Developmental Basis for Electrophysiological Heterogeneity in the Ventricular and Outflow Tract Myocardium As a Substrate for Life-Threatening Ventricular Arrhythmias
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Abstract—Reentry is the main mechanism of life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias, including ventricular fibrillation and tachycardia. Its occurrence depends on the simultaneous presence of an arrhythmogenic substrate (a preexisting condition) and a “trigger,” and is favored by electrophysiological heterogeneities. In the adult heart, electrophysiological heterogeneities of the ventricle exist along the apicobasal, left–right, and transmural axes. Also, conduction is preferentially slowed in the right ventricular outflow tract, especially during pharmacological sodium channel blockade. We propose that the origin of electrophysiological heterogeneities of the adult heart lies in early heart development. The heart is formed from several progenitor regions: the first heart field predominantly forms the left ventricle, whereas the second heart field forms the right ventricle and outflow tract. Furthermore, the embryonic outflow tract consists of slowly conducting tissue until it is incorporated into the ventricles and develops rapidly conducting properties. The subepicardial myocytes and subendocardial myocytes run distinctive gene programs from their formation onwards. This review discusses the hypothesis that electrophysiological heterogeneities in the adult heart result from persisting patterns in gene expression and function along the craniocaudal and epicardial–endocardial axes of the developing heart. Understanding the developmental origins of electrophysiological heterogeneity contributing to ventricular arrhythmias may give rise to new therapies. (Circ Res. 2009;104:19-31.)
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Sudden cardiac death is generally preceded by reentry-based ventricular tachycardia or fibrillation.1,2 These arrhythmias occur by the simultaneous presence of an initiating event (the trigger) and a preexisting arrhythmogenic substrate.3 Although a short refractory period in combination with slow conduction may provide the substrate for the maintenance of reentry, unidirectional block is required for the initiation of reentry.4 Unidirectional block can be caused by preexisting heterogeneity in excitability, conduction, or refractoriness.5 In the healthy heart, electrophysiological heterogeneities allow efficient contraction and relaxation. However, under pathological conditions, electrophysiological heterogeneities may underlie dysfunction and life-threatening cardiac arrhythmias.5 For example, during acute myocardial ischemia, heterogeneities in extracellular potassium concentration cause heterogeneities in excitability and refractoriness and arrhythmias.6–8 Also, in hearts with a chronic myocardial infarction, altered tissue architecture and distribution of connexins provide the basis for heterogeneous activation patterns and dispersion in conduction delay.9–12 Ventricular arrhythmias induced by heart failure are thought to be facilitated by heterogeneity in repolarization.13,14 In addition, Brugada syndrome, a hereditary disorder characterized by familial sudden death, absence of (clinically observed) structural abnormalities and characteristic right precordial ST-segment abnormalities, is thought to be caused by transmural or subepicardial heterogeneity in action potential duration15 or heterogeneous conduction delay.16–18

In the heart, electrophysiological heterogeneities exist between apex and base, between the right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) and the right ventricular wall, between the left and right ventricular wall, and between the subendocardial and the subepicardial myocardium.19 These electrophysiological heterogeneities result, at least in part, from regional differences in expression of genes encoding connexins and ion channels that are responsible for the shape and duration of the cardiac action potential (Table 1).20 Various mechanisms underlying heterogeneity in gene expression in the adult are conceivable. Signaling events in the adult may locally affect gene expression and phenotype, as has been indicated for endothelin-signaling or after myocardial infarction.9,21–23 This review focuses on the possibility that aspects of the extensive phenotypic heterogeneity generated during heart development may persist in the adult heart.
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The expression of channel and gap-junction genes is regulated by transcription factors that during embryonic development coordinate the transformation of the cardiac precursor cells of a tubular heart into the adult myocardium. Indeed, a causal relation between these locally acting transcription factors and localized expression of genes important for cardiac electrophysiology has been established. The mature left ventricle is mainly composed of cells derived from precursor cells of the first heart field, whereas the right ventricular wall and the outflow tract (OFT) are derived from the second heart field. Therefore, electrophysiological differences between the left and right ventricle may be related to the difference in origin of these regions. The OFT is formed in a later stage during development than the right ventricle and maintains its initial slowly conducting and poorly contracting properties for a longer period during development. Because the embryonic OFT gives rise to the adult RVOT, it has an origin and developmental history different from the ventricles. This may well explain part of its sensitivity in the initiation of reentrant arrhythmias during sodium channel blockade or in patients with structural abnormalities.

In this review, we describe the localized expression of genes in the developing and adult ventricles and OFT in relation to the electrophysiological heterogeneity in the adult heart leading to ventricular arrhythmias.

Table 1. Distribution of Ion Channels or Ion Currents in the Adult Heart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion Channels and Connexins</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I_{Na}$</td>
<td>$\alpha$: Na,1.5</td>
<td>SCN5A</td>
<td>Epi $\rightarrow$ Endo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{Kr}$</td>
<td>$\alpha$: $K_r$,4.2</td>
<td>KCND2</td>
<td>Epi $\rightarrow$ Endo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha$: $K_r$,4.3*</td>
<td>KCND3</td>
<td>LV $\rightarrow$ RV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta$: KCNIP2</td>
<td>KCNIP2</td>
<td>Epi $\rightarrow$ Endo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta$: MinK</td>
<td>KCNE1</td>
<td>Epi $\rightarrow$ Mid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{Ks}$</td>
<td>$\alpha$: $K_s$,1.5</td>
<td>KCNQ1</td>
<td>Epi $\rightarrow$ Mid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta$: MiRP-1</td>
<td>KCNE2</td>
<td>Epi $\rightarrow$ Mid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connexins</td>
<td>Cx43</td>
<td>Gja1</td>
<td>Epi $\rightarrow$ Endo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cx40</td>
<td>Gja5</td>
<td>Epi $\rightarrow$ Endo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Kv4.3 is not important for $I_{Kr}$ in mice.*

The expression of channel and gap-junction genes is regulated by transcription factors that during embryonic development coordinate the transformation of the cardiac precursor cells of a tubular heart into the adult myocardium. Indeed, a causal relation between these locally acting transcription factors and localized expression of genes important for cardiac electrophysiology has been established. The mature left ventricle is mainly composed of cells derived from precursor cells of the first heart field, whereas the right ventricular wall and the outflow tract (OFT) are derived from the second heart field. Therefore, electrophysiological differences between the left and right ventricle may be related to the difference in origin of these regions. The OFT is formed in a later stage during development than the right ventricle and maintains its initial slowly conducting and poorly contracting properties for a longer period during development. Because the embryonic OFT gives rise to the adult RVOT, it has an origin and developmental history different from the ventricles. This may well explain part of its sensitivity in the initiation of reentrant arrhythmias during sodium channel blockade or in patients with structural abnormalities.

In this review, we describe the localized expression of genes in the developing and adult ventricles and OFT in relation to the electrophysiological heterogeneity in the adult heart leading to ventricular arrhythmias.

Regional Heterogeneity in Repolarization

Repolarization time is determined by local activation times and local action potential duration, which in turn is defined by a critical balance of inward and outward ion currents. When electric coupling is absent, as in isolated myocytes, the intrinsic action potential duration is only determined by the expression of ion channels. Differences in action potential duration have been observed between myocytes isolated from the apex or the base, the left or the right ventricle, and the subendocardial or the subepicardial myocardium. These differences find their origin in intercellular differences in the level of expression of the genes encoding $\alpha$ and $\beta$ subunits of ion channels carrying repolarizing currents: rapid delayed rectifying current ($I_{Kr}$), slowly delayed rectifying current ($I_{Ks}$), transient outward current ($I_{TO}$), inward rectifying current ($I_{K1}$), and $I_{Ca,L}$ (Figure 1 and Table 1).

Transmural Heterogeneity in Action Potential Duration

In the myocardium of the ventricular wall, 3 electrophysiologically different cell types are present along the transmural axis: subendocardial, midmyocardial, and subepicardial myocytes. Experiments on isolated cells demonstrated heterogeneity in action potential duration along this axis. Compared to subepicardial and subendocardial myocytes, midmyocardial myocytes (M cells) from dog and human hearts show...
more action potential prolongation during bradycardia and ischemia and after application of various drugs.\textsuperscript{41,43} Also, in humans,\textsuperscript{41,42} dogs,\textsuperscript{43} rats,\textsuperscript{44,45} and guinea pigs,\textsuperscript{39} action potential durations of subendocardial myocytes are longer than subepicardial myocytes. These differences likely result from higher expression of Kv4.2 and Kv4.3 in the subepicardium.\textsuperscript{32,40,42,44,45,48,49} which causes larger $I_{\text{Kr}}$ and, consequently, shorter action potential duration. Furthermore, in human, Cav1.2 expression is higher in the subepicardium than in subendocardium. In mice, however, a transmural gradient in action potential duration found in isolated myocytes is present in isolated perfused ventricular wedge preparations of the dog heart as well, especially at long cycle lengths. In this setting, the subendocardial cardiac action potential is longer than the subepicardial action potential.\textsuperscript{41} In contrast, transmural repolarization times in the intact dog heart are not different.\textsuperscript{51,52} The presence of an identifiable M-cell layer in intact myocardium is being disputed.\textsuperscript{53}

**Action Potential Duration in the Apex and Base**

The action potential duration of isolated dog myocytes from the apex is shorter than that of myocytes from the base.\textsuperscript{20} This is caused by larger $I_{\text{TO}}$ and $I_{\text{Kr}}$ in myocytes isolated from the apex than isolated from the base. In line with this, in dog the expression of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ subunits of these channels are higher in apical myocytes than in basal myocytes.\textsuperscript{20} In contrast, in rabbit,\textsuperscript{40} ferret,\textsuperscript{55} and rat,\textsuperscript{19} action potential duration is longer in myocytes isolated from the apex than in myocytes isolated from the base. In rabbit, this can be explained by larger $I_{\text{Kr}}$ in myocytes isolated from the apex than in myocytes from the base.\textsuperscript{40}

In the intact pig heart, monophasic action potentials recorded from the apex and base are not different, although apical action potential duration becomes shorter than those from the base when afterload increases.\textsuperscript{56} Under physiological conditions, little is known about the apicobasal heterogeneity in action potential duration in the intact heart, although in dog, repolarization time is longer at the base than at the apex.\textsuperscript{53,57,58}

**Difference in Action Potential Duration Between the Left and Right Ventricle**

A transmural gradient in action potential duration is present in both the left and right ventricle.\textsuperscript{41,42} For all cell types across the myocardial wall, the left ventricular action potential is longer than the right ventricular action potential.\textsuperscript{32,39,41–45} This corresponds with a larger $I_{\text{Kr}}$ in myocytes isolated from the right ventricle when compared to the left ventricle.\textsuperscript{43,59} In addition, $I_{\text{Ks}}$ is larger in the midmyocardium of the right ventricle than midmyocardium of the left ventricle in dog hearts.\textsuperscript{60} This is in line with higher levels of KCNE1 protein in the right ventricle than in the left ventricle.\textsuperscript{61} Data on the action potential duration in the RVOT in relation to that in other parts of the heart are lacking.

The electrophysiological properties of the ventricular septum can be divided into a right ventricular part and a left ventricular part.\textsuperscript{62} The action potential recorded from subendocardial myocytes from the right side of the septum is shorter than from the left side of the septum. This correlates with higher expression of KChIP2, KCNQ1 in the right ventricular part of the septum when compared to the left ventricular part.\textsuperscript{52}

**Effect of Intercellular Electric Coupling on Repolarization Heterogeneity**

In the intact heart, differences in action potential duration are smaller than observed in isolated myocytes,\textsuperscript{63} mainly because adjacent myocytes are electrically coupled by gap junctions.\textsuperscript{48,53,54,57,58,64} Because electric coupling reduces action potential differences throughout the heart, uncoupling exacerbates these differences. For instance, when electric coupling is experimentally reduced in healthy intact hearts, electrotonic interaction decreases and differences in intrinsic action potential durations emerge.\textsuperscript{65} This causes heterogeneity in repolarization, which is a substrate for reentry.\textsuperscript{66} In a rabbit model of heart failure, heterogeneous downregulation of connexins causes uncoupling of the myocytes, which leads to heterogeneity in refractoriness and conduction throughout the heart.\textsuperscript{14} The latter may explain the increased incidence of arrhythmias during acute myocardial ischemia in this model. Also, in patients with moderate heart failure, arrhythmias are an important cause of death.\textsuperscript{5} Although hypertrophy in heart failure per se is proarrhythmic,\textsuperscript{13,68} the interstitial fibrosis
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**Figure 1.** The cardiac action potential and its underlying ion currents. Top, Schematic representation of a ventricular action potential. The numbers indicate the different phases of the action potential. Bottom, Time course of underlying ion currents discussed in this review. $I_{\text{Na}}$ indicates fast sodium current; $I_{\text{TO}}$, transient outward current; $I_{\text{Kr}}$, rapidly delayed rectifying current; $I_{\text{Ks}}$, slowly delayed rectifying current; $I_{\text{Ca,L}}$, L-type calcium current.
seen in patients with heart failure functionally separates myocytes and adds to the downregulation of connexin (Cx)43, the main gap-junction subunit in the ventricular working myocardium. Thus, changes in intercellular coupling and the intercellular matrix may modulate the preexisting heterogeneities in repolarization.

**Heterogeneity in Conduction**

Normal cardiac conduction is anisotropic and conduction velocity in the direction of the fibers, called longitudinal conduction, is ~2 times faster than transverse conduction velocity. Conduction velocity of the activation front is approximately 0.5 m/sec in the left ventricular myocardium. In mice, conduction velocity is slightly lower in the right ventricular wall, and there are indications that in the response to Na⁺ channel blockade, the right ventricular wall responds with more conduction slowing than the left ventricular wall. Data on conduction velocities in the apex in comparison to those in the base are lacking.

Myocardial conduction velocity depends on the availability of Na⁺ channels and intercellular electric coupling by gap junctions. The type of connexin present in the gap junctions determines the conductance (reciprocal of resistance) of the channel. Also, modification of the channel by (de)phosphorylation can alter the conductance. In Cx40-deficient mice, atrial conduction velocity decreases by 35% and a 95% reduction of Cx43 reduces conduction velocity by 17% in the ventricle. The α subunit Nav1.5 of the voltage-dependent cardiac sodium channel, responsible for the generation of the inward Na⁺ current, is encoded by SCN5A. In mice with 50% reduction in SCN5A expression, conduction velocity in the right ventricle reduces by 19%. Furthermore, pharmacological blockade of gap junctions or Na⁺ channels leads to slowing of conduction as well. Therefore, heterogeneity in expression of Na⁺ channels and/or connexins may lead to regional differences in conduction velocity, which makes some parts of the heart more vulnerable to become the origin of reentrant arrhythmias. Taken together, heterogeneity in expression level of voltage-gated ion channels and connexins, and also tissue architecture, may contribute to regional differences in conduction velocity. The right ventricular wall may therefore be more prone to conduction slowing.

**Expression Pattern of Nav1.5/SCN5A**

In rat, Nav1.5 is more abundant in subendocardial than in subepicardial myocardium, and in prenatal and adult mice, both SCN5A transcripts and Nav1.5 protein are higher in the subendocardium than in the subepicardium (VM Christoffels, unpublished observations, 2008). In human and dog, Nav1.5 is more abundant in the midmyocardium than in the subepicardium. Consequently, the upstroke velocity is lower in isolated subepicardial myocytes. In contrast, in an isolated wedge preparation of the left ventricle from dog, the upstroke velocity is higher in the subepicardial than in the subendocardial part of the wall. However, the subepicardial of the wedge preparations are probably less-well coupled, because of the endocardium-high transmural gradient of Cx43, which may explain this phenomenon.

**Expression Pattern of Connexins**

Connexins are heterogeneously expressed throughout the heart. The main connexin present in ventricular myocardium is Cx43, which, however, is absent from the bundle branches, atrioventricular bundle, and atrioventricular node. In mouse and dog, Cx43 is less abundant in myocytes of the subepicardium than in myocytes of the mid- and subendocardial layers. This transmural gradient in Cx43 expression is species-dependent, because the gradient is absent in rat. In rabbit myocardium, Cx43 is less abundant in the RVOT than in the left ventricular free wall. The expression of Cx40 is restricted to the subendocardial Purkinje fibers, the bundle branches, and the atrioventricular bundle. Expression of Cx30.2 is restricted to the nodes of the conduction system. Furthermore, the expression of Cx45 is low in the ventricular myocardium and no transmural gradient is observed.

Differences in connexin expression between the adult left and the right ventricle have not been reported, although the Cx40-expressing ventricular conduction system is more extensive in the left compared to the right ventricle.

**Conduction and RVOT in Disease**

Data on conduction velocity in the RVOT are lacking. However, in Brugada syndrome and arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia (ARVC/D), activation of the RVOT is delayed. This may be attributable to either conduction slowing or structural block. In these diseases, ventricular arrhythmias are often initiated in the RVOT.

Brugada syndrome is defined by right precordial ST-segment elevation in the absence of detectable structural abnormalities. A mutation in SCN5A is present in ~30% of the patients with Brugada syndrome. Two arrhythmogenic mechanisms in Brugada syndrome have been proposed. The repolarization theory states that shortening of action potential duration in the subepicardial myocardium of the RVOT causes an increased transmural gradient in action potential duration, leading to a substrate for reentry. The depolarization theory states that regionally slowed conduction in the RVOT leads to unidirectional block and reentry. The presence of small structural changes in the RVOT may underlie this slowed conduction.

ARVC/D is characterized by fibrofatty replacement of myocardium predominantly in the right (but also the left) ventricle and is associated with mutations in desmplakin and plakoglobin. These proteins are involved in cell-to-cell junctions and cause conduction slowing in mice and probably also in humans. The structural changes are more prominent in the right ventricular wall where also most of the arrhythmias are initiated.

In both Brugada syndrome and ARVC/D, the right ventricular free wall and the RVOT are primarily affected and related to arrhythmogenesis originating from these parts of the heart. We surmise that the embryonic origin of the right ventricle explains right ventricular susceptibility to arrhythmias.
Table 2. Developmental Mechanism Underlying Axes of Patterning in the Adult Heart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Axes in Adult</th>
<th>Underlying Developmental Process</th>
<th>Factors Involved</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epicardial–endocardial</td>
<td>The endocardium induces trabeculation of the subendocardial myocardium. The epicardium signals to the subepicardial myocardium to form compact myocardium.</td>
<td>Irx3, Irx5, Hey2, Fgf4, Fgf9, Fgf16, Fgf20, Fgf29, endothelin, Neuregulin, retinoic acid, Notch</td>
<td>32, 84, 141, 146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV-RV-RVOT (craniocaudal)</td>
<td>The LV, RV, and OFT are formed along the craniocaudal axis. The LV is formed from FHF precursor cells, whereas the RV and RVOT are formed from SHF precursor cells. These heart fields are molecularly distinctive.</td>
<td>Isl1, Hand1, Hand2, Tbx1, Fgf8, Fgf10, Foxh1, Notch, Nkx2.5, Mef2c</td>
<td>36, 132, 155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex–Base</td>
<td>The base is composed of myocytes that differentiate later in development than myocytes from the apex. Therefore, myocytes of the base have been longer exposed to differentiation-inhibiting factors in the AVC and OFT than myocytes from the apex.</td>
<td>Bmp2, Tbx2, Nkx2.5, Hey1, Hey2, Sp4/HF-1b</td>
<td>35, 148–150, 156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV-RVOT</td>
<td>The RVOT is composed of the embryonic OFT. The myocardium of the OFT maintains the slowly conducting phenotype longer than the myocardium of the RV.</td>
<td>Tbx2, Tbx3, Tbx5</td>
<td>35, 37, 120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regionalized Gene Expression and Electrophysiological Heterogeneity in the Developing Heart

Many, if not all, genes encoding ion channels and connexons are expressed heterogeneously in the embryonic heart, and their expression is regulated by factors that are heterogeneously expressed as well. Some transcription factors have been linked to regionalized expression of genes involved in electrophysiology, including cardiac homeobox factor Nkx2-5; the T-box transcription factors Tbx5, Tbx2, and Tbx3; and the Iroquois homeobox factor Irx5.30–33,103–105 Another contributing factor to heterogeneity is the developmental origin of the heart. The left ventricle is largely derived from the first heart field, which is molecularly and phenotypically different from the second heart field that gives rise to the right ventricle and OFT (Table 2). Moreover, the prenatal OFT remains undifferentiated and slowly conducting until it is incorporated into the RVOT. Therefore, we suppose that differences in gene expression, tissue structure, size, composition, and function in the adult heart find their origin in heart development.

Role of Transcriptional Regulation of Electrophysiologic Heterogeneity Deduced From the Development of the Conduction System

The involvement of transcriptional regulation in heterogeneous expression of target “electrophysiological” genes in the ventricle and RVOT is partly based on the role of specific transcription factors in the localized regulation of these genes in the early developing heart and conduction system. Pioneering human genetic studies and subsequent functional follow-up studies revealed critical roles of Nkx2-5 and Tbx5 in the formation, function, and gene regulation of the atrioventricular conduction system.31,103,105–109 Nkx2-5 is broadly expressed in the heart, indicating that it acts through regulation of, or interactions with, locally acting factors, such as Id2, Tbx5, and Tbx3.30,31,34,105 The sinoatrial and atrioventricular node are slowly conducting and spontaneously active structures, corresponding to low expression levels of Cx40, Cx43, and SCN5A.104–112 The same holds for the embryonic atrioventricular bundle and proximal bundle branches, which, however, during the fetal period, develop fast conductive properties and high expression of Cx40 and SCN5A.30,111,112 (In contrast to published data, we observed high levels of expression of Nav1.5 / SCN5A in the developing and adult atrioventricular bundle.) Recent studies have revealed that Tbx5, together with Nkx2-5, are crucial for the expression of Cx40.31,105 The nodal character and low expression of Cx40, Cx43, SCN5A, and many other genes in the sinoatrial node and early developing atrioventricular bundle depends on repression by Tbx3. Tbx3 is selectively expressed in the conduction system and directly interacts with the regulatory DNA of Cx40.30,104 Moreover, Tbx3 and Tbx2 both suppress the above mentioned genes in the atrioventricular canal, out of which the atrioventricular node will develop.30,33,35,113 Overall, the role of Nkx2-5 and T-box transcription factors in the heart, and their role in the direct regulation of genes important for electrophysiology has been well established.

Axes of Polarity in the Embryonic and Adult Heart

In the embryo, 3 axes of polarity can be defined: the craniocaudal axis (also called rostrocaudal and anteroposterior), the dorsoventral axis, and the left–right axis. These axes can also be applied to the early heart tube. The relation between the axes in the looped embryonic heart and the adult heart are shown in Figure 2 and Table 2, together with the nomenclature of the axes at these stages. Signaling and other regulatory processes are polarized along these axes.27 For example, the anterior side of the developing heart receives, or has received, different regulatory signals compared to the caudal side.
Progenitors of the Left and Right Ventricle and of the OFT

The early embryonic heart is a simple tube with an outer layer of cardiomyocytes and an inner layer of endocardium, with interposed cardiac jelly. The cells of the primitive heart tube originate from a progenitor population, called the first heart field. These cells differentiate to myocardial cells and fuse at the midline, generating a linear heart tube with a caudal inflow and a cranial OFT. At this stage, the heart tube rapidly grows primarily by addition of cardiac progenitors of the so-called second heart field to the inflow tract, OFT, and dorsal mesocardium. These second heart field–derived cells will largely form the right ventricle, OFT, and atria. The ventricular septum forms at the border between the 2 heart fields, resulting in hypoplasia of the right ventricle and OFT. During the elongation phase, the heart tube loops and ventricular working myocardium differentiates at the ventral side (outer curvature). First, the left and then, cranial to this, the right ventricular myocardium forms. The atrioventricular canal, OFT, and inner curvature initially do not differentiate into working myocardium. With further development, most of the muscular OFT will be absorbed in the right ventricle and form the RVOT, whereas a small part will form the connection between the left ventricle and the aorta (Figure 3).

In the adult heart, the RVOT and the left and the right ventricular walls are anatomically different. These 3 components are formed along the craniocaudal axis of the developing heart, and, hence, the differences between these components are rooted in development. Furthermore, adult apex-to-base differences will arise from craniocaudal and dorsoventral patterning in the embryonic heart. The adult left ventricular base, for example, is derived from the transition between the developing atrioventricular canal and the left ventricular border, whereas the apex derives from the embryonic left ventricle positioned ventral from the atrioventricular canal. Along the left–right axis, another layer of patterning acts on top of the other axes and therefore affects all cardiac phenotypes. The left and right ventricle, for example, each have a left-derived and a right-derived component and are regionally exposed to transcription factor Pitx2c-mediated signaling. In the adult heart, the RVOT and the left and the right ventricular walls are anatomically different. These 3 components are formed along the craniocaudal axis of the developing heart, and, hence, the differences between these components are rooted in development. Furthermore, adult apex-to-base differences will arise from craniocaudal and dorsoventral patterning in the embryonic heart. The adult left ventricular base, for example, is derived from the transition between the developing atrioventricular canal and the left ventricular border, whereas the apex derives from the embryonic left ventricle positioned ventral from the atrioventricular canal. Along the left–right axis, another layer of patterning acts on top of the other axes and therefore affects all cardiac phenotypes. The left and right ventricle, for example, each have a left-derived and a right-derived component and are regionally exposed to transcription factor Pitx2c-mediated signaling.

In the developing heart, the transcription factor Hand1 is expressed in the first heart field and, subsequently, in the left ventricular outer curvature. Hand1 is required for expression of Cx40, Nppa, Cited1, and other genes in the embryonic left ventricle. Loss of Hand1 in the myocardium causes left ventricular defects and dysregulation of gene expression. In contrast, Hand2 is expressed in the second heart field and subsequently in the right ventricle and OFT. Loss of Hand2 results in hypoplasia of the right ventricle and OFT.

Figure 2. Axis of patterning in the embryonic and adult heart. Transition of axes of patterning in the heart during development. A, On embryonic day 9.5, the heart tube consists of a caudally positioned inflow tract and a cranial positioned OFT. The ventricles expand at the ventral side and the atria at the dorsal side. B, The position of the left and right ventricle and OFT in the adult heart are related to the craniocaudal axis of the embryonic heart tube. The apicobasal axis corresponds to the dorsoventral axis of the embryonic heart tube. R/LA indicates right/left atrium; R/LV, right /left ventricle; Epi→Endo, epicardial to endocardial axis.

Figure 3. The embryonic OFT forms the RVOT. A, Whole mount X-Gal staining of an embryonic day 9.5 Mlc1v-nlacZ-24 embryo expressing a lacZ enhancer trap of the Fgf10 gene. It reveals that the Fgf10-positive second heart field does not contribute to the left ventricle (courtesy of Dr Robert G Kelly, Developmental Biology Institute of Marseilles-Luminy, Marseille, France). B, Scanning electron microscope image of a human heart at 5 weeks of development. The right ventricle (orange) is composed of working myocardium demonstrating fast conduction and rapid contraction. The embryonic OFT (yellow) is composed of slow conducting primary myocardium. C, The embryonic OFT is incorporated in the right ventricle and forms the RVOT of the adult heart. R/LA indicates right/left atrium; R/LV, right/left ventricle; SHF, second heart field; PA, pharyngeal arches; Ao, aorta; PT, pulmonary trunk.
the myocardium of the ventricle and atrium but not in the myocardium of the OFT and atrioventricular canal. D, Tbx2 is only expressed in the myocardium of the OFT and atrioventricular canal and not in that of the atrium and the ventricle. LA indicates left atrium; LV, left ventricle; AVC, atrioventricular canal; myo, myocardium; endoth, endothelium.

Reduction in Hand dose, by deleting 1 or both Hand alleles, results in complete absence of expression of Cx40 and Nppa. Accordingly, these genes are upregulated in hearts overexpressing Hand genes. Taken together, although Hand1 and Hand2 play partially overlapping roles in ventricular differentiation, expansion, and gene expression, their individual expression patterns demonstrate that morphogenesis and gene regulation in the left ventricle and in the right ventricle and OFT are governed by locally distinct regulatory mechanisms.

Tbx5 is expressed in a craniocaudal gradient in the tubular heart and is absent from the second heart field. During and after chamber expansion, Tbx5 expression is confined to the left ventricle, in a transmural gradient (high expression at the endocardial side), whereas some remaining expression is observed in the right ventricular trabeculae. The OFT is devoid of detectable Tbx5 expression. Tbx5 is required for heart formation and chamber expansion and is necessary for the activity of a number of genes, including Cx40. The expression pattern of Cx40 mimics that of Tbx5. Because of the important regulatory functions of Tbx5 and its patterned expression, it contributes to the difference in gene program and identity of the left ventricle and right ventricle. The expression of Tbx5 is regulated by retinoic acid. Retinoic acid signals to the cardiac progenitors of the heart tube, thereby providing them with cardiac identity and fate information. This signal most likely underlies the caudal-high expression of Tbx5 in the fusing and looping heart tube.

The OFT: A Slowly Conducting Primitive Structure

The embryonic heart tube elongates by recruiting second heart field progenitors. Like the myocytes of the initial heart tube, these added cardiomyocytes have a poorly developed contractile and sarcoplasmic reticular apparatus, and are poorly coupled (mainly by Cx45). Specific regions of the heart tube differentiate into ventricular working myocardium and initiate expression of genes permitting fast conduction, including Cx40 and Cx43. In contrast, the embryonic OFT does not initiate this gene program and retains the original slowly conducting and poorly contracting properties much longer. The embryonic OFT, therefore, displays long-lasting contractions, thought to prevent regurgitation of the blood from the circulation to the ventricle before the formation of the semilunar valves. In vivo labeling studies have shown that the proximal and distal embryonic OFT eventually differentiates further and gives rise to the trabeculated free wall and the smooth-walled conus of the RVOT.

Although the regulatory mechanisms that control the phenotype of the OFT are only partially understood, a number of molecular pathways controlling its development have been identified. The OFT is almost devoid of Cx40, strictly required for Cx40 expression and for working myocardial differentiation. At the same time, Tbx2, a repressor that competes with Tbx5 for many of the same target genes, is expressed in the OFT, but not in the ventricular wall. Tbx2 suppresses Cx40 and Cx43 expression and chamber differentiation (Figure 4). Moreover, Tbx2 is functionally equivalent to Tbx3, which suppresses working myocardial differentiation and imposes the nodal (ie, embryonic myocardial) gene program on myocardium. This gene program includes suppression of ion channels, connexins, and contractile proteins that are normally highly expressed in the working myocardium. Hence, Tbx2 possibly suppresses working myocardium differentiation in the OFT, contributing to its persisting embryonic phenotype (Figure 4). Cx43 expression is absent from the fetal OFT (Figure 4A) but is expressed in the RVOT of adults, albeit to a lesser extent than in the free wall of the right ventricle. This suggests that insufficient upregulation of Cx43 and possibly other genes in the RVOT before birth can result in heterogeneous expression in the right ventricular wall. Tbx2 is gradually downregulated toward the end of gestation, which may contribute to the gradual upregulation of Cx43 in the RVOT myocardium.

Taken together, the wall of the adult right ventricle is formed by the incorporation of an initially slowly conducting embryonic OFT into the rapidly conducting working myocardium of the right ventricle. We suggest that remnants of the embryonic OFT phenotype and expression profile in the adult RVOT determine the electrophysiological and structural characteristics that make the right ventricle more vulnerable for arrhythmias.

Cardiac Neural Crest Cells

The development of the conduction system and OFT, and the function of the embryonic heart, are influenced by cardiac neural crest (CNC) cells. CNC cells migrate into the OFT around embryonic day 9.5 (mouse) and form the aorticopulmonary septum that divides the arterial pole.
into systemic and pulmonary outlets. Furthermore, these cells form the smooth muscle tunics of the great arteries and the parasympathetic innervation of the heart and have been implicated in the development of the conduction system.

CNC cells do not materially contribute to myocardium but play an important signaling role in the development of the conduction system and OFT. Ablation of CNC in chicken results in defects of the atrioventricular bundle, Purkinje fiber, and OFT. OFT misalignment and persistent truncus arteriosus, abnormal patterning of the great arteries, and abnormal myocardial function are part of these defects. Thus, congenital defects in the OFT or the great vessels mediated by CNC may affect cardiac electrophysiology indirectly. The local action of CNC cells implicates them also in regionalized gene regulation, most notably in the OFT and RVOT in the adult. However, the mechanistic link between CNC and heterogeneity in electrophysiology of the RVOT is not clear.

Transmural Patterns in Signaling and Gene Expression

Transmural heterogeneities in gene expression and phenotype arise as soon as the ventricular wall is formed (Figure 5). The primitive epithelial-like myocytes of the initial embryonic ventricle generate a series of progeny which grows vertically to form ridge-like protrusions (trabeculae). The peripheral layer proliferates much faster, which results in cone- or wedge-shaped sectors spanning the entire ventricular wall. The myocardium and the endocardium exchange signals, including Notch, neuregulin, and endothelin signaling, which regulate trabeculae formation, proliferation, and differentiation at the endocardial side. These trabeculae form papillary muscles, ventricular septum, and Purkinje fibers and are incorporated in the compact wall. The Purkinje fibers maintain automaticity, which later in life may trigger ventricular fibrillation. An epicardial cell layer is formed around the myocardial wall and signals (eg, Fgf and retinoic acid signaling) to the myocardium to form the compact wall. The epicardium, thereby, controls gene regulation and maturation of the underlying subepicardial myocardium.

Most genes expressed in the ventricular wall show mild to profound differences in the expression level across the ventricular wall, including Cx40, Cx43, Serca2a, SCN5A, and Kcnq2 (encoding Kv4.2). For example, Cx40 is expressed across the entire wall of the left ventricle until the compact wall starts to form, and expression gradually becomes confined to the subendocardial Purkinje fibers. The combination of different signals and responses across the ventricular wall results in transmurally patterned expression of transcription factors and of their target genes. For example, the transmural pattern of Tbx5 is most likely responsible for the transmural pattern of Cx40. In chicken, endothelin signaling from the endocardium and coronary endothelium positively regulates Cx40 expression in the subendocardial and subendothelial myocytes and functional maturation of the Purkinje fibers. Hey2, encoding a helix-loop-helix transcription factor, is expressed in a transmural gradient high at the subepicardial side. In the absence of

Figure 5. Transmural heterogeneity in expression of transcription factors and ion channels. The endocardium and epicardium regulate growth and differentiation of the myocardium. At the endocardial side, trabeculae are formed, and at the epicardial side, compact myocardium is formed. The signals from endo- and epicardium possibly cause gradients in expression of transcription factors and ion channels. In the adult heart, action potential duration is longer at the subendocardial side than at the subepicardial side. However, midmyocardial myocytes with long action potential duration are found in wedge preparations from the canine ventricle.

Hey2, Nppa are ectopically expressed in the compact ventricular wall, whereas normally it is only expressed in the trabeculae. Proof of principle that transmural patterns of transcription factors are functionally important has been provided by Costantini et al. They demonstrated that the Iroquois (Irx) homeobox transcription factor family member Irx5, which is initially expressed in the ventricular endocardium, subsequently becomes expressed in a subendocardium-high transmural gradient across the ventricular wall. Irx5 was found to suppress the expression of Kv4.2, the α subunit of the ion channel carrying \( I_{TO} \), which is a modulator of the action potential shape. Irx5-deficient mice express Kv4.2 homogeneously across the wall, do not have a detectable T-wave, and are prone to arrhythmias. Several family members of Irx5 are expressed in specific patterns in the ventricles. Irx3 is expressed in a subendocardium-high transmural pattern like Irx5, and Irx1 and Irx2 are specifically expressed in the ventricular septum. Their possible contribution to electrophysiological heterogeneity awaits testing.

These examples suggest that transmural patterning in gene expression and function in the adult ventricular wall finds its origin in development and that errors in the regulation of these patterns (eg, caused by mutations in genes involved in their regulation) may render individuals more prone to arrhythmias.
Apex-to-Base Heterogeneity

The origin and regulation of heterogeneity over the apex-to-base axis are poorly understood. Transcription factor Sp4/HF-1b is expressed in the heart and neural crest. Sp4 deficiency leads to decreased Cx43 expression and slowed conduction in the apical ventricular domain, whereas the basal region is less affected.148 The underlying mechanism remains to be defined. Fate-map studies in chicken have indicated that cells located initially in the atrioventricular canal or OFT of the early developing heart will become part of the base of the ventricles. These initially primitive cardiomyocytes differentiate into working myocardium and contribute to the base of the left and right ventricle. Recent genetic lineage analysis using the expression pattern of Tbx2 to label all primary (nonworking) myocardium in the embryonic heart indicates that the embryonic atrioventricular canal forms the definitive left ventricular free wall, whereas the embryonic left ventricle forms the definitive apex and ventricular septum (our unpublished data). Therefore, the primitive atrioventricular canal and OFT myocardial cells differentiate later during development to ventricular working myocardium than the apex cells. Hence, they will be exposed longer to regulatory pathways such as the Bmp2-Tbx2-Hey2 and Tbx3 pathways,33,113,150–152 which initially suppress their differentiation and the expression of Cx40, Cx43, and SCN5A. Consequently, Tbx2 deficiency leads to morphological defects and ectopic expression of connexins and SCN5A in the left atrioventricular canal and left ventricular base (our unpublished observations).

Conclusion and Future Research

In the adult heart, electrophysiological heterogeneity is present along the apicobasal, left–right, and transmural axes of the ventricles (Table 1). Moreover, the RVOT is electrophysiologically different from the left ventricle. Moderate electrophysiological heterogeneity is a prerequisite for cardiac function. However, large heterogeneities may lead to life-threatening arrhythmias. In this review, we propose that intrinsic electrophysiological heterogeneity in the developing heart may persist in the adult heart. Electrophysiological heterogeneity, along each of the axes, is related to distinctive patterning and signaling processes during development (Table 2). The established roles of T-box and other transcription factors in the regulation of the electrophysiological phenotype and regulation of channel genes in the developing conduction system provide support for the idea that these factors have a role in the heterogeneity of the ventricles and RVOT. Moreover, the role of Irx5 in the regulation of the transmural gradient in expression of channel subunits of Ito supports the general idea that transmural electrophysiological gradients across the adult ventricular wall are rooted in development.

This overview provides a framework that could help in designing models to test the hypothesis that development impacts on electrophysiological heterogeneity, as well as to test the idea that interindividual variations in this heterogeneity affect the likelihood to develop arrhythmias. For example, expression profiles and electrophysiological phenotypes of myocytes from the left and right ventricle and RVOT could be quantified to identify physiologically relevant differences between these compartments. Furthermore, genes encoding proteins for fast conduction could be selectively overexpressed in the OFT to investigate whether arrhythmias with RVOT origin can be prevented.

In conclusion, electrophysiological heterogeneity in disease states or in hereditary syndromes are likely influenced by cardiac developmental processes. Understanding these processes may enable early detection and therapy of life-threatening arrhythmias.
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