of important regulatory roles for these small RNAs during cardiomyocyte differentiation, cell cycle, conduction, and during stages of cardiac hypertrophy in the adult, indicating that miRNAs may be almost as important as transcription factors in controlling cardiac gene expression.

Here we review the basic mechanisms by which miRNAs function, with a focus on the role of miRNAs during development of the heart and vessels. It appears that a network of miRNAs can be superimposed on well-described signaling and transcriptional networks with considerable intersection between the two. Ultimately, knowledge of the function and regulation of specific miRNAs and their mRNA targets in the heart will lead to a deeper understanding of cardiac cell fate decisions and morphogenesis and ultimately could result in novel therapeutic or preventive approaches for heart disease.

**miRNA Organization, Biogenesis, and Target Recognition**

miRNAs regulate gene expression at the posttranscriptional level by mRNA degradation, translation repression, or miRNA-mediated mRNA decay (Figure 1). Mature miRNAs are formed in a multistep biological process involving critical endonucleases. miRNAs are initially transcribed from the genome into long (several kilobases) 5’ capped polyadenylated [poly(A)] primary transcripts (pri-miRNAs) by RNA polymerase II.\textsuperscript{13} Some miRNAs interspersed among repetitive DNA elements, such as Alu repeats (5’-AG/CT-3’), can also be transcribed by RNA polymerase III.\textsuperscript{14} The miRNA-encoding portion of the pri-miRNA forms a hairpin structure that is recognized and cleaved in the nucleus by a microprocessing complex. This complex consists of the double-stranded RNA-specific nuclease DROSHA and its cofactor, DiGeorge syndrome critical region 8 (DGCR8).\textsuperscript{15} The resulting \(\sim70\)-nt hairpin precursor miRNA (pre-miRNA) is exported to the cytoplasm by the RAN-GTP-dependent nuclear transport receptor, exportin-5, which acts by recognizing a 2- to 3-bp overhang of the pre-miRNA stem–loop structure.\textsuperscript{16,17} The pre-miRNA is further processed by a complex of the RNase III–like ribonuclease Dicer and the transactivator RNA-binding protein, which cleaves the pre-miRNA to release the mature miRNA duplex.\textsuperscript{18,19}

An asymmetry in the relative thermodynamic stability of the 5’ ends of the miRNA duplex results in preferential loading of the less stable \(\sim22\)-nt strand into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC); the other strand is degraded, although in some cases, both strands are incorporated into the RISC.\textsuperscript{20–22} The RISC helps mediate miRNA:mRNA interactions and subsequent mRNA repression or destabilization.\textsuperscript{23} miRNAs typically bind to the 3’ UTRs of their mRNA targets with imprecise complementarity. Typically, the degree of Watson–Crick base-pairing between bases 2 and 7 (the “seed region”) at the 5’ end of the miRNA is critical for binding mRNA targets\textsuperscript{24–25} and mediating repression. RISC-bound miRNAs may also be sequestered away from translational machinery in processing bodies (P-bodies) that act by recruiting poly(A) nucleases to help modulate deadenylation of mRNA and thereby prevent translation.\textsuperscript{26–28}
miRNAs can be found in exons or introns of noncoding transcripts with independent enhancer regulation and in the introns and 3’ UTRs of protein coding transcripts. They can also overlap with either an exon or an intron, depending on the alternative splicing pattern. In flies and worms, some miRNAs in intronic regions bypass Drosha processing and enter the miRNA biogenesis pathway as pre-miRNAs. In many cases, miRNAs are clustered near other miRNAs and are transcriptionally coregulated and share cooperative regulatory roles.

Among the hundreds of miRNAs identified thus far, only a limited number have been assigned target miRNAs. Several algorithmic databases have been designed for miRNA target prediction that rely, for the most part, on the following criteria: (1) conservation across species; (2) complementarity of the 5’ miRNA “seed match” to the 3’ UTR (≈7 nt); (3) G:U wobbles in the seed; (4) the thermodynamic context of target mRNA binding sites (ie, mRNA targets located in regions of high free energy and unstable secondary structure are favored); and (5) multiple miRNA binding sites in 3’ UTR. These computational programs are continuously updated to integrate new knowledge from validated miRNA: mRNA interactions (reviewed elsewhere).

### miRNA Function During Cardiogenesis

The heart is derived from multiple cell lineages and must differentiate into unique regions, each possessing different physiological, electric, and anatomic properties. A group of mesodermal progenitors known as the first heart field coalesce along the midline to form a straight heart tube that begins to be patterned in an anterior-posterior, dorsal-ventral, and left–right fashion. A second population of mesodermal cardiac progenitors, known as the second heart field, migrates from behind the heart tube into the anterior and posterior poles of the heart tube as it begins to undergo rightward looping. Cells from the second heart field contribute to most of the outflow tract and right ventricle, along with part of the atria; first heart field cells contribute to most of the left ventricle and part of the atria. Distinct patterns of gene expression define each region of the heart, including individual chambers and valves. Proper looping and ballooning of the outer curvature of the heart tube is necessary for correct alignment of the chambers with one another and with the inflow and outflow tract valves. In higher organisms, septation leads to a 4-chambered heart with 2 atrioventricular valves and 2 outflow vessels. Cells derived from the neural crest migrate into the outflow tract and are required for outflow septation, whereas cells from the proepicardium contribute to the coronary vessels, fibroblasts, and even some muscle cells in the heart.

One approach to study the comprehensive requirements of miRNAs during vertebrate development has been to create mutations in Dicer, the enzyme required to process miRNAs into their active mature forms. Dicer is encoded by a single locus in vertebrates. Zebrafish lacking maternal and endogenous Dicer die from defects in gastrulation, brain morphogenesis, somitogenesis, and heart development. In mice, targeted deletion of Dicer causes lethality at embryonic day (E)7.5, before body axis formation. Cardiac-specific deletion of Dicer using Cre recombinase expressed under the control of the endogenous Nkx2.5 regulatory elements resulted in embryonic lethality at E12.5. The Nkx2.5-Cre is active from E8.5 during heart patterning and differentiation but only after initial commitment of cardiac progenitors. It will be important to determine whether Dicer is required for earlier stages of cardiogenesis (before E8.5), such as cardiac lineage specification, because Dicer is required for embryonic stem cell differentiation. Dicer activity is also required for normal function of the mature heart, as adult mice lacking Dicer in the myocardium have a high incidence of sudden death, cardiac hypertrophy, and reactivation of the fetal cardiac gene program. Deletions of Dicer in specific heart populations will reveal the importance of miRNA function in distinct aspects of heart development (eg, cardiomyocyte commitment, chamber morphogenesis, outflow tract remodeling).

### Organization and Regulation of miR-1 and miR-133

Two widely conserved miRNAs that display cardiac- and skeletal muscle–specific expression during development and in the adult are miR-1 and miR-133, which are derived from a common precursor transcript (bicistronic). Multiple highly conserved loci encode the mature miR-1 (miR-1-1 and miR-1-2) and miR-133 (miR-133a-1, miR-133a-2, and miR-133b) transcripts, which appear to be genetically redundant. The mature forms of miR-1 derived from the different loci are identical, as are the miR-133a forms. The miR-1-1/miR-133a-2 cluster is located in an intergenic region, whereas the miR-1-2/miR-133a-1 is transcribed in an antisense orientation between exons 12 and 13 of the Mib1 (Mib1) gene (Figure 2A), involved in Delta-mediated Notch signaling. The related miR-1 family member miR-206 shares extensive sequence homology to miR-1 but is expressed exclusively in skeletal muscle with the cotranscribed miR-133b (Figure 2A).

Transcription of the miR-1/miR-133 bicistronic precursors are directly regulated by the major myogenic differentiation factors MyoD, myocyte enhancer factor (Mef)2, and serum response factor (SRF). MyoD functions exclusively in skeletal muscle, whereas Mef2 and SRF regulate gene expression in cardiac, skeletal and smooth muscle development (Figure 2B). SRF binds to CArG motifs in promoters and enhancers of muscle-specific genes that regulate differentiation, cell-cycle progression, and tissue-specific gene expression. In the heart, SRF binds and activates the enhancer regions of miR-1/miR-133 in vitro and in vivo through a serum response element conserved from fly to human. Similarly, SRF regulates the cardiac expression of miR-1 in flies, and the basic helix–loop–helix transcription factor Twist and Mef2 regulate somatic muscle expression. Mef2 can also activate transcription of the bicistronic miR-1/miR-133 transcript via an intragenic muscle-specific enhancer, which provides cooperative temporospatial regulation of miRNA expression. In contrast to the upstream miR-1/133 enhancer, which directs expression within the ventricular chambers, the intragenic miR-1/133 enhancer is active in the atrial and ventricular chambers.

- **miR-1 and miR-133**: Two widely conserved miRNAs that display cardiac- and skeletal muscle–specific expression during development and in the adult are miR-1 and miR-133, which are derived from a common precursor transcript (bicistronic).
- **Dicer**: The enzyme required to process miRNAs into their active mature forms.
- **Nkx2.5-Cre**: The Nkx2.5-Cre is active from E8.5 during heart patterning and differentiation but only after initial commitment of cardiac progenitors.
- **miRNA Function During Cardiogenesis**: The heart is derived from multiple cell lineages and must differentiate into unique regions, each possessing different physiological, electric, and anatomic properties.

---
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suggests there may be differential regulation of miR-1 and miR-133 to modulate their regulation on target mRNAs in the muscle differentiation pathway downstream of Mef2. Concordant with their common cis- and transregulation, both miR-1 and miR-133 are coexpressed in cardiac and skeletal muscle throughout mouse development and are robustly expressed in the adult (Figure 2B and 2C).32,46,52

Function of miR-1 and miR-133 During Cardiogenesis and Cardiac Cell Fate Decisions

miR-1 expression directed by the enhancers described above commences at approximately E8.5 in mouse and increases throughout development. However, in Drosophila, miR-1 transcripts are detectable during early mesoderm formation as early as the onset of mef2 expression.47 This may also be the case in mouse through as yet undescribed enhancers. Overexpression of miR-1 under the control of the β-myosin heavy chain (β-MHC) promoter diminishes the pool of proliferating ventricular myocytes by inducing a premature exit from the cell cycle. This negatively regulates cardiac growth, in part by inhibiting translation of the heart and neural crest derivative-2 protein, Hand2,32 a basic helix–loop–helix protein involved in ventricular myocyte expansion. In mice, Hand2 is initially expressed throughout the linear heart tube and then becomes restricted to the developing atrial and ventricular myocardium with highest expression in the right ventricle. Mice that lack Hand2 die at E10.5 from right ventricular hypoplasia and decreased trabeculation in the left ventricle.55–57 In mice overexpressing miR-1, trabeculation is also decreased, consistent with the Hand2 mutant phenotype, corroborating Hand2 as a direct target of miR-1.32

Surprisingly, loss of miR-1–2 in mice, resulting in only a 50% decrease in total miR-1, results in partial embryonic death between E15.5 and birth, attributable to apparent ventricular septal defects and cardiac dysfunction (Figure 3A and 3B). These defects can occur from dysregulation of a multitude of events during cardiogenesis, and it is likely that miR-1–2 regulates numerous genes during this process. Precise dosage of Hand2 is crucial for normal cardiomyocyte proliferation and development, and elevated levels of Hand2 may contribute to the ventricular septal defects and cardiac death.41a

Postnatal mouse cardiomyocytes terminally exit the cell cycle after the first 10 days of life. However, miR-1–2–null adult mice have an increase in mitotic cardiac myocytes along with cardiac hyperplasia. In addition, genome-wide profiling

Figure 2. Summary of miR-1 and miR-133 genomic organization, regulation, and expression during mouse cardiogenesis. A, Chromosome locations of miR-1 and miR-133a orthologs and miR-206/133b. The miR-1-1/miR-133a-1 cluster is intragenic, and the miR-1-1/miR-133a-2 and miR-206/133b clusters are intergenic. The miR-1/133a and miR-206/133b clusters are transcribed as a bicistronic transcript. B, Cardiac (red) and muscle-specific (green) expression of miR-1 and miR-133 clusters is regulated by SRF and myogenic transcription factors, Mef2, and MyoD. Targets of miR-1 and miR-133 that regulate cardiac or skeletal muscle are shown. C, LacZ directed by an upstream enhancer of the miR-1-2/miR-133a-2 cluster and the miR-1-1/miR-133a-1 cluster, respectively, shows expression in the heart (ht) and somites (arrowhead) at mouse E11.5.
miR-1 targets the antiapoptotic heat shock proteins HSP60 and HSP70 and is apoptotic, whereas miR-133 represses caspase-9, a regulator of mitochondria-mediated apoptosis, and is antiapoptotic. Concordantly, compound loss of miR-133a-1 and miR-133a-2 in mice results in enhanced apoptosis, although the in vivo data do not show an upregulation of caspase-9 or other proapoptotic genes.

During early cell fate decisions of mouse and human embryonic stem cells, miR-1 and miR-133 are expressed just as mesoderm emerges and function in concert to promote mesoderm induction, while suppressing differentiation into the ectodermal or endodermal lineages. However, miR-1 and miR-133 have antagonistic effects on further adoption of muscle lineages: miR-1 promotes differentiation of mouse and human embryonic stem cells toward a cardiac fate, whereas miR-133 inhibits differentiation into cardiac muscle. miR-1 appears to exert this effect, in part, by translationally repressing the mammalian ortholog of delta, Delta-like-1 (Dll-1), similar to the repression seen in the fly. Thus, the bicistronic miR-1/miR-133 transcript encodes distinct mature miRNAs that likely share common targets, yet complement each other by balancing the differentiation and proliferation of cardiac and skeletal muscle lineages.

In contrast to in vitro data showing that miR-133 promotes proliferation in cultured myoblasts and cardiac progenitors, mice lacking miR-133a-1 and miR-133a-2 had excessive cardiac proliferation. In addition, compound mutants had partial embryonic lethality caused by large ventricular septal defects, similar to the miR-1-2 knockout mice. Dysregulation of cell cycle control genes and aberrant activation of the smooth muscle gene program were observed in double-mutant mice, which may be attributable to the upregulation of the miR-133a mRNA targets, cyclinD2 and SRF, respectively.

miR-1 and miR-133 Function in the Cardiac Conduction System

Deletion of miR-1-2 in mice also revealed an essential function of miR-1 in the cardiac conduction system. Mutant mice that survived until birth often experienced sudden death, and electrophysiological testing revealed a spectrum of cardiac arrhythmias in mutant mice. The electric activity of the heart begins in the sinoatrial node and propagates impulses to the ventricles, resulting in depolarization, ventricular contraction, and subsequent repolarization of the heart to initiate cardiac relaxation. The miR-1-2 mutants have a shortened PR interval (the time from the beginning of atrial excitation to the beginning of ventricular excitation) and a prolonged QRS complex (the duration of ventricular depolarization) (Figure 3B). Ventricular depolarization occurs by rapid conduction through the atioventricular bundle, bundle branches, and Purkinje fibers. A prolonged QRS often corresponds to a bundle-branch block and can increase the risk of sudden death in humans. The cardiac arrhythmias in the miR-1-2 mutants may be caused, in part, by elevated levels of the transcription factor Iroquois homeobox (Irx5), a direct target repressed by miR-1. Irx5 regulates the cardiac ventricular repolarization gradient by negatively regulating the expression of potassium channel genes, such as Kcdn2.
Additional studies showed that cardiac electrophysiology is sensitive to miR-1 and miR-133 dosage. In humans with coronary heart disease, miR-1 expression is elevated, and in normal rats or rats subjected to myocardial infarction, over-expression of miR-1 increased the occurrence of arrhythmias. Arrhythmias are common after a heart attack, and delivery of an antisense oligonucleotide to decrease miR-1 in the rat infarct model reverses the predisposition to arrhythmias. Furthermore, Yang and colleagues showed that miR-1 targets the 3’ UTRs of 2 ion channels prevalent in the adult heart, GJA1 and KCNJ2, which encode the cardiac gap junction connexin 43 and the potassium channel subunit Kir2.1, respectively. GJA1 is responsible for intercellular conductance in the ventricles, whereas KCNJ2 is responsible for setting and maintaining the cardiac resting membrane potential.

Interestingly, miR-133 also participates in regulating cardiac electrophysiology, because it translationally represses the ion channels KCNQ1 and KCNH2, which encode potassium channel subunits and are responsible for producing the diac electrophysiology, because it translationally represses the potassium channel subunit KCNJ2. miR-133 appears to regulate numerous genes that control proper cardiac conduction, providing an example of a role of miRNA as a “master” regulator by virtue of the multiple miRNAs it can target. As such, manipulation of these miRNAs may have therapeutic value in prevention of cardiac arrhythmias, particularly during the high-risk period immediately following myocardial infarction.

miR-138 Regulation of Cardiac Patterning

Intricate transcriptional networks establish chamber-specific gene expression, and these patterning events are highly conserved across species from zebrafish to human. Zebrafish are useful models to study cardiac-patterning events because of their simple 2-chambered heart consisting of a single atrium and ventricle separated by the atrioventricular canal (AVC). The atrial and ventricular chambers express unique myosin genes, whereas the AVC expresses distinct genes such as cspg2, which encodes versican, notch1b, and tbx2. miR-138 is a highly conserved miRNA found in many parts of the embryo, but within the zebrafish heart is specifically expressed in the ventricular chamber. Disruption of miR-138 function led to expansion of AVC gene expression into the ventricle and failure of ventricular cardiomyocytes to fully mature. miR-138 normally restricts AVC gene expression by directly repressing cspg2 in the ventricle. This event is reinforced by ventricular repression of retinoic acid dehydrogenase, resulting in decreased retinoic acid, which is a positive regulator of cspg2. It is likely that other region-specific miRNAs will reinforce known signaling and transcriptional networks that establish patterns of gene expression throughout the developing heart tube.

miR-208 Regulation of Fetal-Adult Myosin Isoform Switching

Reactivation of the fetal cardiac gene program, including switching of the major MHC isoform from α-MHC to β-MHC in mice (the opposite is true in humans), is a hallmark of the failing and hypertrophied postnatal heart. Similarly, fetal cardiac miRNAs are also reactivated in the stressed adult heart. Interestingly, miR-208 is found within the intron of the cardiac-specific gene encoding the highly abundant α-MHC, the predominant MHC isoform in the adult mouse heart. miR-208 is involved in regulating MHC isoform switching, because mice lacking miR-208 fail to upregulate β-MHC on stress and do not develop hypertrophy. Furthermore, disruption of miR-208 resulted in ectopic activation of the fast skeletal muscle gene program within the heart. These gene expression effects were attributable, in part, to direct inhibition of the thyroid receptor–associated protein THRAP1, a transcriptional coregulator of the thyroid receptor. Thus, nature appears to have embedded an elegant control mechanism within myosins that can regulate abundance of specific isoforms during fetal and adult stages.

miRNA Regulation of Angiogenesis

In addition to miRNA regulation of cardiomyocytes, recent reports illustrate what will likely be a broader function of tissue-specific miRNAs during vascular development (reviewed elsewhere). In particular, miR-126, which is located in the intron of an endothelial-specific gene, Egfl7, is the most highly enriched miRNA in endothelial cells derived from embryonic stem cells or developing embryos. miR-126 is a key positive regulator of angiogenic signaling in endothelial cells and of vascular integrity in vivo. Knock down of miR-126 during zebrafish embryogenesis or deletion of miR-126 in mice resulted in defects in vascular development. For example, collapsed blood vessels and cranial hemorrhages occurred in zebrafish with reduced levels of miR-126 and delayed angiogenic sprouting, widespread hemorrhaging, and partial embryonic lethality were observed in mice deficient in miR-126. miR-126 mutant mice that successfully completed embryogenesis displayed diminished angiogenesis and increased mortality after coronary ligation, a model for myocardial infarction. Molecular analysis revealed that miR-126–deficient endothelial cells failed to respond to angiogenic factors, including vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), epidermal growth factor (EGF) and basic fibroblast growth factor. Two direct targets of miR-126 were Srdp1 (the Sprouty-related protein 1) and the regulatory subunit of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase p85β (also known as PIK3R2). Because Srdp1 and PIK3R2 are negative regulators of cellular signaling cascades, affecting the mitogen-activated protein kinase and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signaling pathways, respectively, miR-126 promotes VEGF and other growth factor signaling (Figure 4). By targeting multiple signaling pathways, miR-126 may fine tune angiogenic responses. Because of the central role of miR-126 in vascular development, miR-126-mediated regulation of angiogenesis may be a valuable therapeutic target to promote new blood vessel formation in ischemic conditions as well to inhibit angiogenesis during tumor growth.
Summary and Future Directions

The function of miRNAs in cardiovascular development reviewed here likely foreshadows a much broader role of dozens of miRNAs in regulating most aspects of cardiovascular development. Through their ability to posttranscriptionally regulate mRNA levels, and thus manage protein dosage, miRNAs provide finer regulation within the complex molecular networks that regulate cardiogenesis. The importance of this fine regulation is highlighted by the recognition that most known genetic causes of heart malformations in humans result from haploinsufficiency or heterozygous point mutations. Thus, modulation of miRNA levels may be useful in titrating the dose of critical pathways and may allow restoration of protein levels to a point that crosses the disease threshold. With further characterization, elucidating the function of cardiac-enriched miRNAs may provide us with new diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic targets for many forms of cardiovascular disease.

Despite the exponentially increasing knowledge regarding miRNA function, many questions and challenges remain. One of the most critical challenges is the ability to accurately and efficiently determine mRNA targets of specific miRNAs. Present bioinformatics approaches have been very useful but rely on some preconceived knowledge of the miRNA function to sort through the hundreds of predicted targets. A better understanding of the integration of miRNA control with known regulatory networks will be necessary to place the function of specific miRNAs in context of present knowledge. The recent, yet controversial, recognition that miRNAs may function both as downregulators and upregulators of translation may add additional complexity to the miRNA:mRNA relationship and miRNA function in specific cellular contexts. It is likely that the cellular context also dictates when a miRNA may engage its mRNA targets and regulates the efficiency with which a miRNA is processed from its precursor forms. These types of events will represent multiple layers of regulation that will themselves titrate the activity of specific miRNAs. It is clear that discovery of the small RNA world has fundamentally altered the way in which biologists view cellular functions, but the field is only in its infancy. Undoubtedly, there will be a logarithmic growth in understanding miRNA function in coming years, and it will become clear that most cellular events are titrated by one or more miRNAs. As such, the potential to harness miRNA biology for the betterment of human disease may represent the next frontier in human therapeutics.
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